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#### Abstract

We investigate self-similar automorphisms of a free group $F$ of infinite countable rank, that is automorphisms for which their actions on $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are similar. We show properties, examples and counterexamples of self-similar automorphisms and study the subgroup generated by self-similar automorphisms.


## 1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $F$ be a free group of countable rank. As usual, $F^{\prime}$ denotes the commutator subgroup of $F$ and we define the terms of the derived series $F^{(n)}$ of $F$ as follows $F^{(0)}=F, F^{(1)}=F^{\prime}, F^{(n+1)}=\left[F^{(n)}, F^{(n)}\right]$ for $n>0$. We denote by N the set of natural numbers $\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$, and by Z the ring of integers.

We investigate self-similar automorphisms of a free group $F$ of infinite countable rank, that is automorphisms for which their actions on $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are similar. We show properties, examples of self-similar automorphisms and study the subgroup generated by self-similar automorphisms.

The subgroups and the structure of the automorphism group of a free group of finite rank have been studied intensively (cf. surveys [8], [10]). However, we still know little about automorphisms of free groups of infinite rank. The group Aut $(F)$ of automorphisms of a free group $F$ of countable rank is "vast". For example, it contains an isomorphic copy of the group $S(\mathrm{~N})$ of all permutations on natural numbers and an isomorphic copy of the group $Z_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$ of the infinite series with entries 0 and 1 . Some subgroups of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ are described in [4] and [7]. Many properties of $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ can be found in [1], [2], [12], [13].

Throughout this paper if $\xi$ is an automorphism of $F$ then $\xi^{\prime}=\left.\xi\right|_{F^{\prime}}$ denotes the restriction of $\xi$ on $F^{\prime}$. It is clear that $\xi^{\prime}$ is an automorphism of $F^{\prime}$. Generally $\xi^{(n)}$ will denote the restriction of $\xi$ on $F^{(n)}$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $\xi$ is self-similar (or that $\xi$ is similar to $\xi^{\prime}$ ) if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$, such that the following diagram
commutes $\left(\xi \alpha=\alpha \xi^{\prime}\right)$ :


We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of all self-similar automorphisms of $F$.
In terms of the language of operator groups (cf. [11]), $\alpha$ is an isomorphism from the operator group $(F, \xi)$ to $\left(F^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $X$ be a fixed basis of $F$. An automorphism $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ is an elementary simultaneous Nielsen automorphism if it satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $\tau$ permutes the set $X$.
(2) $\tau$ inverts some elements of $X$ and acts trivially on the rest of elements of $X$.
(3) There exists the subset $U$ of $X$ such that $u^{\tau}=u v$ or $v u$ for $u \in U$ and some $v$ in $X \backslash U$ and $v^{\tau}=v$ for every $v \in X \backslash U$.

The notion of elementary simultaneous Nielsen automorphisms was introduced by Cohen in [4]. Bogopolski and Singhof in [1] call automorphisms of type (1) and (2) monomial automorphisms. The set of monomial automorphisms is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$. We use the notation $\mathscr{E}_{X}$ for the subgroup generated by elementary simultaneous Nielsen automorphisms, and $\mathscr{E}$ for the subgroup generated by all $\mathscr{E}_{X}$, where $X$ is a basis of $F$. The conjecture of D. Solitar states that $\mathscr{E}_{X}$ coincides with the subgroup of bounded automorphisms (see [4]).

We fix a basis (i.e. a free generator set) $X$ of $F$ and introduce the class $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ of automorphisms of $F$. An automorphism $\xi$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ if there exist three pairwise disjoint, countable subsets $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, such that $X=A \cup B \cup C$ and for every $n \in \mathrm{~N} a_{n}^{\xi}=b_{n}$, $b_{n}^{\xi}=a_{n}$ and $c_{n}^{\xi}=c_{n}^{-1}$. Clearly, all automorphisms in $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ have order two and any two of them are conjugate. Shortly, we write that $\xi$ acts on the basis $X=A \cup B \cup C$ as follows: $A \leftrightarrow B, C \rightarrow C^{-1}$. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the subgroup generated by the union of all $\mathscr{P}_{X}$, where $X$ is a basis of the group $F$.

Let $\mathscr{S}_{X}$ consist of automorphisms permuting the basis $X$. Clearly, $\mathscr{S}_{X}$ is a subgroup of $\mathscr{E}_{X}$ and $\mathscr{S}_{X}$ is isomorphic to the group $S(\mathrm{~N})$ of all permutations of natural numbers. We use the symbol $\mathscr{S}$ for the subgroup generated by all $\mathscr{S}_{X}$, where $X$ is a basis of $F$.

We need one more set of automorphisms, $\mathscr{L}_{X}$. An automorphism $\alpha$ belongs to $\mathscr{L}_{X}$ if it inverts some elements from $X$, and does not change remaining
elements. It is clear that $\mathscr{L}_{X}$ is isomorphic to the group $Z_{2}^{N}$ of all infinite series with coordinates 0 or 1 . As previously $\mathscr{L}$ is the subgroup generated by the union of all $\mathscr{L}_{X}$, where $X$ is a basis of the group $F$.

The intersection $\mathscr{L}_{X} \cap \mathscr{S}_{X}$ is trivial. The product $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ is the subgroup of Aut $(F)$ and in fact it is the subgroup of monomial automorphisms on the set $X$. In the group $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ the subgroup $\mathscr{L}_{X}$ is normal, while $\mathscr{S}_{X}$ is not normal. So, the product $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ is a semidirect product $\mathscr{L}_{X} \rtimes \mathscr{S}_{X}$ of $\mathscr{L}_{X}$ by $\mathscr{S}_{X}$. If we look closely we will see that this subgroup is isomorphic to the wreath product $Z_{2} 2 S(N)$ (cf. [5]). Elements of this wreath product have a form $\left(\sigma,\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)$, where $\sigma \in S(\mathbb{N})$ and $\left(\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right) \in Z_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}\right.$. Multiplication is given by

$$
\left(\sigma,\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)\right) \cdot\left(\delta,\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)=\left(\sigma \delta,\left(\varepsilon_{1^{\delta}}, \varepsilon_{2^{\delta}}, \ldots\right)+\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)
$$

and $\left(\sigma,\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)$ is associated with automorphism $\alpha \in \mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ acting on $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ as follows: $x_{i}^{\alpha}=x_{i^{\sigma}}^{(-1)^{\varepsilon_{i}}}$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3.
(i) Every automorphism $\xi$ from $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ is self-similar, so $\mathscr{P} \subseteq\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$.
(ii) $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle=\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}, \mathscr{L}_{X} \cap \mathscr{S}_{X}=1$, so $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$ consists of all monomial automorphisms on the set $X$ and is isomorphic to the wreath product $Z_{2}$ 乙 $S(\mathrm{~N})$.
(iii) $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{P}<\langle\mathscr{M}\rangle \triangleleft \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.

Remark 1.4. It can be deduced from ([3], Theorem C) and Theorem 1.3 (iii) that if $\langle\mathscr{M}\rangle \neq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ then the index of $\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ equals $2^{\aleph_{0}}$.

This work is inspired by research on automorphisms permuting generators in free groups of finite rank (cf. [9], [15]). The following example shows the relationship between self-similar automorphisms and automorphisms permuting generators in the free group of rank two.

Example 1.5. Let $F_{2}$ be a free group of rank 2, freely generated by $x$ and $y$ and let $\sigma$ be the automorphism of $F_{2}$ permuting $x$ and $y$. Then the commutator subgroup $F=F_{2}^{\prime}$ of $F_{2}=\langle x, y\rangle$ is a free group of infinite rank. It can be deduced from [10] (4.3) that $F=F_{2}^{\prime}$ is freely generated by the set $X=A \cup B \cup C$, where $A=\left\{\left[x^{c}, y^{d}\right]: c>d, c, d \in \mathrm{Z}\right\}, B=\left\{\left[y^{c}, x^{d}\right]:\right.$ $c>d, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}\}, C=\left\{\left[x^{c}, y^{c}\right]: c \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$. The automorphism $\xi=\left.\sigma\right|_{F}$, which is the restriction of $\sigma$ to $F=F_{2}^{\prime}$, acts on this basis according to the schema $A \leftrightarrow B, C \rightarrow C^{-1}$. So, $\xi$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}_{X}$. Then by Theorem 1.3 (i), $\xi$ belongs to $\mathscr{M}$, that is $\xi$ is similar to $\xi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

## 2. Properties of the set $\mathscr{M}$

Proposition 2.1. Let $\alpha$ be an isomorphism from $F$ to $F^{\prime}$. Then
(i) For every $w \in F$ we have $w^{\alpha} \in F^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $w \in F^{\prime}$. Generally $w^{\alpha} \in F^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$ if and only if $w \in F^{(n-1)}$.
(ii) $\alpha$ has no nontrivial fixed points.
(iii) The restriction $\alpha^{\prime}=\left.\alpha\right|_{F^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism between $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$.
(iv) The mapping $\beta: F / F^{\prime} \rightarrow F^{\prime} / F^{\prime \prime}$, given by $\left(w F^{\prime}\right)^{\beta}=w^{\alpha} F^{\prime \prime}$, is the isomorphism of free abelian groups.

Proof. (i) is clear.
(ii) Let $g \neq 1$ be a fixed point of $\alpha$ and let $n$ be such a number that $g \in F^{(n-1)}$ but $g \notin F^{(n)}$. Then by (i) we have $g=g^{\alpha} \in F^{(n)}$, which is a contradiction.
(iii) It follows from (i) that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a bijection from $F^{\prime}$ onto $F^{\prime \prime}$, so it is an isomorphism.
(iv) First, we show that $w F^{\prime}=u F^{\prime}$ if and only if $w^{\alpha} F^{\prime \prime}=u^{\alpha} F^{\prime \prime}$. Indeed $w F^{\prime}=u F^{\prime}$ if and only if $w u^{-1} \in F^{\prime}$, then by (i) it is equivalent to $\left(w u^{-1}\right)^{\alpha} \in$ $F^{\prime \prime}$, and so to $w^{\alpha} F^{\prime \prime}=u^{\alpha} F^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, $\beta$ is correctly defined and is an injection.

Since $\alpha$ is a surjection, $\beta$ is also a surjection. So $\beta$ is an isomorphism.
An automorphism $\xi$ induces the automorphism $\bar{\xi}$ of the free abelian group $F / F^{\prime}$ and similarly $\xi^{\prime}$ induces the automorphism $\bar{\xi}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime} / F^{\prime \prime}$. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 2.1 (iv) that the following diagram:

commutes, that is $\bar{\xi} \beta=\beta \bar{\xi}^{\prime}$ or equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{-1} \bar{\xi} \beta=\bar{\xi}^{\prime} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show examples of automorphisms not belonging to $\mathscr{M}$.
Example 2.2. Let $\xi$ be the automorphism of $F$ which inverts every element of basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$, that is for $i=1,2,3, \ldots, x_{i}^{\xi}=x_{i}^{-1}$. Then groups with operators $(F, \xi)$ and $\left(F^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ are not isomorphic. So, $\xi$ does not belong to $\mathscr{M}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\xi$ induces the automorphism $\bar{\xi}$ of the free abelian group $F / F^{\prime}$, which sends every element into its inverse. But $\bar{\xi}^{\prime}$ does not, since
for example $\left[x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2}^{-1}\right] \neq\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{-1} \bmod F^{\prime \prime}$ and the equation (2.1) does not hold.

Example 2.3. An inner automorphism $i_{g}(w)=g^{-1} w g$ belongs to $\mathscr{M}$ only for $g=1$.

Proof. Let $\alpha: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism, for which $i_{g} \alpha=\alpha i_{g}^{\prime}$. Thus for every $w \in F$ we have $\left(g^{-1} w g\right)^{\alpha}=g^{-1} w^{\alpha} g$. From this we get $g g^{-\alpha} w^{\alpha}=$ $w^{\alpha} g g^{-\alpha}$. Since $\alpha$ is an isomorphism, $g g^{-\alpha}$ lies in the center of $F^{\prime}$, so $g^{\alpha}=g$. By Proposition 2.1 (ii) $g=1$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\xi$ be a self-similar automorphism of $F$. Then
(i) $\xi^{-1}$ also belongs to $M$.
(ii) If $\zeta$ is an automorphism of $F$, conjugate to $\xi$ then $\zeta$ belongs to $\mathscr{M}$.
(iii) $\mathscr{M}$ is not a subgroup.
(iv) For every natural number $n$ the automorphism $\xi$ is similar to $\xi^{(n)}$, where $\xi^{(n)}=\left.\xi\right|_{F^{(n)}}$ is the restriction of $\xi$ to $F^{(n)}$. If $\alpha: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism such that $\xi \alpha=\alpha \xi^{\prime}$ then $\alpha^{n}: F \rightarrow F^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism such that $\xi \alpha^{n}=\alpha^{n} \xi^{(n)}$.
Proof. (i) If $\alpha: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism such that $\xi \alpha=\alpha \xi^{\prime}$ then $\alpha^{-1} \xi \alpha=\xi^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{-1} \xi^{-1} \alpha=\xi^{\prime-1}$. Since $\xi^{\prime-1}$ is the restriction of $\xi^{-1}$ to $F^{\prime}$, the statement follows.
(ii) If $\zeta=\beta^{-1} \xi \beta$ then $\zeta^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime-1} \xi^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$. If $\xi=\alpha \xi^{\prime} \alpha^{-1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta=\beta^{-1} \alpha \xi^{\prime} \alpha^{-1} \beta & =\beta^{-1} \alpha \beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime-1} \xi^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta \\
& =\left(\beta^{\prime-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta\right)^{-1} \zeta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\beta^{\prime-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta$ is an isomorphism mapping $F$ onto $F^{\prime}, \zeta$ is self-similar.
(iii) We shall show in Section 3 that the automorphism inverting all elements of the basis $X$ is the product of automorphisms from $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ and we shall show in Section 5 that the subgroup generated by $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ is contained in the subgroup generated by $\mathscr{M}$. But as it is shown in Example 2.2 the automorphism inverting all elements of a fixed basis does not belong to $\mathscr{M}$. So $\mathscr{M}$ is not a subgroup.
(iv) It follows from Proposition 2.1 (i) that $\alpha^{n}$ is an isomorphism that maps $F$ onto $F^{(n)}$. The equality $\xi \alpha^{n}=\alpha^{n} \xi^{(n)}$ can be proved by induction on $n$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii)

We recall that $\alpha$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ if there are three countable, pairwise disjoint subsets $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right\}, C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, such that $X=A \cup B \cup C$ and for every natural $n$ we have $a_{n}^{\alpha}=b_{n}, b_{n}^{\alpha}=a_{n}, c_{n}^{\alpha}=c_{n}^{-1}$. We use the short notation $\alpha: A \leftrightarrow B, C \rightarrow C^{-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii). Our aim is to prove that $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle=\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$. Every automorphism from the set $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$ belongs to $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$. So it remains to prove that $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ is contained in $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$.

First we show that $\mathscr{L}_{X} \subseteq\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$.
We split $X$ into three infinite, pairwise disjoint subsets $X=A \cup B \cup C$. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be automorphisms acting on these sets as follows: $\alpha: A \leftrightarrow B, C \rightarrow$ $C^{-1}, \beta: A \leftrightarrow C, B \rightarrow B^{-1}, \gamma: B \leftrightarrow C, A \rightarrow A^{-1}$. The automorphisms $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ belong to $\mathscr{P}_{X}$ and $\alpha \beta \alpha \gamma$ is the automorphism acting identically on $A$ and inverting elements in $B \cup C$.

So we have proved that every automorphism $\delta$ for which there is a partition of the set $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ into two infinite, disjoint subsets such that $\delta$ acts trivially on $X_{1}$ and inverts all elements in $X_{2}$, belongs to $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$. We define such automorphisms by giving these two sets and show now that the set of these automorphisms generates $\mathscr{L}_{X}$.

For every automorphism $\eta$ in $\mathscr{L}_{X}$ there exist subsets $U$ and $V$ (not necessarily infinite, and even one of them can be empty), such that $\eta$ acts trivially on $U$ and inverts elements from $V$. If $U$ and $V$ are infinite then $\eta$ is among generators for $X_{1}=U$ and $X_{2}=V$.

If $U$ is finite (or empty) then $V$ must be infinite. We partition $V$ into two infinite, disjoint subsets $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Then $\eta$ is a composition of two generators. For the first one $X_{1}=U \cup V_{1}, X_{2}=V_{2}$, and for the other $X_{1}=U \cup V_{2}$, $X_{2}=V_{1}$.

If $V$ is finite then $U$ is infinite. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be infinite, disjoint subsets such that $X=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and $V \subseteq V_{2}$. Then $\eta$ is the product of two generators. For the first one $X_{1}=V_{2}, X_{2}=V_{1}$, and for the second $X_{1}=V_{2} \backslash V, X_{2}=V \cup V_{1}$. Since $V$ is finite, in the second case both sets are also infinite.

Now we prove that $\mathscr{S}_{X} \subseteq\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$.
By [5] (Lemma 8.1A, p. 256) every permutation is a product of two involutions. So, it suffices to prove that every permutation of order two belongs to $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$.

We have shown above that every automorphism $\alpha$ for which there exist three infinite, pairwise disjoint subsets $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right\}, C=$ $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $a_{n}^{\alpha}=b_{n}, b_{n}^{\alpha}=a_{n}, c_{n}^{\alpha}=c_{n}$ for every natural $n$, belongs to $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$. As previously, we use the notation $\alpha: A \leftrightarrow B, C \rightarrow C$. To define $\alpha$ it is enough to indicate sets $A, B$ and $C$. Throughout this proof we call such automorphisms generators.

Further part of the proof is similar to the one above. Let $\beta$ be any involution in $\mathscr{S}_{X}$ for which there exist three subsets $U, V, W$ (not necessarily infinite), such that $\eta: U \leftrightarrow V, W \rightarrow W$. If $U, V, W$ are infinite then $\eta$ is among the generators.

If $U, V$ are infinite and $W$ is finite then we partition $U$ into two disjoint
subsets $U=U_{1} \cup U_{2}$. Thus, we get the partition of the set $V: V=U^{\eta}=$ $\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}\right)^{\eta}=U_{1}^{\eta} \cup U_{2}^{\eta}=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Then $\eta$ is the composition of two generators. The first one is defined by $A=U_{1}, B=V_{1}, C=U_{2} \cup V_{2} \cup W$, and the second one is defined by $A=U_{2}, B=V_{2}, C=U_{1} \cup V_{1} \cup W$.

If $U, V$ are finite then $W$ is infinite. We partition $W$ into three infinite, disjoint subsets $W=U_{1} \cup V_{1} \cup W_{1}$. Then $\eta$ is a product of two generators. The first is defined by sets $A=U_{1} \cup U, B=V_{1} \cup V, C=W_{1}$ and the second is defined by $A=U_{1}, B=V_{1}, C=U \cup V \cup W_{1}$.

Since $\mathscr{L}_{X} \subseteq\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$ and $\mathscr{S}_{X} \subseteq\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$ we have that $\mathscr{L}_{X} \mathscr{S}_{X}$ is contained in $\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}\right\rangle$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii)

Lemma 4.1. $\mathscr{E}_{X}=\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X_{\tau}}, \tau \in \mathscr{E}_{X}\right\rangle$.
Proof. Let $\tau$ belong to $\mathscr{E}_{X}$. We partition $X$ into three pairwise disjoint subsets $X=U \cup V \cup W$. Subset $W$ is non "active", that is if $w \in W$ then $w^{\tau}=w$. Also for $v \in V$ we have $v^{\tau}=v$ but elements from this set act on elements from $U$. Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots\right\}$. We partition $U$ into subsets $U=U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup \ldots$ If $u \in U_{i}$ then $u^{\tau}=u v_{i}$. Let $U_{i}$ consist of elements $u_{i 1}, u_{i 2}, \ldots$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$. Now we define a new basis $Y$ which is the union $U^{\prime} \cup W$, where $U^{\prime}=U_{1}^{\prime} \cup U_{2}^{\prime} \cup \ldots$ and $U_{i}=\left\{u_{i 1}, u_{i 1} v_{i}, u_{i 2} u_{i 1}^{-1}, u_{i 3} u_{i 1}^{-1}, \ldots\right\}$. One can check that $Y$ is a free generator set of $F$ and that the automorphism $\sigma$ defined by mapping $X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded in $X$ (and in $Y$ ), so $\sigma$ belongs to $\mathscr{E}_{X}$. Now we define an automorphism $\eta$. The automorphism $\eta$ changes $u_{i 1}$ and $u_{i 1} v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$ and acts identically on other elements. By Theorem 1.3 (ii) $\eta$ belongs to $\mathscr{P}_{Y}$. How does $\eta$ act on elements of the basis $X$ ? Let us calculate $v_{i}^{\eta}=\left(u_{i 1}^{-1} u_{i 1} v_{i}\right)^{\eta}=\left(u_{i 1} v_{i}\right)^{-1} u_{i 1}=v_{i}^{-1}, u_{i 1}^{\eta}=u_{i 1} v_{i}$, and for $k>1 u_{i k}^{\eta}=$ $\left[\left(u_{i k} u_{i 1}^{-1}\right)\left(u_{i 1}\right)\right]^{\eta}=u_{i k} u_{i 1}^{-1} u_{i 1} v_{i}=u_{i k} v_{i}$. Thus $\tau=\vartheta \eta$, where $\vartheta$ inverts all $v_{i}$ and acts trivially on other elements. Since $\vartheta \in \mathscr{L}_{X}$ and by Theorem 1.3 (ii) $\mathscr{L}_{X} \subseteq \mathscr{P}_{X}$ we get $\tau \in\left\langle\mathscr{P}_{X}, \mathscr{P}_{Y}\right\rangle$. For other variants of the mapping $\tau$ the reasoning is analogous. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). By Theorem 1.3 (i) (which will be proved in the following section) and Lemma 4.1 we have $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{P}<\langle\mathscr{M}\rangle$ so the statement is true. By Proposition 2.4 (ii), $\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle$ is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i)

Now we are ready to prove the point (i) of Theorem 1.3. Let $\xi$ belong to $\mathscr{P}_{X}$. So, $X$ is a union $A \cup B \cup C$ of three infinite, pairwise disjoint subsets $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots\right\}, C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ and $\xi$ acts on this basis as follows: $a_{i}^{\xi}=b_{i}, b_{i}^{\xi}=a_{i}, c_{i}^{\xi}=c_{i}^{-1}$, for all $i \in \mathrm{~N}$. To prove that
$\xi$ is self-similar we have to show that $F^{\prime}$ has a basis of the form $\mathfrak{a} \cup \mathfrak{b} \cup \mathfrak{c}$ in $F^{\prime}$, on which $\xi^{\prime}$ acts in similar way as $\xi$ on $X$, that is $\xi^{\prime}: \mathfrak{a} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^{-1}$. We call such a basis $\mathscr{P}$-basis. It is clear that $\xi^{2}=\mathrm{id}$.

A similar basis can be constructed by using Dyer-Scott Theorem (see [6], Theorem 3) but this theorem does not imply that all sets $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ are infinite.

We use the following order in the set of nontrivial powers of generators $\left\{a_{i}^{k_{i}}: k_{i} \in \mathrm{Z} \backslash\{0\}, i \in \mathrm{~N}\right\} \cup\left\{b_{i}^{l_{i}}: l_{i} \in \mathrm{Z} \backslash\{0\}, i \in \mathrm{~N}\right\} \cup\left\{c_{i}^{m_{i}}: m_{i} \in\right.$ $\mathrm{Z} \backslash\{0\}, i \in \mathrm{~N}\}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1}^{k_{1}}<b_{1}^{l_{1}}<c_{1}^{m_{1}}< & \cdots<a_{i}^{k_{i}}  \tag{5.1}\\
& <b_{i}^{l_{i}}<c_{i}^{m_{i}}<a_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}}<b_{i+1}^{l_{i+1}}<c_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}<\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

and if $k<l$ then $a_{i}^{k}<a_{i}^{l}, b_{i}^{k}<b_{i}^{l}$ and $c_{i}^{k}<c_{i}^{l}$ for every $i \in \mathrm{~N}$. It can be deduced from [14] that $F^{\prime}$ is freely generated by the set of all commutators of the form $\left[y^{k}, z^{l}\right]^{x_{1}^{d_{1}} x_{2}^{d_{2}} \ldots x_{k}^{d_{k}}}$ such that $y, z, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in A \cup B \cup C, y<z$, $y<x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{k}$ and $z \notin\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$, and $k, l, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ are integers. Let us denote this basis of $F^{\prime}$ by $\mathfrak{Y}$. This basis is not $\mathscr{P}$-basis. We have to reconstruct $\eta$ to get the proper one.

We use the common, possibly trivial, symbols $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}$ for elements of the subgroup $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\left\langle b_{i}\right\rangle,\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle$, respectively. We use the symbols $\mu_{i}$ or $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ for elements of the set $\left\{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right\}$. Then the basis $\geqslant$ consists of commutators of the form $\left[\mu_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\prime}\right]^{i_{i_{1}} \ldots \mu_{i_{k}}}$, where $i \leq j, i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k}$ and $\mu_{j}^{\prime} \notin\left\{\mu_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \mu_{i_{k}}\right\}$.

We split the basis $\mathfrak{y}$ into three disjoint subsets:

$$
\vartheta=T \cup Q \cup P
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}\right\} \\
& Q=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right]^{\beta_{i} h},\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{\beta_{i} h}, i<j\right\} \\
& P=\mathfrak{Y} \backslash(T \cup Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ is an ordered word in the alphabet $A \cup B \cup C$. We say that the word $h$ in the alphabet $A \cup B \cup C$ is ordered if $h=x_{1}^{d_{1}} x_{2}^{d_{2}} \ldots x_{k}^{d_{k}}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in A \cup B \cup C$, $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ are integers and $x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{k}$. Let $\mathscr{H}$ denote a set of all ordered words. Ordered words appear in exponents of commutators of the basis $\mathfrak{V}$.

Lemma 5.1. For every word $w \in F$ there exists a unique ordered word $\bar{w}$ and an element $t \in F^{\prime}$, such that $w=\bar{w} t$.

Proof. We can change the letters modulo $F^{\prime}$, so every word can be uniquely ordered modulo $F^{\prime}$.

We say that the ordered word $\bar{w}$ is the ordered image of $w$ if there exists $t \in F^{\prime}$, such that $w=\bar{w} t$. If $w \in F$ and $t \in F^{\prime}$ then $\overline{w t}=\bar{w}$. It is clear that if $h$ is an ordered word then $\bar{h}=h$.

Our plan is to change the basis consequently:
$\mathfrak{Y}=T \cup Q \cup P \rightarrow Y^{\prime}=T^{\prime} \cup Q \cup P \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime} \cup Q^{\prime} \cup P \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime \prime}=T^{\prime} \cup Q^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime}$
where $\mathfrak{Y}, Y^{\prime}, \mathfrak{Y} \bigvee^{\prime \prime}, \mathfrak{Y}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are bases of $F^{\prime}$ and sets $T^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}$ are parts of the new $\mathscr{P}$-basis. So the last set $\vartheta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a $\mathscr{P}$-basis of $F^{\prime}$.

In every step we use elementary simultaneous Nielsen transformations (see Section 1). These transformations are invertible and hence change any basis of $F$ into a new basis. We call these transformations, for short, Nielsen transformations.

By the length $|w|$ of a word $w \in F$ we mean its length in the alphabet $A \cup B \cup C$.

Let us partition the set $\mathscr{H}$ of all ordered words into three disjoint subsets $\mathscr{H}_{<}, \mathscr{H}_{>}$and $\mathscr{H}_{=}$, where:

$$
\mathscr{H}_{<}=\left\{h: h<\overline{h^{\xi}}\right\}, \mathscr{H}_{>}=\left\{h: h>\overline{h^{\xi}}\right\}, \mathscr{H}_{=}=\left\{h: h=\overline{h^{\xi}}\right\},
$$

where $<$ is the lexicographical order in $\mathscr{H}$ induced by the order (5.1) and $\overline{h^{\xi}}$ is the order image of $h^{\xi}$.

Lemma 5.2.
(i) If $w \in F$ then $\overline{\bar{w}^{\xi}}=\overline{w^{\xi}}$.
(ii) $h \in \mathscr{H}_{<}$if and only if $\overline{h^{\xi}} \in \mathscr{H}_{>}$.
(iii) If $h \in \mathscr{H}_{=}$then $h^{\xi}=h t$, where $t \in F^{\prime}$ and $t^{\xi}=t^{-1}$.
(iv) For every $h^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}$ there exist $t \in F^{\prime}$ and $h \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $h^{\prime} t=h^{\xi}$.
(v) Let $h \in \mathscr{H}$ and $\mu \in A \cup B \cup C$ then $\mu h=h^{\prime} v$, where $h^{\prime}$ is an ordered word and $v$ is a product of elements from $\mathfrak{Y}$ or their inverses, for which words in exponents are shorter than $h$.

Proof. (i) If $w \in F$ then there exists $t \in F^{\prime}$, such that $w=\bar{w} t$, hence $\overline{w^{\xi}}=\overline{(\bar{w} t)^{\xi}}=\overline{\bar{w}^{\xi} t^{\xi}}=\overline{\bar{w}^{\xi}}$, since $t^{\xi} \in F^{\prime}$.
(ii) If $h \in \mathscr{H}_{<}$then $h<\overline{h^{\xi}}$, and by (i):

$$
\overline{h^{\xi}}>h=\overline{(\overline{h \xi}) \xi}
$$

so $\overline{h^{\xi}} \in \mathscr{H}_{>}$. The converse is clear.
(iii) Let $h \in \mathscr{H}_{=}$then $h=\overline{h^{\xi}}$. There exists $t \in F^{\prime}$, such that $h^{\xi}=\overline{h^{\xi}} t=h t$ and

$$
h=\left(h^{\xi}\right)^{\xi}=(h t)^{\xi}=h t t^{\xi}
$$

so $t t^{\xi}=1$.
(iv) There exist $t^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$ and $h \in \mathscr{H}$, such that $\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{\xi}=h t^{\prime}$, so $h^{\prime}=h^{\xi}\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{\xi}$ and

$$
h^{\xi}=h^{\prime} t, \text { for } t=\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-\xi} .
$$

(v) If $\mu h$ is ordered then $h^{\prime}=\mu h$ and $v=1$. If $\mu h$ is not ordered then $h=h_{1} \mu^{d} h_{2}$, where $h_{1}$ contains all symbols less then $\mu, h_{2}$ all symbols greater then $\mu$ and $d$ is an integer (possible that $d=0$ ). Then

$$
\mu h=\mu h_{1} \mu^{d} h_{2}=h_{1} \mu\left[\mu, h_{1}\right] \mu^{d} h_{2}=h_{1} \mu^{d+1} h_{2}\left[\mu, h_{1}\right]^{\mu^{d} h_{2}}
$$

and $h^{\prime}:=h_{1} \mu^{d+1} h_{2}$ is ordered, $v=\left[\mu, h_{1}\right]^{\mu^{d} h_{2}}=\left[\mu^{d+1}, h_{1}\right]^{h_{2}}\left[\mu^{d}, h_{1}\right]^{-h_{2}}$. If $h_{1}=\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{k}$ then for every integer $n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =\left[\mu^{n}, h_{1}\right]^{h_{2}}=\left[\mu^{n}, \mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{k}\right]^{h_{2}} \\
& =\left[\mu^{n}, \mu_{1}\right]^{h_{2}}\left[\mu^{n}, \mu_{2}\right]^{\mu_{1} h_{2}} \ldots\left[\mu^{n}, \mu_{k}\right]^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{k-1} h_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and all words in exponents are shorter than $h$.

### 5.1. The subset $T$

Let us remind that

$$
T=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}: h \in \mathscr{H} \text { and } h \text { begins with a symbol greater then } \beta_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}
$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{I}$ the subgroup generated by $T$.
Lemma 5.3. Let $h \in \mathscr{H}$. Then there exists $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $h^{\xi}=\overline{h^{\xi}}$ t and if $t=\prod\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h_{i}}$ then every $h_{i}$ is shorter than $h$.

Proof. If $h$ is ordered, then

$$
h=\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}\right) \ldots\left(\alpha_{k} \beta_{k} \gamma_{k}\right)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\xi}= & \left(\alpha_{1}^{\xi} \beta_{1}^{\xi} \gamma_{1}^{\xi}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{\xi} \beta_{2}^{\xi} \gamma_{2}^{\xi}\right) \ldots\left(\alpha_{k}^{\xi} \beta_{k}^{\xi} \gamma_{k}^{\xi}\right) \\
= & \left(\beta_{1}^{\prime} \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(\beta_{2}^{\prime} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1}\right) \ldots\left(\beta_{k}^{\prime} \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{k}^{-1}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}^{\prime}\left[\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right] \gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime}\left[\beta_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right] \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{\prime}\left[\beta_{k}^{\prime}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right] \gamma_{k}^{-1} \\
= & \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}^{\prime}\left[\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right] \gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime}\left[\beta_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right] \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{k}^{-1}\left[\beta_{k}^{\prime}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{k}^{-1}} \\
= & \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \\
& \ldots \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{k}^{-1}\left[\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}\left[\beta_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}} \ldots\left[\beta_{k}^{\prime}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{k}^{-1}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}=\beta_{i}^{\xi} \in A, \beta_{i}^{\prime}=\alpha_{i}^{\xi} \in B$. Thus we have $\overline{h^{\xi}}=\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \beta_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1}$ $\ldots \alpha_{k}^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{\prime} \gamma_{k}^{-1}$ and

$$
t=\left[\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}}\left[\beta_{2}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{2}^{-1} \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}} \ldots\left[\beta_{k}^{\prime}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right]^{\gamma_{k}^{-1}} \in \mathfrak{I}
$$

and the longest word which can appear in the exponent is $\gamma_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \beta_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}$ and it is shorter than $h$.

It may happen that $\alpha_{1}$ or $\beta_{1}$ is equal to 1 . But then $\left[\beta_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right]=1$ and the longest word in the exponent is equal to $\gamma_{2}^{-1} \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \beta_{3}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \ldots \gamma_{k}^{-1}$ which also is shorter then $h$.

It follows from Lemma 5.3 that the subgroup $\mathfrak{T}=\langle T\rangle$ is $\xi$-invariant, so we change the basis $T$ inside the subgroup $\mathfrak{I}$.

Lemma 5.4. The subgroup $\mathfrak{I}$ possesses a $\mathscr{P}$-basis.
Proof. We split $T$ into disjoint subsets, with respect to the length of the words in the exponent:

$$
T=T_{0} \cup T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup T_{3} \cup \ldots
$$

where $T_{k}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}: h\right.$ has the length equal to $\left.k, i \geq 1\right\}$. We show, by induction on $n$, that every subgroup $\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$ has a $\mathscr{P}$-basis $A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup C_{n}$. It is clear that $\left\langle T_{0}\right\rangle$ has a $\mathscr{P}$-basis (this construction is similar to the one in Example 1.5). Let us assume that $\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$ has a $\mathscr{P}$-basis. Let $w \in T_{n+1}$ then $w=\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h},|h|=n+1$. We split $T_{n+1}$ into three disjoint subsets:

$$
T_{n+1}=T_{<} \cup T_{>} \cup T_{=}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{<}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}:|h|=n+1, h \in \mathscr{H}_{<}\right\} \\
& T_{>}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}:|h|=n+1, h \in \mathscr{H}_{>}\right\} \\
& T_{=}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}:|h|=n+1, h \in \mathscr{H}_{=}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h \in \mathscr{H}_{<}$, then by Lemma 5.3 we have $h^{\xi}=h^{\prime} t$, where $t \in\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$, and by Lemma 5.2 (ii) we have $h^{\prime}=\overline{h^{\xi}} \in \mathscr{H}_{>}$. So for $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h} \in T_{<}$we have:

$$
\left(\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}\right)^{\xi}=\left[\beta_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{h^{\prime} t}
$$

Hence we put $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h} \in T_{<}$into $A_{n+1}$ and we transform every element $\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{h^{\prime}}$ by $t \in\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$, inverse and put the element obtained in that way into $B_{n+1}$. Hence we get:

$$
A_{n+1} \ni\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{l}\right]^{h} \xrightarrow{\xi}\left[b_{i}^{k}, a_{i}^{l}\right]^{h^{\xi}}=\left[a_{i}^{l}, b_{i}^{k}\right]^{-h^{\prime} t} \in B_{n+1} .
$$

Let us note that above transformations are Nielsen transformations because we act on elements from $T_{n+1}$ by elements from $\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$.

If $\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{l}\right]^{h} \in T_{=}$then $h \in \mathscr{H}_{=}$, and by Lemma 5.2 (iii) $h^{\xi}=h t$ where $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ is such that $t^{\xi}=t^{-1}$. We have two possibilities: $k=l$ or $k \neq l$. If $k \neq l$ then for $k<l$ we put $\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{l}\right]^{h}$ into $A_{n+1}$ and for $k>l$ we transform $\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{l}\right]^{h}$ by $t$, inverse and we put the element obtained in that way into $B_{n+1}$.

If $k=l$ then we change all elements $\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{k}\right]^{h}$ into $\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{k}\right]^{h} t$ and we put this element into $C_{n+1}$. Hence:

$$
C_{n+1} \ni\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{k}\right]^{h} t \xrightarrow{\xi}\left[b_{i}^{k}, a_{i}^{k}\right]^{h t} t^{\xi}=t^{-1}\left[b_{i}^{k}, a_{i}^{k}\right]^{h} t t^{\xi}=\left(\left[a_{i}^{k}, b_{i}^{k}\right]^{h} t\right)^{-1} \in C_{n+1}^{-1} .
$$

All transformations are Nielsen transformations, so we change $T_{n+1}$ into a $\mathscr{P}$-basis.

We have proved that every subgroup $\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$ has the $\mathscr{P}$-basis $A_{n} \cup$ $B_{n} \cup C_{n}$ and it is clear that:

$$
A_{0} \cup B_{0} \cup C_{0} \subset A_{1} \cup B_{1} \cup C_{1} \subset A_{2} \cup B_{2} \cup C_{2} \subset \ldots
$$

So the subgroup $\mathfrak{T}=\langle T\rangle=\bigcup_{n}\left\langle T_{0} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}\right\rangle$ has a $\mathscr{P}$-basis $\bigcup_{n}\left(A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup C_{n}\right)$.
We have shown in Lemma 5.4 that the basis $\mathfrak{y}=T \cup Q \cup P$ can be changed into the basis $Y^{\prime}=T^{\prime} \cup Q \cup P$, where $T^{\prime}$ is a $\mathscr{P}$-basis. The next step is to change $Q$ into a $\mathscr{P}$-basis $Q^{\prime}$.

### 5.2. The subset $Q$

We have to change the set $Q$ into $Q^{\prime}=\mathfrak{H} \cup \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$, which is a $\mathscr{P}$-basis.
We split $Q$ into two subsets:

$$
Q=Q_{+} \cup Q_{-}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{+}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{\beta_{i} h}: \mu_{j}=a_{j}^{d} \vee \mu_{j}=c_{j}^{l}, \text { for } l>0\right\}, \\
& Q_{-}=\left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h}: \mu_{j}=a_{j}^{d} \vee \mu_{j}=c_{j}^{l}, \text { for } l>0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists a bijection between $Q_{+}$and $Q_{-}$:

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{\beta_{i} h} \longleftrightarrow\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h^{\prime}}
$$

where $h^{\xi}=h^{\prime} t$.
We put every element from $Q_{+}$into $\mathfrak{H}$ and we replace every element $\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h^{\prime}}$ (such that $h^{\xi}=h^{\prime} t$ ) from $Q_{-}$with $\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h^{\prime}}$, then we transform $\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h^{\prime}}$ by $t$, then invert and put the element obtained in that way into
$\mathfrak{B}$. So, now it is enough to prove that using Nielsen transformations we can change every element $\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h} \in Q_{-}$into $\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h}$.

Lemma 5.5. Every element $\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h} \in Q_{-}$can be replaced by $\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h}$, using Nielsen transformations.

Proof. We use an induction on the length of the word $h$. Let $h=1$. We use the commutator identity:

$$
[a, c]^{b}=[b, a][b, c]^{a}[a, c][a, b]^{c}[c, b]
$$

and get:

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i}}=\underline{\left[\beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right]}\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i}} \underline{\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{\mu_{j}^{\xi}}\left[\mu_{j}^{\xi}, \beta_{i}\right]}
$$

Underlined elements belong to $\bigvee^{\prime} \backslash Q$, so using Nielsen transformation we can remove them getting $\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i}}$. Let now $|h|>1$ then:

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\beta_{i} h}=\underline{\left[\beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right]^{h}}\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h} \underline{\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{h}\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{\mu_{j}^{\xi} h}\left[\mu_{j}^{\xi}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h}}
$$

Since $\left[\beta_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right]^{h} \in T$ and $\left[\mu_{j}^{\xi}, \beta_{i}\right]^{h} \in P$ (so they are not in $Q$ ) we can remove them, obtaining the new element:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{\alpha_{i} h} \underline{\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{h}\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{\mu_{j}^{\xi} h}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The word $\mu_{j}^{\xi} h$ may be not ordered, but by Lemma 5.2 (v) we have $\mu_{j}^{\xi} h=\bar{h} v$, where $\bar{h}$ is ordered and $v$ is a product of commutators from $\mathfrak{V}$ or their inverses, for which words in exponents are shorter than $h$. So we can remove $\left[\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right]^{\mu_{j}^{\xi} h}$ by multiplying (5.2) by elements from $\bigvee^{\prime} \backslash Q$ and by elements from $Q_{-}$but with shorter exponents than $h$. Finally we can remove $\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}^{\xi}\right]^{h}$ because it belongs to $P$.

So we can change the basis $\bigvee^{\prime}$ into $\bigvee^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime} \cup Q^{\prime} \cup P$, such that $T^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}$ are $\mathscr{P}$-bases. Finally we change the subset $P$.

### 5.3. The subset $P$

Let us remind that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & \mathfrak{Y} \backslash(T \cup Q) \\
= & \left\{\left[\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right]^{h},\left[\alpha_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{h}: h \text { does not contain } \beta_{i}, i<j\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left[\gamma_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{h},\left[\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right]^{h},\left[\beta_{i}, \mu_{j}\right]^{h}, i<j\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.6. The subset $P$ can be changed into $P^{\prime}$ which is a $\mathscr{P}$-basis.
Proof. We split $P$ into two subsets:

$$
P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}
$$

where $P_{1}=\left\{\left[\mu, \mu_{1}\right]^{h}: \mu=\alpha_{i} \vee \mu=c_{i}^{l}\right.$, for $\left.l>0\right\}, P_{2}=\left\{\left[\mu^{\xi}, \mu_{1}^{\xi}\right]^{h}: \mu=\right.$ $\alpha_{i} \vee \mu=c_{i}^{l}$, for $\left.l>0\right\}$. We have to change $P$ into $P^{\prime}=\mathfrak{H} \cup \mathfrak{B} \cup \mathfrak{C}$. We put elements from $P_{1}$ into $\mathfrak{A}$ and transform the elements from $P_{2}$ by $t$, such that $h^{\xi}=h^{\prime} t$, inverse them and put the element obtained in that way into $\mathfrak{B}$.

The Lemma 5.6 finishes transformations of the basis $\vartheta$ ) and we get a $\mathscr{P}$-basis $\vartheta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ for $\xi$ in $F^{\prime}$.
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