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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra associated with the Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module arising from commuting matrices $A, B$ with entries in $\{0,1\}$. We will show that if the associated tiling space $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is transitive, the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$ is simple and purely infinite. In particular, for two positive integers $N, M$, the $K$-groups of the simple purely infinite $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{[N],[M]}}$ are computed by using the Euclidean algorithm.


## 1. Introduction

In [9], the author has introduced a notion of $C^{*}$-symbolic dynamical system, which is a generalization of a finite labeled graph, a $\lambda$-graph system and an automorphism of a unital $C^{*}$-algebra (cf. [10]). It is denoted by ( $\mathscr{A}, \rho, \Sigma$ ) and consists of a finite family $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Sigma}$ of endomorphisms of a unital $C^{*}$ algebra $\mathscr{A}$ such that $\rho_{\alpha}\left(Z_{\mathscr{A}}\right) \subset Z_{\mathscr{A}}, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \rho_{\alpha}(1) \geq 1$ where $Z_{\mathscr{A}}$ denotes the center of $\mathscr{A}$, and endomorphisms are not necessarily unital. It provides a subshift $\Lambda_{\rho}$ over $\Sigma$ and a Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodule $\mathscr{H}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\rho}$ over $\mathscr{A}$ which gives rise to a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\rho}$ as a Cuntz-Pimsner algebra ([9], cf. [5], [16]). In [11] and [12], the author has extended the notion of $C^{*}$-symbolic dynamical system to $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system which is a higher dimensional analogue of $C^{*}$-symbolic dynamical system. The $C^{*}$-textile dynamical $\operatorname{system}\left(\mathscr{A}, \rho, \eta, \Sigma^{\rho}, \Sigma^{\eta}, \kappa\right)$ consists of two $C^{*}$-symbolic dynamical systems $\left(\mathscr{A}, \rho, \Sigma^{\rho}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{A}, \eta, \Sigma^{\eta}\right)$ with a common unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and a commutation relation between the endomorphisms $\rho$ and $\eta$ through a map $\kappa$ stated below. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma^{\rho \eta}=\left\{(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^{\rho} \times \Sigma^{\eta} \mid \eta_{b} \circ \rho_{\alpha} \neq 0\right\} \\
& \Sigma^{\eta \rho}=\left\{(a, \beta) \in \Sigma^{\eta} \times \Sigma^{\rho} \mid \rho_{\beta} \circ \eta_{a} \neq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that there exists a bijection $\kappa: \Sigma^{\rho \eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\eta \rho}$, which we fix and call

[^0]a specification. Then the required commutation relations are
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{b} \circ \rho_{\alpha}=\rho_{\beta} \circ \eta_{a} \quad \text { if } \quad \kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system provides a two-dimensional subshift and a multi-structure of Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodules that has multi-right actions and multileft actions and multi-inner products. Such a multi-structure of Hilbert $C^{*}$ bimodules is called a Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module, denoted by $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{\rho, \eta}$. In [12], the author has introduced a $C^{*}$-algebra associated with the Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module defined by a $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system. The $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{\mathscr { C } _ { k } ^ { \rho , \eta }}}$ has been constructed in a concrete way from the structure of the Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{\rho, \eta}$ by a two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of $C^{*}$ algebras from Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodules. It is generated by the quotient images of the creation operators on two-dimensional analogue of Fock Hilbert module by module maps of compact operators. As a result, the $C^{*}$-algebra has been proved to have a universal property subject to certain operator relations of generators encoded by structure of the Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module of $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system ([12], cf. [13]).

Let $A, B$ be two $N \times N$ matrices with entries in nonnegative integers. We assume that both $A$ and $B$ are essential, which means that they have no rows or columns identically to zero vector. They yield directed graphs $G_{A}=\left(V, E_{A}\right)$ and $G_{B}=\left(V, E_{B}\right)$ with a common vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}$ and edge sets $E_{A}$ and $E_{B}$ respectively, where the edge set $E_{A}$ consists of $A(i, j)$-edges from the vertex $v_{i}$ to the vertex $v_{j}$ and $E_{B}$ consists of $B(i, j)$-edges from the vertex $v_{i}$ to the vertex $v_{j}$. Denote by $s(e), r(e)$ the source vertex and the range vertex of an edge $e$. We set $\mathscr{A}_{N}=\mathrm{C}^{N}$. Denote by $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{N}$ the set of minimal projections of $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ defined by the standard basis of $C^{N}$ which correspond to the vertex set $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}$ respectively, so that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{i}=1$. For $\alpha \in E_{A}$, define $\rho_{\alpha}^{A}$ an endomorphism of $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ by $\rho_{\alpha}^{A}\left(E_{i}\right)=E_{j}$ if $s(\alpha)=v_{i}, r(\alpha)=v_{j}$, otherwise $\rho_{\alpha}^{A}\left(E_{i}\right)=0$. Similarly we have an endomorphism $\rho_{a}^{B}$ of $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ for $a \in E_{B}$. We then have two $C^{*}$-symbolic dynamical systems $\left(\mathscr{A}_{N}, \rho^{A}, E_{A}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{A}_{N}, \rho^{B}, E_{B}\right)$ with $\mathscr{A}_{N}=\mathrm{C}^{N}$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{A B} & =\left\{(\alpha, b) \in E_{A} \times E_{B} \mid r(\alpha)=s(b)\right\}, \\
\Sigma^{B A} & =\left\{(a, \beta) \in E_{B} \times E_{A} \mid r(a)=s(\beta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that the commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A B=B A \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. We may take a bijection $\kappa: \Sigma^{A B} \rightarrow \Sigma^{B A}$ such that $s(\alpha)=s(a), r(b)=$ $r(\beta)$ for $\kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta)$, which we fix and call a specification by following

Nasu's terminology in [14]. This situation is called an LR-textile system introduced by Nasu ([14]). We then have a $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system (see [12])

$$
\left(\mathscr{A}_{N}, \rho^{A}, \rho^{B}, E_{A}, E_{B}, \kappa\right) .
$$

Let us denote by $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}$ the associated Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module defined in [12]. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\kappa}=\left\{(\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_{A} \times E_{B} \times E_{B} \times E_{A} \mid \kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each element of $E_{\kappa}$ is called a tile. Let $X_{A, B}^{\kappa} \subset\left(E_{\kappa}\right)^{)^{2}}$ be the two-dimensional subshift of the Wang tilings of $E_{\kappa}$ (cf. [19]). It consists of the two-dimensional configurations $x: \mathrm{Z}^{2} \rightarrow E_{\kappa}$ compatible to their boundary edges on each tile, and is called the subshift of the tiling space for the specification $\kappa: \Sigma^{A B} \rightarrow$ $\Sigma^{B A}$. We say that $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is transitive if for two tiles $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in E_{\kappa}$, there exists $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}} \in X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $\omega_{0,0}=\omega, \omega_{i, j}=\omega^{\prime}$ for some $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ with $j<0<i$. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\kappa}=\left\{(\alpha, a) \in E_{A} \times\right. & E_{B} \mid  \tag{1.4}\\
& s(\alpha)=s(a) \\
& \left.\kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta) \text { for some } \beta \in E_{A}, b \in E_{B}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and define two $\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right| \times\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right|$-matrices $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ with entries in $\{0,1\}$ by

$$
A_{\kappa}((\alpha, a),(\delta, b))= \begin{cases}1 & \kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta) \text { for some } \beta \in E_{A}  \tag{1.5}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $(\alpha, a),(\delta, b) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$,

$$
B_{\kappa}((\alpha, a),(\beta, d))= \begin{cases}1 & \kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta) \text { for some } b \in E_{B}  \tag{1.6}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $(\alpha, a),(\beta, d) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ respectively. Put the block matrix

$$
H_{\kappa}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\kappa} & A_{\kappa}  \tag{1.7}\\
B_{\kappa} & B_{\kappa}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It has been proved in [12] that the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}}$ associated with the Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathscr{O}_{H_{\kappa}}$ for the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ (cf. [2]). In this paper, we first show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.10). The subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space is transitive if and only if the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible. In this case, $H_{\kappa}$ satisfies condition (I) in the sense of [2]. Hence if the subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space is transitive, the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{A, B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

We then see the following theorem.
Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 2.11). If the matrix $A$ or $B$ is irreducible, the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), so that the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{O}_{K}^{A, B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

Let $N, M$ be positive integers with $N, M>1$. They give $1 \times 1$ commuting matrices $A=[N], B=[M]$. The directed graph $G_{A}$ associated to the matrix $A=[N]$ is a graph consists of $N$-self directed loops denoted by $E_{A}$ with a vertex denoted by $v$. Similarly the directed graph $G_{B}$ consists of $M$-self directed loops denoted by $E_{B}$ with the vertex $v$. We fix a specification $\kappa: E_{A} \times$ $E_{B} \rightarrow E_{B} \times E_{A}$ defined by exchanging $\kappa(\alpha, a)=(a, \alpha)$ for $(\alpha, a) \in E_{A} \times E_{B}$. The specification is called the exchanging specification between $E_{A}$ and $E_{B}$. We present the following K-theory formulae for the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]]}}$. In its computation, the Euclidean algorithm is used. For integers $1<N \leq M \in \mathbf{N}$, let $d=(N-1, M-1)$ be the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and $M-1$. Let $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}$ be the successive integral quotients of $M-1$ by $N-1$ by the Euclidean algorithm such as

$$
\begin{aligned}
M-1 & =(N-1) k_{0}+r_{0} & \text { for some } & k_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, \quad 0<r_{0}<N-1 \\
N-1 & =r_{0} k_{1}+r_{1} & \text { for some } & k_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, 0<r_{1}<r_{0} \\
\vdots & & & \\
r_{j-2} & =r_{j-1} k_{j}+r_{j} & \text { for some } & k_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, \quad 0<r_{j}<r_{j-1} \\
r_{j-1} & =d k_{j+1} . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.5). For integers $1<N \leq M \in \mathrm{~N}$ and the exchanging specification $\kappa$ between directed $N$-loops and $M$-loops, the $C^{*}$ algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}$ is a simple purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebra whose $K$ groups are

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}\right) \cong & 0 \\
K_{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}\right) \cong & \overbrace{\mathrm{Z} /(N-1) \mathrm{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Z} /(N-1) \mathrm{Z}}^{M-2} \\
& \oplus \overbrace{\mathrm{Z} /(M-1) \mathrm{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Z} /(M-1) \mathrm{Z}}^{N-2} \\
& \oplus \mathrm{Z} / d \mathrm{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Z} /\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right](M-1)(M+N-1) \mathrm{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d=(N-1, M-1)$ the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and $M-1$, and the sequence $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}$ is the successive integral quotients of $M-1$ by $N-1$ by the Euclidean algorithm above, and the integer $\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right]$
is defined by inductively

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[k_{0}\right]=1, \quad\left[k_{1}\right]=k_{1}, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] } & =1+k_{1} k_{2} \\
\ldots, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] & =\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] k_{j+1}+\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that the $C^{*}$-algebras studied in this paper are different from the higher rank graph algebras studied by G. Robertson-T. Steger [18], A. KumjianD. Pask [6], V. Deaconu [3], etc., (cf. [4], [17], [15], etc.). Throughout the paper, we denote by N and by $\mathrm{Z}_{+}$the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers respectively.

## 2. Transitivity of tilings $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ and simplicity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$

Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set. The two-dimensional full shift over $\Sigma$ is defined to be

$$
\Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}=\left\{\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}} \mid x_{i, j} \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

An element $x \in \Sigma^{Z^{2}}$ is regarded as a function $x: Z^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma$ which is called a configuration on $\mathbf{Z}^{2}$. For a vector $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$, let $\sigma^{m}: \Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$ be the translation along vector $m$ defined by

$$
\sigma^{m}\left(\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}}\right)=\left(x_{i+m_{1}, j+m_{2}}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}} .
$$

A subset $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$ is said to be translation invariant if $\sigma^{m}(X)=X$ for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}$. It is obvious to see that a subset $X \subset \Sigma^{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ is translation invariant if and only if $X$ is invariant only both horizontally and vertically, that is, $\sigma^{(1,0)}(X)=X$ and $\sigma^{(0,1)}(X)=X$. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$, put

$$
[-k, k]^{2}=\left\{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2} \mid-k \leq i, j \leq k\right\}=[-k, k] \times[-k, k]
$$

A metric $d$ on $\Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$ is defined by for $x, y \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ with $x \neq y$

$$
d(x, y)=\frac{1}{2^{k}} \quad \text { if } \quad x_{(0,0)}=y_{(0,0)}
$$

where $k=\max \left\{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{+} \mid x_{[-k, k]^{2}}=y_{[-k, k]^{2}}\right\}$. If $x_{(0,0)} \neq y_{(0,0)}$, put $k=-1$ on the above definition. If $x=y$, we set $d(x, y)=0$. A two-dimensional subshift $X$ is defined to be a closed, translation invariant subset of $\Sigma^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$ (cf. [8, p. 467]). A two-dimensional subshift $X$ is said to have the diagonal property if for $\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}},\left(y_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}} \in X$, the conditions $x_{i, j}=y_{i, j}, x_{i+1, j-1}=y_{i+1, j-1}$ imply $x_{i, j-1}=y_{i, j-1}, x_{i+1, j}=y_{i+1, j}$ (see [11]). The diagonal property has the following property: for $x \in X$ and $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$, the configuration $x$ is determined by the diagonal line $\left(x_{i+n, j-n}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{Z}}$ through $(i, j)$.

We henceforth go back to our previous situation of $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system $\left(\mathscr{A}_{N}, \rho^{A}, \rho^{B}, E_{A}, E_{B}, \kappa\right)$ coming from $N \times N$ commuting matrices $A$ and $B$ with specification $\kappa$ as in Section 1. We always assume that both matrices $A$ and $B$ are essential. Recall that the matrices $A$ and $B$ give rise to directed graphs $G_{A}=\left(V, E_{A}\right)$ and $G_{B}=\left(V, E_{B}\right)$ with a common vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}$ and edge sets $E_{A}$ and $E_{B}$ respectively, where the edge set $E_{A}$ consists of $A(i, j)$-edges from the vertex $v_{i}$ to the vertex $v_{j}$ and $E_{B}$ consists of $B(i, j)$-edges from the vertex $v_{i}$ to the vertex $v_{j}$. A two-dimensional subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is defined as in the following way. Let $\Sigma$ be the set $E_{\kappa}$ of tiles defined in (1.3). For $\omega=(\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_{\kappa}$, define maps $t(=$ top $), b(=$ bottom $): E_{\kappa} \rightarrow$ $E_{A}$ and $l(=$ left $), r(=$ right $): E_{\kappa} \rightarrow E_{B}$ by setting

$$
t(\omega)=\alpha, \quad b(\omega)=\beta, \quad l(\omega)=a, \quad r(\omega)=b
$$

as in the following figure:


A configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}} \in E_{\kappa}^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$ is said to be paved if the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
t\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=b\left(\omega_{i, j+1}\right), & & r\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=l\left(\omega_{i+1, j}\right) \\
l\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=r\left(\omega_{i-1, j}\right), & & b\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=t\left(\omega_{i, j-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

hold for all $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$. Let $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ be the set of all paved configurations $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}} \in E_{\kappa}^{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}$. It consists of the Wang tilings of the tiles of $E_{\kappa}$ (see [19]). The following proposition is easy.

Proposition 2.1. $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is a two-dimensional subshift having the diagonal property.

We write $\mathscr{A}_{N}=\mathrm{C} E_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{C} E_{N}$ for the minimal projections $E_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, N$ of $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{i}=1$. Let us define the matrices $\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}$ by setting for $\alpha \in E_{A}, a \in E_{B}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{A}(i, \alpha, j)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } s(\alpha)=i, r(\alpha)=j \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \widehat{B}(i, a, j)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } s(a)=i, r(a)=j \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that the endomorphisms $\rho_{\alpha}^{A}, \rho_{a}^{B}$ of $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ for $\alpha \in E_{A}, a \in E_{B}$ are defined
by

$$
\rho_{\alpha}^{A}\left(E_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{A}(i, \alpha, j) E_{j}, \quad \rho_{a}^{B}\left(E_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \widehat{B}(i, a, j) E_{j}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$. They yield the $C^{*}$-textile dynamical system

$$
\left(\mathscr{A}_{N}, \rho^{A}, \rho^{B}, E_{A}, E_{B}, \kappa\right)
$$

with specification $\kappa$ ([12]). Let $e_{\omega}, \omega \in E_{\kappa}$ be the standard basis of $\mathrm{C}^{\left|E_{\kappa}\right|}$. Put the projection $E_{\omega}=\rho_{b}^{B} \circ \rho_{\alpha}^{A}(1)\left(=\rho_{\beta}^{A} \circ \rho_{a}^{B}(1)\right) \in \mathscr{A}_{N}$ for $\omega=(\alpha, b, a, \beta) \in E_{\kappa}$. We set

$$
\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}=\sum_{\omega \in E_{\kappa}} e_{\omega} \otimes E_{\omega} \mathscr{A}_{N}
$$

Then $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}$ has a natural structure of not only Hilbert $C^{*}$-right module over $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ but also two other Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodule structure, called Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module. By two-dimensional analogue of Pimsner's construction of Hilbert $C^{*}$-bimodule algebra ([16]), we have introduced a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$ (see [12] and [13] for detail construction). Let $\Omega_{\kappa}$ be the subset of $E_{A} \times E_{B}$ defined in (1.4). We define two $\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right| \times\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right|$-matrcies $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ with entries in $\{0,1\}$ as in (1.5) and (1.6). The matrices $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ represent the concatenations of edges as in the following figures respectively:

and


Let $H_{\kappa}$ be the $2\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right| \times 2\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right|$ matrix defined in (1.7). We have proved the following result in [12].

Theorem 2.2. The $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{A, B}}$ associated with Hilbert $C^{*}$-quad module $\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}$ defined by commuting matrices $A, B$ and a specification $\kappa$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathscr{O}_{H_{\kappa}}$ for the matrix $H_{\kappa}$. Its $K$-groups $K_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{H_{k}}\right)$ are computed as
$K_{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H_{\kappa}}\right)=\mathrm{Z}^{n} /\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{n}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{n}, \quad K_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{H_{\kappa}}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{n}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Z}^{n}$, where $n=\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right|$.

We will study a relationship between transitivity of the tiling space $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ and simplicity of the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}}$. An essential matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$ is said to satisfy condition (I) (in the sense of [2]) if the shift space defined by the topological Markov chain for the matrix is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. The condition is equivalent to the condition that every loop in the associated directed graph has an exit ([7]). It is a fundamental result that a Cuntz-Krieger algebra is simple and purely infinite if the underlying matrix is irreducible and satisfies condition (I) ([2]). We will find a condition of the two-dimensional subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space under which the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I). Hence the condition on $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ yields the simplicity and purely infiniteness of the algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{A, B}}$.

We are assuming that both of the matrices $A$ and $B$ are essential. Then we have

Lemma 2.3. Both of the matrices $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ are essential.
Proof. For $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, by definition of $\Omega_{\kappa}$, there exist $\beta \in E_{A}$ and $b \in E_{B}$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b)=(a, \beta)$. Since $A$ is essential, one may take $\beta_{1} \in$ $E_{A}$ such that $s\left(\beta_{1}\right)=r(b)(=r(\beta))$. Hence $\left(b, \beta_{1}\right) \in \Sigma^{B A}$. Put $\left(\alpha_{1}, b_{1}\right)=$ $\kappa^{-1}\left(b, \beta_{1}\right) \in \Sigma^{A B}$ so that $\left(\alpha_{1}, b\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ and $A_{\kappa}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha_{1}, b\right)\right)=1$ as in the following figure:


For $(\delta, b) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ there exists $\alpha \in E_{A}$ such that $r(\alpha)=s(\delta)(=s(b))$ because $A$ is essential. Hence $(\alpha, b) \in \Sigma^{A B}$. Put $(a, \beta)=\kappa(\alpha, b)$ so that $(\alpha, a) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ and $A_{\kappa}((\alpha, a),(\delta, b))=1$ as in the following figure:


Therefore one sees that $A_{\kappa}$ is essential, and similarly that $B_{\kappa}$ is essential.
Hence we have
Proposition 2.4. The matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is essential and satisfies condition (I).
Proof. By the previous lemma, both of the matrices $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ are essential. Hence every row of $A_{\kappa}$ and of $B_{\kappa}$ has at least one 1. Since

$$
H_{\kappa}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\kappa} & A_{\kappa} \\
B_{\kappa} & B_{\kappa}
\end{array}\right]
$$

every row of $H_{\kappa}$ has at least two 1's. This implies that a loop in the directed graph associated to $H_{\kappa}$ must has an exit so that $H_{\kappa}$ satisfies condition (I).

For $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, and $C, D=A$ or $B$, we have

$$
\left[C_{\kappa} D_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sum_{\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}} C_{\kappa}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right)\right) D_{\kappa}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Hence $\left[A_{\kappa} A_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ such that $\kappa\left(\alpha, a_{1}\right)=(a, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in E_{A}$ and $\kappa\left(\alpha_{1}, a^{\prime}\right)=\left(a_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ for some $\beta_{1} \in E_{A}$ as in the following figure:


And also $\left[A_{\kappa} B_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ such that $\kappa\left(\alpha, a_{1}\right)=(a, \beta)$ for some $\beta \in E_{A}$ and $\kappa\left(\alpha_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(a_{1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ for some $b_{1} \in E_{B}$ as in the following figure:


Similarly $\left[B_{\kappa} A_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b)=\left(a, \alpha_{1}\right)$ for some $b \in E_{B}$ and $\kappa\left(\alpha_{1}, a^{\prime}\right)=\left(a_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ for some $\beta_{1} \in E_{A}$ as in the following figure:


And also $\left[B_{\kappa} B_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ such that $\kappa(\alpha, b)=\left(a, \alpha_{1}\right)$ for some $b \in E_{B}$ and $\kappa\left(\alpha_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(a_{1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ for
some $b_{1} \in E_{B}$ as in the following figure:


Lemma 2.5. $A_{\kappa} B_{\kappa}=B_{\kappa} A_{\kappa}$.
Proof. For $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, we have $\left[A_{\kappa} B_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)=m$ if and only if there exist $\left(\alpha_{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}, i=1, \ldots, m$ such that $\kappa\left(\alpha, a_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left(a, \beta_{i}\right)$ for some $\beta_{i} \in E_{A}$ and $\kappa\left(\alpha_{i}, b_{i}\right)=\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ for some $b_{i} \in E_{B}$ as in the following figure:


Put $\left(a_{i}, \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\kappa\left(\beta_{i}, a^{\prime}\right)$. We then have $\left(\beta_{i}, a_{i}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ as in the following figure:


If $\left(\beta_{i}, a_{i}\right)=\left(\beta_{j}, a_{j}\right)$ in $\Omega_{\kappa}$, then we have $\beta_{i}=\beta_{j}$ so that $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{j}^{\prime}$ and hence $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}$. Therefore we have $\left[B_{\kappa} A_{\kappa}\right]\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)=m$.

Lemma 2.6. The following four conditions are equivalent.
(i) The matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible.
(ii) For $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, there exist $n, m \in \mathrm{Z}_{+}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0 \\
& B_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{m}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) The matrix $A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}$ is irreducible.
(iv) $\operatorname{For}(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, there exists a paved configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in Z^{2}} \in$ $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that

$$
t\left(\omega_{0,0}\right)=\alpha, \quad l\left(\omega_{0,0}\right)=a, \quad t\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}, \quad l\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=a^{\prime}
$$

for some $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ with $j<0<i$.
Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): The identity

$$
H_{\kappa}^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n} & A_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}  \tag{2.1}\\
B_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n} & B_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

implies the equivalence between (i) and (ii).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Suppose that for $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}$such that $A_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0$ so that

$$
\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n+1}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0
$$

Hence the matrix $A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}$ is irreducible.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): As $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ are both essential, for $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ there exists $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, a_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\kappa}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right)\right)=1 \\
& B_{\kappa}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha_{2}, a_{2}\right)\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}$ is irreducible, there exist $n, m \in \mathrm{Z}_{+}$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0 \\
& \left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{m}\left(\left(\alpha_{2}, a_{2}\right),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{n}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0 \\
& B_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}\right)^{m}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): For $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, take $\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ and $\beta \in E_{A}$ such that $\kappa\left(\alpha, a_{1}\right)=(a, \beta)$. By (ii), there exists $m \in \mathrm{Z}_{+}$with $B_{\kappa}\left(A_{\kappa}+\right.$ $\left.B_{\kappa}\right)^{m}\left((\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)>0$. One may take $b^{\prime} \in E_{B}$ and $\beta^{\prime} \in E_{A}$ satisfying $\kappa\left(\alpha^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$, so that there exists a paved configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in Z^{2}} \in$ $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $\omega_{0,0}=\left(\alpha, a_{1}, a, \beta\right)$ and $\omega_{i, j}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ for some $(i, j) \in$
$\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ with $j<0<i$ as in the following figure:

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): The assertion is clear.

Definition 2.7. A two-dimensional subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is said to be transitive if for two tiles $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in E_{\kappa}$ there exists a paved configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}} \in X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $\omega_{0,0}=\omega$ and $\omega_{i, j}=\omega^{\prime}$ for some $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ with $j<0<i$.

Theorem 2.8. The subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space is transitive if and only if the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible.

Proof. Assume that the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible. Hence the condition (iv) in Lemma 2.6 holds. Let $\omega=(\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega^{\prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in E_{\kappa}$ be two tiles. Since $A$ is essential, there exists $\beta_{1} \in E_{A}$ such that $r(\beta)(=r(b))=s\left(\beta_{1}\right)$, so that $\left(b, \beta_{1}\right) \in \Sigma^{B A}$. One may take $\left(\alpha_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in \Sigma^{A B}$ such that $\kappa\left(\alpha_{1}, b_{1}\right)=$ ( $b, \beta_{1}$ ) and hence $\left(\alpha_{1}, b\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$ as in the following figure:


For $\left(\alpha_{1}, b\right),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, by (iv) in Lemma 2.6, there exists $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}} \in$ $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $t\left(\omega_{0,0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, l\left(\omega_{0,0}\right)=b, t\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}, l\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)=a^{\prime}$ for some $(i, j) \in \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ with $j<0<i$. Since $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ has the diagonal property, there exists a paved configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)_{(i, j) \in Z^{2}} \in X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $\omega_{0,0}^{\prime}=\omega, \omega_{i, j}^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime}$. Hence $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is transitive.

Conversely assume that $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is transitive. For $(\alpha, a),\left(\alpha^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega_{\kappa}$, there exist $b, b^{\prime} \in E_{B}$ and $\beta, \beta^{\prime} \in E_{A}$ such that $\omega=(\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega^{\prime}=$ $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in E_{\kappa}$. It is clear that the transitivity of $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ implies the condition (iv) in Lemma 2.6, so that $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible.

Lemma 2.9. If $A$ or $B$ is irreducible, $X_{A, B}^{K}$ is transitive.
Proof. Suppose that the matrix $A$ is irreducible. For two tiles $\omega=$ $(\alpha, b, a, \beta), \omega^{\prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in E_{\kappa}$, there exist concatenated edges $\left(\beta, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ in the graph $G_{A}$ for some edges $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n} \in E_{A}$. Since $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ has the diagonal property, there exists a configuration $\left(\omega_{i, j}\right)_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}^{2}} \in$ $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ such that $\omega^{\prime}=\omega_{i, j}$ for some $i>0, j=-1$. Hence $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ is transitive.

Since the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{A, B}}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathscr{O}_{H_{\kappa}}$ by [12], we see the following theorems.

Theorem 2.10. The subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space is transitive if and if the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible. In this case, $H_{\kappa}$ satisfies condition (I). Hence if the subshift $X_{A, B}^{\kappa}$ of the tiling space is transitive, the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

By Lemma 2.9, we have
Theorem 2.11. If the matrix $A$ or $B$ is irreducible, the matrix $H_{\kappa}$ is irreducible and satisfies condition (I), so that the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{K}^{A, B}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

## 3. The algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}} \mathscr{E}_{k],[M]}$ for two positive integers $N, M$

Let $N, M$ be positive integers with $N, M>1$. They give $1 \times 1$ commuting matrices $A=[N], B=[M]$. We will present K-theory formulae for the $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}$ with the exchanging specification $\kappa$. In the computations below, we will use the Euclidean algorithm to find order of the torsion part of the $K_{0}$-group. The directed graph $G_{A}$ for the matrix $A=[N]$ is a graph consisting of $N$-self directed loops with a vertex denoted by $v$. The $N$-self directed loops are denoted by $E_{A}$. Similarly the directed graph $G_{B}$ for $B=[M]$ consists of $M$-self directed loops denoted by $E_{B}$ with the vertex $v$. We fix a specification $\kappa: E_{A} \times E_{B} \rightarrow E_{B} \times E_{A}$ defined by exchanging $\kappa(\alpha, a)=(a, \alpha)$ for $(\alpha, a) \in$ $E_{A} \times E_{B}$. Hence $\Omega_{\kappa}=E_{A} \times E_{B}$ so that $\left|\Omega_{\kappa}\right|=\left|E_{A}\right| \times\left|E_{B}\right|=N \times M$. We then know $A_{\kappa}((\alpha, a),(\delta, b))=1$ if and only if $b=a$, and $B_{\kappa}((\alpha, a),(\beta, d))=1$ if and only if $\beta=\alpha$ as in the following figures respectively.

and


In [12], the K-groups for the case $N=2$ and $M=3$ have been computed such that

$$
K_{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\left.\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[2],[3]}\right)} \cong \mathrm{Z} / 8 \mathrm{Z}, \quad K_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\left.\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[2],[3]}\right)} \cong 0\right.\right.
$$

Hence $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{[2][1]]}}$ is stably isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathscr{O}_{9}$ of order 9 ([1]). We will generalize the above computations.

Let $I_{n}$ be the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $E_{n}$ the $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are all 1's. For an $N \times N$-matrix $C=\left[c_{i, j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{N}$ and an $M \times M$-matrix $D=\left[d_{k, l}\right]_{k, l=1}^{M}$, denote by $C \otimes D$ the $N M \times N M$ matrix

$$
C \otimes D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{11} D & c_{12} D & \ldots & c_{1 N} D \\
c_{21} D & c_{22} D & \ldots & c_{2 N} D \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{N 1} D & c_{N 2} D & \ldots & c_{N N} D
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{N} \otimes I_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{M} & I_{M} & \ldots & I_{M} \\
I_{M} & I_{M} & \ldots & I_{M} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
I_{M} & I_{M} & \ldots & I_{M}
\end{array}\right], \\
& I_{N} \otimes E_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{M} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & E_{M} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & E_{M}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We put $E_{[N]}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right\}, E_{[M]}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{M}\right\}$. As $\Omega_{\kappa}=E_{[N]} \times E_{[M]}$, the basis of $\mathrm{C}^{N} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{M}$ are ordered lexicographically from left as in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{1}, a_{M}\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, a_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{2}, a_{M}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\ldots,\left(\alpha_{N}, a_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{N}, a_{M}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Let $A_{\kappa}$ and $B_{\kappa}$ be the matrices defined in the previous section for the matrices $A=[N], B=[M]$ with the exchanging specification $\kappa$. The following lemma is direct.

Lemma 3.1. The matrices $A_{\kappa}, B_{\kappa}$ are written as

$$
A_{\kappa}=E_{N} \otimes I_{M}, \quad B_{\kappa}=I_{N} \otimes E_{M}
$$

along the ordered basis (3.1). Hence we have

$$
A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
E_{M} & I_{M} & \ldots & I_{M}  \tag{3.2}\\
I_{M} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & I_{M} \\
I_{M} & \ldots & I_{M} & E_{M}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We denote by $H(0)$ the matrix $A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}$. By Theorem 2.2, the K-groups of the algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}$ are given by the kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(H(0))$ and the cokernel Coker $(H(0))$ of the matrix $H(0)$ in $\mathrm{Z}^{N M}$. For an $M \times M$ matrix $C$ and $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$ with $i \neq j$, define an $N \times N$ block matrix $\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C)=$ $\left[\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C)(k, l)\right]_{k, l=1}^{N}$, whose entries $\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C)(k, l), k, l=1,2, \ldots, N$ are $M \times M$ matrices, by setting

$$
\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C)(k, l)= \begin{cases}I_{M} & (k=l) \\ C & (k=i, j=l) \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

The multiplication of the matrix $\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C)$ from the left (resp. right) corresponds to the operation of adding the $C$-multiplication of the $j$ th row (resp. $i$ th column) to the $i$ th row (resp. $j$ th column). We will transform $H(0)$ preserving isomorphism classes of the groups $\operatorname{Ker}(H(0))$ and $\operatorname{Coker}(H(0))$ in $\mathbf{Z}^{N M}$ by multiplying the matrices $\mathrm{E}_{i, j}(C), i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$.

We first consider row operations and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(1)=\mathrm{E}_{N-1, N}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-2, N-1}\left(-I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{1,2}\left(-I_{M}\right) H(0), \\
& H(2)=\mathrm{E}_{N, N-1}\left(I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-1, N-2}\left(I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{2,1}\left(I_{M}\right) H(1) \\
& H(3)=\mathrm{E}_{1,2}\left(I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{2,3}\left(I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{N-2, N-1}\left(I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-1, N}\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) H(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to see that the matrix $H$ (3) goes to

$$
H(3)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
p_{M}(N-1) & 0 & & \ldots & & 0 \\
p_{M}(N-2) & E_{M}-I_{M} & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
p_{M}(2) & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\
p_{M}(1) & E_{M}-I_{M} & \ldots & \ldots & E_{M}-I_{M} & 0 \\
E_{M} & I_{M} & \ldots & \ldots & I_{M} & I_{M}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $p_{M}(i)=E_{M}^{2}+(i-1) E_{M}-i I_{M}=\left(E_{M}+i I_{M}\right)\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots N-1$.

We second consider column operations and set

$$
H(4)=H(3) \mathrm{E}_{N, N-1}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N, N-2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{N, 2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N, 1}\left(-E_{M}\right)
$$

which goes to

$$
H(4)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
p_{M}(N-1) & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 \\
p_{M}(N-2) & E_{M}-I_{M} & & & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
p_{M}(2) & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\
p_{M}(1) & E_{M}-I_{M} & \ldots & \ldots & E_{M}-I_{M} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & I_{M}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By successive multiplications of the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{N-1, N-2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-1, N-3}\left(-I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{N-1,2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-1,1}\left(-\left(E_{M}+I_{M}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{N-2, N-3}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-2, N-4}\left(-I_{M}\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}_{N-2,2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{N-2,1}\left(-\left(E_{M}+2 I_{M}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{3,2}\left(-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{E}_{3,1}\left(-\left(E_{M}+(N-2) I_{M}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{2,1}\left(-\left(E_{M}+(N-1) I_{M}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from the right side of $H(4)$, we obtain the diagonal matrix

$$
\tilde{H}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
p_{M}(N-1) & 0 & & \cdots & & 0 \\
0 & E_{M}-I_{M} & & & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& & & & E_{M}-I_{M} & 0 \\
0 & & \cdots & & 0 & I_{M}
\end{array}\right]
$$

As $E_{M}^{2}=M E_{M}$, we have $p_{M}(N-1)=(M+N-2) E_{M}-(N-1) I_{M}$. We thus have

Lemma 3.2.

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}\right) \text { in } \mathrm{Z}^{N M} \cong 0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Coker}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}\right) \text { in } \mathrm{Z}^{N M} \\
& \cong \overbrace{\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M}}^{(N-2)} \\
& \quad \oplus \mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left((M+N-2) E_{M}-(N-1) I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is straightforward to see that the matrix $A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}$ is invertible by the formula (3.2). Since

$$
\operatorname{Coker}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-I_{N M}\right) \text { in } \mathbf{z}^{N M} \cong \mathrm{z}^{N M} / \tilde{H} \mathbf{Z}^{N M}
$$

the formula for the cokernel is obvious.
We will next compute the following groups to compute $\operatorname{Coker}\left(A_{\kappa}+B_{\kappa}-\right.$ $\left.I_{N M}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Z}^{N M}$.
(i) $\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M}$,
(ii) $\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left((M+N-2) E_{M}-(N-1) I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M}$

For an integer $c$ and $i, j=1,2, \ldots, M$ with $i \neq j$, define an $M \times M$ matrix $E_{i, j}(c)=\left[E_{i, j}(c)(k, l)\right]_{k, l=1}^{M}$ by setting

$$
E_{i, j}(c)(k, l)= \begin{cases}1 & (k=l)  \tag{3.3}\\ c & (k=i, j=l) \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

(i) By successive multiplications of the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{M-1, M}(-1) E_{M-2, M-1}(-1) \cdots E_{1,2}(-1) \\
& E_{M, M-1}(1) E_{M-1, M-2}(1) \cdots E_{2,1}(1) \\
& E_{M, M-1}(-1) E_{M, M-2}(-1) \cdots E_{M, 1}(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

from the left side of the matrix

$$
E_{M}-I_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
1 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

we get the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
M-1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

which goes to the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $[1,1, \ldots, 1, M-1]$ by elementary column operations. Hence we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M} \cong \mathrm{Z} /(M-1) \mathrm{Z} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Put $e=(M+N-2)-(N-1)=M-1$ and $f=M+N-2$. Then we have

$$
(M+N-2) E_{M}-(N-1) I_{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
e & f & \cdots & f  \tag{3.5}\\
f & e & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & f \\
f & \cdots & f & e
\end{array}\right]
$$

By a similar manner to the preceding matrix operations from $H(1)$ to $H(4)$, one obtains the following matrix denoted by $L(1)$ from the matrix (3.5)

$$
L(1)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
e-f & f-e & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
e-f & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & f-e & 0 \\
e-f & 0 & \cdots & 0 & f-e \\
e & f & \cdots & f & f
\end{array}\right]
$$

By exchanging columns in the matrix

$$
L(1) E_{2,1}(1) E_{3,1}(1) \cdots E_{M, 1}(1),
$$

we have

$$
L(2)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
f-e & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & f-e & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & f-e & 0 \\
f & \cdots & f & f & e+(M-1) f
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to see that the matrix

$$
E_{M-1, M-2}(1) \cdots E_{3,2}(1) E_{2,1}(1) L(2) E_{2,1}(-1) E_{3,2}(-1) \cdots E_{M-1, M-2}(-1)
$$

goes to

$$
\tilde{L}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
f-e & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & f-e & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & f & e+(M-1) f
\end{array}\right]
$$

Put the $2 \times 2$ matrix $L_{(N, M)}$ by setting

$$
L_{(N, M)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f-e & 0 \\
f & e+(M-1) f
\end{array}\right] .
$$

As $f-e=N-1$, we have the following lemma with (3.4).
Lemma 3.3.
(i) $\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left(E_{M}-I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M} \cong \mathrm{Z} /(M-1) \mathrm{Z}$.
(ii) $\mathrm{Z}^{M} /\left((M+N-2) E_{M}-(N-1) I_{M}\right) \mathrm{Z}^{M}$

$$
\cong \overbrace{\mathbf{Z} /(N-1) \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} /(N-1) \mathbf{Z}}^{M-2} \oplus \mathrm{Z}^{2} / L_{(N, M)} \mathbf{Z}^{2} .
$$

It remains to compute the group $\mathrm{Z}^{2} / L_{(N, M)} \mathrm{Z}^{2}$. Put $n=N-1, m=M-1$. As $f-e=n$ and $f=m+n$, we have $e+(M-1) f=(M-1)(M+N-1)=$ $m(m+n+1)$ so that

$$
L_{(N, M)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n & 0 \\
n+m & m(m+n+1)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For an integer $c$ and $i, j=1,2$ with $i \neq j$, define an $2 \times 2$ matrix $E_{i, j}(c)=$ $\left[E_{i, j}(c)(k, l)\right]_{k, l=1}^{2}$ in a similar way to (3.3). Put $L_{n, m}=E_{2,1}(-1) L_{(N, M)}$ so that

$$
L_{n, m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n & 0 \\
m & m(m+n+1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

We may assume that $M \geq N$ and hence $m \geq n$.

If $m$ is divided by $n$ so that $m=n k$ for some $k \in \mathrm{~N}$, the matrix $E_{2,1}(-k) L_{n, m}$ goes to the diagonal matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n & 0 \\
0 & m(m+n+1)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
N-1 & 0 \\
0 & (M-1)(M+N-1)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{2} / L_{(N, M)} \mathrm{Z}^{2} \cong \mathrm{Z} /(N-1) \mathrm{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Z} /(M-1)(M+N-1) \mathrm{Z}
$$

Otherwise, by the Euclidean algorithm, we have lists of integers $r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{j}$ and $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}$ for some $j \in \mathrm{~N}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
m & =n k_{0}+r_{0}, & & 0<r_{0}<n, \\
n & =r_{0} k_{1}+r_{1}, & & 0<r_{1}<r_{0}, \\
r_{0} & =r_{1} k_{2}+r_{2}, & & 0<r_{2}<r_{1}, \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
r_{j-2} & =r_{j-1} k_{j}+r_{j}, & & 0<r_{j}<r_{j-1}, \\
r_{j-1} & =r_{j} k_{j+1}, & & 0=r_{j+1}
\end{array}
$$

where $r_{j}=(m, n)$ the greatest common divisor of $m$ and $n$. Put $g=m(m+$ $n+1)$. We set

$$
L_{n, m}(0)=E_{2,1}\left(-k_{0}\right) L_{n, m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
n & 0 \\
r_{0} & g
\end{array}\right]
$$

We define a finite sequence of matrices $L_{n, m}(l), l=1,2, \ldots$ by

$$
L_{n, m}(1)=E_{1,2}\left(-k_{1}\right) L_{n, m}(0), \quad L_{n, m}(2)=E_{2,1}\left(-k_{2}\right) L_{n, m}(1)
$$

and inductively

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n, m}(2 i-1) & =E_{1,2}\left(-k_{2 i-1}\right) L_{n, m}(2 i-2) \\
L_{n, m}(2 i) & =E_{2,1}\left(-k_{2 i}\right) L_{n, m}(2 i-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Euclidean algorithm stops at $j+1=2 i-1$ or $j+1=2 i$ for some $i \in \mathrm{~N}$. We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[k_{0}\right]=1, \quad\left[k_{1}\right]=k_{1}, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right]=1+k_{1} k_{2}, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right]=\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] k_{3}+\left[k_{1}\right]} \\
\ldots, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right]=\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] k_{j+1}+\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j-1}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
L_{n, m}(1)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{1} & -\left[k_{1}\right] g \\
r_{0} & g
\end{array}\right], \quad L_{n, m}(2)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{1} & -\left[k_{1}\right] g \\
r_{2} & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] g}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and inductively

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n, m}(2 i-1) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{2 i-1} & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{2 i-1}\right] g \\
r_{2 i-2} & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{2 i-2}\right] g}
\end{array}\right], \\
L_{n, m}(2 i) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{2 i-1} & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{2 i-1}\right] g \\
r_{2 i} & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{2 i}\right] g}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots$ We denote by $d$ the greatest common divisor $(m, n)$ of $m$ and $n$, so that $d=r_{j}$. Take $m_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $m=m_{0} d$. Put $g_{0}=m_{0}(m+n+1)$ so that $g=g_{0} d$. We have two cases.

Case 1: $j+1=2 i-1$ for some $i \in \mathrm{~N}$. We have

$$
L_{n, m}(j+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{j+1} & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g \\
r_{j} & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g \\
d & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g_{0} d}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and hence

$$
L_{n, m}(j+1) E_{1,2}\left(-\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g_{0}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g \\
d & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Case 2: $j+1=2 i$ for some $i \in \mathrm{~N}$. We have

$$
L_{n, m}(j+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
r_{j} & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g \\
r_{j+1} & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
d & -\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g_{0} d \\
0 & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and hence

$$
L_{n, m}(j+1) E_{1,2}\left(\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] g_{0}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
d & 0 \\
0 & {\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We reach the following lemma.
Lemma 3.4.

$$
\mathrm{Z}^{2} / L_{(N, M)} \mathrm{Z}^{2} \cong \mathrm{Z} / d \mathrm{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Z} /\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] g \mathrm{Z}
$$

Therefore we have
Theorem 3.5. For positive integers $1<N \leq M \in \mathrm{~N}$ and the exchanging specification $\kappa$ between $N$-loops and $M$-loops in a graph with one vertex, the
$C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{\kappa}^{[N],[M]}}$ is a simple purely infinite Cuntz-Krieger algebra whose K-groups are

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}\right) \cong & 0 \\
K_{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[M]}}\right) \cong & \overbrace{\mathbf{Z} /(N-1) \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} /(N-1) \mathbf{Z}}^{M-2} \\
& \oplus \overbrace{\mathbf{Z} /(M-1) \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} /(M-1) \mathbf{Z}}^{N-2} \\
& \oplus \mathbf{Z} / d \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} /\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right](M-1)(M+N-1) \mathbf{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d=(N-1, M-1)$ is the greatest common divisor of $N-1$ and $M-1$, the sequence $k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}$ of integers is the list of the successive integral quotients of $M-1$ by $N-1$ in the Euclidean algorithm such as

$$
\begin{aligned}
M-1 & =(N-1) k_{0}+r_{0} & \text { for some } & k_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, 0<r_{0}<N-1, \\
N-1 & =r_{0} k_{1}+r_{1} & \text { for some } & k_{1} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, 0<r_{1}<r_{0}, \\
& \vdots & & \\
r_{j-2} & =r_{j-1} k_{j}+r_{j} & \text { for some } & k_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}_{+}, 0<r_{j}<r_{j-1}, \\
r_{j-1} & =d k_{j+1}, & &
\end{aligned}
$$

and the integer $\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right]$ is defined by inductively

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[k_{0}\right]=1, \quad\left[k_{1}\right]=k_{1}, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] } & =1+k_{1} k_{2} \\
\ldots, \quad\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right] & =\left[k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{j}\right] k_{j+1}+\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally present examples.
Examples 3.6. 1. For the case $1<N=M$, we have $d=N-1, k_{0}=$ $1, r_{0}=0$. As we see $\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right]=1$, we have

$$
\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right](M-1)(M+N-1)=(N-1)(2 N-1)
$$

Hence

$$
K_{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[N],[N]}}\right) \cong \overbrace{\mathrm{Z} /(N-1) \mathrm{Z} \oplus \cdots \cdot \oplus \mathrm{Z} /(N-1) \mathrm{Z}}^{2 N-3} \oplus \mathrm{Z} /(N-1)(2 N-1) \mathrm{Z} .
$$

2. For the case $N=2$ and $M \geq 2$, we have $d=1, r_{0}=0$. As we see $\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right]=1$, we have

$$
\left[k_{1}, \ldots, k_{j+1}\right](M-1)(M+N-1)=1 \times(M-1)(M+1)=M^{2}-1
$$

Hence

$$
K_{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathscr{H}_{k}^{[2],[M]}}\right) \cong \mathrm{Z} /\left(M^{2}-1\right) \mathrm{Z}
$$

The formula for $N=2, M=3$ is already seen in [12].
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