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#### Abstract

Assume that $E$ is a Banach space, $B_{r}=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq r\}$ and $C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions from $[-d, 0]$ into $B_{r}$. Consider $f: \mathrm{R}^{+} \times E \rightarrow E ; f^{d}:[0, T] \times$ $C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right) \rightarrow E$; for each $t \in[0, T]$ the mapping $\theta_{t} \in C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right)$ is defined by $\theta_{t} x(s)=x(t+s), s \in[-d, 0]$ and let $A(t)$ be a linear operator from $E$ into itself. In this paper we give existence theorems for bounded weak and strong solutions of the nonlinear differential equation


(P)

$$
\dot{x}(t)=A(t) x+f(t, x), \quad t \in \mathrm{R}^{+},
$$

and we prove that, with certain conditions, the differential equation with delay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=L(t) x(t)+f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{t} x\right), \quad \text { if } \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one weak solution where $L(t)$ is a linear operator from $E$ into $E$. Moreover, under suitable assumptions, the problem $(\mathrm{Q})$ has a solution. Furthermore under a generalization of the compactness assumptions, we show that ( Q ) has a solution too.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper the dual space of an infinite dimensional Banach space $E$ will be denoted by $E^{*}$ and the pairing between $E$ and $E^{*}$ is denoted by $\rangle$. Denote by $E_{w}$ the Banach space $E$ endowed with the weak topology. We denote the closed unit sphere in $E$ by $B_{1}=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq 1\}$. Further, let $\mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right)$ be the space of measurable functions $u: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow E, \mathscr{L}(E)$ be the space of linear operators from $E$ into itself and $\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure on $I=[0, T]$. Furthermore, let $C(I, E)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $I$ to $E$ with the usual supremum norm and $C_{w}(I, E)$ be the space of all weakly continuous functions from $I$ to $E$ endowed with the topology of weak uniform convergence. Let $C([-d, 0], E)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from the closed interval $[-d, 0](d \geq 0)$ into $E$ and $\mathscr{B}$ be the family of all bounded subsets of $E$.

Let $\mathscr{M}=\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right)$ be a Banach space of measurable functions $x: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow$ $E$ with $\|x\| \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right),\|x\|_{\mu}=\| \| x\| \| \|_{\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)}$, where
(1) $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right) \subset \mathscr{L}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)$,
(2) $\mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ contains all essentially bounded functions with compact support,
(3) if $x \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right), y: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is measurable with $|y| \leq|x|$, then $y \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)$ and $\|y\|_{\mu\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)} \leq\|x\|_{\mu\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)}$,
(4) if $x \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right), x_{n} \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right),\left|x_{n}\right| \leq|x|$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}(t)=0$ a.e. on $\mathrm{R}^{+}$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\mu\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, \mathrm{R}\right)}=0$.

Let $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ denote the associate space to $\mathscr{M}$ [20].
Definition 1.1. The map $\gamma: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$is called a measure of strong (weak) noncompactness on $\mathscr{B}$ if, for $U, V \in \mathscr{B}$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right) U \subset V \longrightarrow \gamma(U) \leq \gamma(V)$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right) \gamma(U \cup V) \leq \max (\gamma(U), \gamma(V))$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{3}\right) \gamma(\overline{\mathrm{conv}} U)=\gamma(U)$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{4}\right) \gamma(U+V) \leq \gamma(U)+\gamma(V)$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{5}\right) \gamma(c U)=|c| \gamma(U), c \in \mathrm{R}$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{6}\right) \gamma(U)=0 \Longleftrightarrow U$ is relatively strongly (weakly) compact in $E$,
$\left(\mathrm{M}_{7}\right) \gamma(U \cup\{x\})=\gamma(U), x \in E$.
Definition 1.2. A function $u:[a, b] \rightarrow E,(a, b) \in \mathrm{R}^{2}$, is called:
(a) Pettis integrable if for any measurable subset $D$ of $[a, b]$ there is an element $v_{D}$ in $E$ such that $\left\langle v_{D}, f\right\rangle=\int_{D}\langle u(s), f\rangle d s$, for all $f \in E^{*}$, we write $v_{D}=\int_{D} u(s) d s$,
(b) Bochner integrable if there exists a sequence of countable-valued functions $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converging almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b}\left\|u_{n}(s)-u(s)\right\| d s=0$.

We note that every Bochner integrable function is Pettis integrable (see [14]).

Definition 1.3. The Hausdorff measure of weak noncompactness $\beta$ : $\mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$and the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness $\alpha: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$ are defined as follows: for each $U \in \mathscr{B}$,
(i) $\beta(U)=\inf \{\varepsilon>0: \exists K=$ weakly compact subset of $E, U \subseteq K+$ $\left.\varepsilon B_{1}\right\}$,
(ii) $\alpha(U)=\inf \{\varepsilon>0: U$ admits a finite cover of sets with diameter $<\varepsilon\}$.

For more details of $\beta$ and $\alpha$ we refer the reader to [1], [8].

Definition 1.4. By a Kamke function we mean a function $w: I \times \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{R}^{+}$such that:
(i) $w$ is a Carathéodory function,
(ii) for all $t \in I ; w(t, 0)=0$,
(iii) for any $c \in(0, b], u \equiv 0$ is the only absolutely continuous function on $[0, c]$ which satisfies $\dot{u}(t) \leq w(t, u(t))$ a.e. on $[0, c]$ and such that $u(0)=0$.

Definition 1.5. A continuous function $x:[-d, T] \rightarrow E_{w}$ is called a weak solution of problem $(\mathrm{P})$ if, for some $\xi \in C([-d, 0], E)$,

$$
x=\xi \quad \text { on } \quad[-d, 0]
$$

and

$$
x(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in I
$$

Lemma 1.6. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a continuous mapping from a compact interval I to $\mathscr{L}(E)$ and $\mathscr{U}$ be a bounded subset of $E$, then

$$
\gamma\left(\bigcup_{t \in I} \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U}\right) \leq \sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\| \gamma(\mathscr{U}) .
$$

Proof. $\mathscr{U}$ is bounded, so $\exists c>0 ;\|\mathscr{U}\|=\sup \{\|u\|: u \in \mathscr{U}\} \leq c$. From the continuity of $\mathscr{F}$, for $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $P=$ $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a partition of $I$, that is, $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<$ $x_{n}=b$ with $\|P\|=\sup \left\{\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|: i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\right\}<\delta$, then $\left\|\mathscr{F}\left(x_{i+1}\right)-\mathscr{F}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{c}$. Since $B_{1}$ is the closed unit ball in $E$, there exists a weakly compact subset $\mathscr{K}$ of $E$ such that $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{K}+\frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{O})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1}$. But for each $t \in I_{i}=\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U} \subset\left\{\mathscr{F}(t) u-\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) u: u \in \mathscr{U}\right\}+\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{U}$ and $\left\|\mathscr{F}(t)-\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right)\right\|\|\mathscr{U}\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{c} \cdot c=\varepsilon$. Hence $\left\{\mathscr{F}(t) u-\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) u: u \in \mathscr{U}\right\} \subset$ $\varepsilon B_{1}$ and $\mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U} \subseteq \varepsilon B_{1}+\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{U}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{t \in I} \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U} & =\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{t \in I} \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U} \\
& \subseteq \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{t \in I} \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U} \subseteq \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1}\left(\varepsilon B_{1}+\mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{U}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \subseteq 2 \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right)\left(\mathscr{K}+\frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1}\right) \\
& \subseteq 2 \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{K}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1} \\
& \subseteq 2 \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{K}+\sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\| \frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\bigcup_{t \in I} \mathscr{F}(t) \mathscr{U}\right) & \leq \gamma\left(2 \varepsilon B_{1}+\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{K}+\sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\| \frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon \gamma\left(B_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(\sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\| \frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} B_{1}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon \gamma\left(B_{1}\right)+\sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\| \frac{(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)}{\gamma\left(B_{1}\right)} \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \varepsilon \gamma\left(B_{1}\right)+\sup _{t \in I}\|\mathscr{F}(t)\|(\gamma(\mathscr{U})+\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bigcup_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{F}\left(t_{i+1}\right) \mathscr{K}$ is weakly compact. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary the result follows.

Lemma 1.7 ([3]). Let $Y$ and $E$ be two Banach spaces, $P_{f c}(Y)$ be the set of all closed and convex subsets of $Y$ and $F: E \rightarrow P_{f c}(Y)$ be weakly sequentially upper hemicontinuous. Further let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}} \subset C(I, E), x_{n}(t) \rightarrow x_{0}(t)$ weakly a.e. on I and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N} \cup\{0\}} \subset L^{1}(I, E), y_{n} \rightarrow y_{0}$ weakly. Suppose that there exists $a \in L^{1}(I, \mathrm{R})$ such that $\|F(x)\| \leq a(t)$ for all $x \in C(I, E)$ and $y_{n}(t) \in$ $F\left(x_{n}(t)\right)$ a.e. on $I$. Then $y_{0}(t) \in F\left(x_{0}(t)\right)$ a.e. on $I$.

Lemma 1.8 ([18], [1]). If $\gamma: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$satisfies conditions $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{M}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{M}_{6}\right)$ then, for any nonempty $U \in \mathscr{B}$,

$$
\gamma(U) \leq \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \alpha(U) \leq 2 \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \beta(U)
$$

Lemma 1.9 ([21], [17]). If $\gamma$ is a measure of weak (strong) noncompactness and $A \subset C_{w}(I, E)$ is a family of strongly equicontinuous functions, then

$$
\gamma(A(I))=\sup \{\gamma(A(t)): t \in I\} .
$$

If for each $t \in \mathrm{R}^{+}, A(t) \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ and $\dot{x}(t)$ denotes the weak derivative of $x$ at $t$, then we consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=A(t) x(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E$ be the direct sum of $\mathscr{E}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{1}$, where

$$
\mathscr{E}_{0}=\left\{x_{0} \in E: \exists \text { a bounded weak solution } x \text { of }(1) \text { and } x(0)=x_{0}\right\}
$$

is closed and has a closed complement $\mathscr{E}_{1}$.
Let $G \in C\left(\mathrm{R}^{+} \times \mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right)$ be the Green function corresponding to (1):

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}S(t) P S^{-1}(s) & \text { if } 0 \leq s \leq t  \tag{2}\\ -S(t)(i d-P) S^{-1}(s) & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq s\end{cases}
$$

where $S: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$
\dot{S}(t)=A(t) S(t), \quad S(0)=i d
$$

and $P$ is the projection of $E$ onto $\mathscr{E}_{0}$; hence $P\left(\mathscr{E}_{1}\right)=\{0\}$.

## 2. Existence results for problem (P)

In this section we shall consider the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=A(t) x(t)+f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in \mathrm{R}^{+} \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem was studied by many authors (see, for instance, [5], [19], [6], [16], [10]). The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 8 in [13]. Moreover we use here a general weak noncompactness measure, in contrast with the Hausdorff noncompactness measure used in [10]; hence, the result below is at the same time a generalization of Theorem 5 in [10].

Theorem 2.1. Let $A: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E)$ be strongly measurable and Bochner integrable on every subinterval I of $\mathrm{R}^{+}$. Let $\gamma$ be a weak measure of noncompactness, for each $t \in \mathrm{R}^{+}$, let $G(t,.) \in \mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ with $\|G(t, .)\|_{M} \leq c$ where $c>0$. Let $f$ be a continuous function from $\mathrm{R}^{+} \times E_{w}$ to $E_{w}$ and $m: \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ such that $\|f(t, x)\| \leq m(t)$ for every $(t, x) \in \mathrm{R}^{+} \times B_{r}$. Assume that $c\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}}<r$ and for each $T, \varepsilon>0$ there exists a closed subset $I_{\varepsilon}$ of $I$ with $\lambda\left(I-I_{\varepsilon}\right)<\varepsilon$ such that for any nonempty bounded subset $U$ of $E$ one has $\beta(f(J \times U)) \leq \sup _{t \in J} w(t, \beta(U))$, for any compact subset $J$ of $I_{\varepsilon}$. Then, for each $x_{0} \in \mathscr{E}_{0}$ such that $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{r-c\|m\|_{. .}}{\|G(t, 0)\|}$, there exists a bounded weak solution of (P).

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S=\left\{x \in C_{w}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right): \| x\right. & (t)-x(\tau) \| \\
& \left.\leq r \int_{t}^{\tau}|A(s)| d s+\int_{t}^{\tau} m(s) d s, 0 \leq t \leq \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2) and by results from [20] there exists a positive number $d$ such that $\|G(t, 0)\| \leq d$. Let $x_{0} \in \mathscr{E}_{0}$ with $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{r-c\|m\| / \mathscr{L}}{d}$. Then $G(t, 0) x_{0}$ is a solution of (1) and $\left\|G(t, 0) x_{0}\right\| \leq d\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq r-c\|m\|_{. \mu}$. If $\phi$ is defined by
$\phi(x)(t)=G(t, 0) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s \quad$ for $\quad t \in \mathrm{R}^{+}$and $x \in S$,
then

$$
\|\phi(x)(t)\| \leq d\left\|x_{0}\right\|+c\|m\|_{M} \leq r
$$

Since $y=\phi(x)$ is a weak solution of the equation $\dot{y}(t)=A(t) y(t)+f(t, x(t))$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi(x)(t)-\phi(x)(\tau)\| & \leq \int_{t}^{\tau}\|A(s) \phi(x)(s)+f(s, x(s))\| d s \\
& \leq r \int_{t}^{\tau}|A(s)| d s+\int_{t}^{\tau} m(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\phi$ is a continuous mapping from $S$ into $S$ [4]. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}}$ be a sequence such that $\phi\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n+1}$ with $x_{0}$ is an arbitrary element in $S$. Thus $D \subset S$ and, from $\left(\mathrm{M}_{4}\right), \gamma(D)=\gamma(\phi(D))$. If $G$ is the set of all limit points of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$, then $\phi(G)=G$. Put $R(X)=\operatorname{conv} \phi(X)$ for $X \subset S$ and consider the family $\Omega$ of all subsets $X$ of $S$ such that $G \subset X$ and $R(X) \subset X$. Now $S \in \Omega$ and so $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $V$ be the intersection of all sets of the family $\Omega$. Then $V \in \Omega$. Moreover the mapping $t \rightarrow \gamma(\phi(V)(t))$ is absolutely continuous. Assume that $t \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ thus from the assumptions on the function $m$ we can find $T_{0} \geq t$ such that $\left\|m \chi_{\left[T_{0}, \infty[ \right.}\right\|_{M}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 c}$. If we put $I_{0}:=\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, then by the Scorza-Dragoni theorem there exists a closed subset $I_{\varepsilon}$ of $I_{0}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{0}-I_{\varepsilon}\right)<\delta$ and the function $w$ is uniformly continuous on $I_{\varepsilon} \times\left[0,2 T_{0}\right]$. From our last assumption, we can find a closed subset $J_{\varepsilon}$ of $I_{0}$ such that $\lambda\left(I_{0}-J_{\varepsilon}\right)<\delta$ and such that for any compact subset $\mathscr{C}$ of $J_{\varepsilon}$ and any bounded subset $Z$ of $E$,

$$
\gamma(f(\mathscr{C} \times Z)) \leq \sup _{s \in \mathscr{C}} w(s, \gamma(Z))
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuous and $w$ is Carathéodory we can find a closed subset $I_{\varepsilon}$ of $I, \delta>0, \eta>0(\eta<\delta)$ such that if $s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{\varepsilon}$ and $r_{1}, r_{2} \in\left[0,2 T_{0}\right]$
satisfy $\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|<\delta,\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|<\delta$, then $\left|w\left(s_{1}, r_{1}\right)-w\left(s_{2}, r_{2}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ and if $\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|<\eta$, then $\left|\gamma\left(V\left(s_{1}\right)\right)-\gamma\left(V\left(s_{2}\right)\right)\right|<\delta$. Let us fix $\tau$ such that $0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T$ and consider the partition, to $[t, \tau], t=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<$ $t_{m}=\tau$ such that $t_{i}-t_{i-1}<\eta$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $T_{i}=J_{\varepsilon} \cap\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right] \cap I_{\varepsilon}$, $P=\sum_{i=1}^{m} T_{i}=[t, \tau] \cap J_{\varepsilon} \cap I_{\varepsilon}$ and $Q=[t, \tau]-P$. Since $G(t,$.$) is uniformly$ continuous on $P$, we can find $\eta^{\prime}>0\left(\eta^{\prime}<\delta\right)$ such that if $r_{1}, r_{2} \in P$ and $\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|<\eta^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left\|G\left(t, r_{1}\right)-G\left(t, r_{2}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

and we can find $s_{i}$ in $T_{i}$ with

$$
\sup _{s \in T_{i}}\|G(t, s)\|=\left\|G\left(t, s_{i}\right)\right\|
$$

Let $S_{i}=\left\{x(t): x \in S, t \in T_{i}\right\}$. In virtue of Lemma 1.6, Lemma 1.9, the mean value theorem and Lemma 1.8 if $\rho(t):=\gamma(V(t))$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\tau)-\rho(t) & \leq \gamma \int_{t}^{\tau} G(t, s) f(s, V(s)) d s \\
& \leq 2 \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\tau}\|G(t, s)\| w(s, \rho(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\dot{\rho}(t) \leq c w(t, \rho(t))$ a.e. [12] and since $\rho(0)=0$, then $\rho \equiv 0$ and so $\bar{V}^{w}$ is weakly compact in $C_{w}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right)$. But $V$ is closed, hence it is a convex and compact subset in $C_{w}\left(\mathrm{R}^{+}, E\right)$. From the Schauder-Tichonov theorem, since $\phi$ is a continuous mapping from $V$ to $V$, there is a fixed point $y$ of $\phi$ such that $y$ is the desired weak solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ and satisfies $\sup _{t \in \mathrm{R}^{+}}\|y(t)\| \leq r$.

In the following theorem we will deal with the differential equation

$$
\dot{x}(t)=L(t) x(t)+f^{\prime}(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I
$$

where $f^{\prime}: I \times B_{r} \rightarrow E$ is a Carathéodory function, $L: I \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(E)$ is strongly measurable and Bochner integrable operator on $I$ and $\gamma$ is a measure of strong noncompactness. We get a generalization of Theorem 2 in [26] and Theorem 9 in [13].

Theorem 2.2. In the setting of Theorem 2.1 we replace the function $f$ by $f^{\prime}$ such that for each $x \in B_{r}, f^{\prime}(I \times\{x\})$ is separable; the function $m$ by $m^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(I, \mathrm{R}^{+}\right)$and the operator $A$ by L. Then problem $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right)$ has a solution.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S=\{x \in C(I, E): \| x(t) & -x(\tau) \| \\
& \left.\leq r \int_{t}^{\tau}|A(s)| d s+\int_{t}^{\tau} m^{\prime}(s) d s, 0 \leq t \leq \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the mapping $\phi: S \rightarrow S$ is defined by

$$
\phi(x)(t)=G(t, 0) x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s \quad \text { for } t \in I \text { and } x \in S
$$

As in Theorem 2.1 we let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}}$ be a sequence such that $\phi\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n+1}$ where $x_{0}$ is an arbitrary element in $S, V=\left\{x_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}, V \subset S$, $\gamma(V)=\gamma(\phi(V))$ and $\rho(t)=\gamma(V(t))$. Then by the same argument we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\tau)-\rho(t) & \leq \gamma\left(\int_{t}^{\tau} G(t, s) f(s, V(s)) d s\right) \\
& \leq \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\tau}\|G(t, s)\| w(s, \rho(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rho$ is differentiable a.e. on $I$ and $\rho \equiv 0$. Thus the closure of $V$ is compact in $C(I, E)$ and so we can find a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)$ which converges to a limit $x$ in $C(I, E)$. Since $\left\|x_{n}-\phi\left(x_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\phi$ is continuous, then $x=\phi(x)$ so as $x$ is the desired solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\|x\| \leq r$.

In the following theorem we let $h: I \times \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$be a Carathéodory function, such that for each bounded subset $Z$ of $I \times \mathrm{R}^{+}$there exists a function $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$such that $h(t, s) \leq \varphi(t),(t, s) \in Z$ and $\varphi$ is integrable function on $[c, T]$ for each $c, 0<c \leq T$. Moreover we assume that the identically zero function is the only absolutely continuous function on [0, c] which satisfies $\dot{u}(t)=h(t, u(t))$ a.e. on $[0, c]$ such that the right derivative $D_{+} u(0)$ of $u(t)$ at $t=0$ exists and $D_{+} u(0)=u(0)=0$.

We note that the assumptions on $h$ are weaker than that on a Kamke function $w$.

THEOREM 2.3. If we replace in the setting of Theorem 2.2 a Kamke function $w$ by a function $h$ and we suppose that $f^{\prime}$ is bounded and continuous, then problem $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right)$ has a solution.

Proof. By the same argument as in Theorem 2.2 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(\tau)-\rho(t) & \leq \gamma \int_{t}^{\tau} G(t, s) f(s, V(s)) d s  \tag{3}\\
& \leq \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\tau}\|G(t, s)\| h(s, \rho(s)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho(t)=\gamma(V(t))$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is a bounded function, we can find a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|f^{\prime}(t, x)\right\| \leq M$ for each $(t, x) \in I \times B_{r}$. Let $\mathcal{N}: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be defined by $\mathcal{N}(t)=\sup _{\|x\|,\|y\| \leq M t}\left\|f^{\prime}(t, x)-f^{\prime}(t, y)\right\|$. We see that $\mathcal{N}$ is
lower semicontinuous on $] 0, T$ ] and continuous at 0 [22]. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $t_{0}$ be fixed in $I$. Then, there exist $x_{1}, y_{1} \in B_{r} ;\left\|x_{1}\right\|,\left\|y_{1}\right\| \leq M t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, x_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right\| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $f^{\prime}$ is continuous. Thus $\exists \delta>0$ such that if $\left|t-t_{0}\right|<\delta,\left\|x_{1}-x\right\|<\delta$, $\left\|y_{1}-y\right\|<\delta$, we have
(5) $\quad\left\|f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, x_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}(t, x)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad$ and $\quad\left\|f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, y_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}(t, y)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

From relations (4) and (5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{N}\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq & \left\|f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, x_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, x_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}(t, x)\right\| \\
& \quad+\left\|f^{\prime}(t, x)-f^{\prime}(t, y)\right\|+\left\|f^{\prime}(t, y)-f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|f^{\prime}(t, x)-f^{\prime}(t, y)\right\|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so,

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(t_{0}\right)-\varepsilon \leq\left\|f^{\prime}(t, x)-f^{\prime}(t, y)\right\| .
$$

Thus, for each $t$ with $\left|t-t_{0}\right|<\delta$, there exist $x_{1}, y_{1}$ with $\left\|x_{1}\right\|,\left\|y_{1}\right\| \leq M t$ such that $\mathcal{N}\left(t_{0}\right)-\varepsilon \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\left(t, x_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(t, y_{1}\right)\right\| \leq \mathscr{N}(t)$. We conclude that $\mathcal{N}$ is lower semicontinuous. Moreover from the continuity of $f^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}$ is continuous at 0 . Consequently we can say that $\left\|\int_{t}^{\tau} f^{\prime}(s, x(s))-\int_{t}^{\tau} f^{\prime}(s, y(s)) d s\right\| \leq$ $\int_{t}^{\tau} \mathcal{N}(s) d s$ for each $x, y \in V$. Then from relation (3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\tau)-\rho(t) \\
& \quad \leq \min \left(\int_{t}^{\tau}\|G(t, s)\| \mathcal{N}(s) d s, \gamma\left(B_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\tau}\|G(t, s)\| h(s, \rho(s)) d s\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<t \leq \tau \leq T$. Therefore $\rho$ is an absolutely continuous function on $I$ and so

$$
\dot{\rho}(t) \leq \min (\|G(t, s)\| \mathcal{N}(t),\|G(t, s)\| h(t, \rho(t))), \quad \text { a.e. on } \quad I .
$$

Thus $\rho \equiv 0$ on $I$, see Lemma 1 in [22]. We can complete the proof as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

## 3. Existence results for problem (Q)

We consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=L(t) x(t)+f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{t} x\right), \quad t \in I \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{r}=\{x \in E:\|x\| \leq r\}, L(t) \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ and for $t \in I$ we define $\theta_{t} x(s)=x(t+s)$ for all $s \in[-d, 0]$. We assume that $C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions from $[-d, 0]$ into $B_{r}$ and $f^{d}$ : $I \times C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right) \rightarrow E$.

In the following theorem we deal with problem $(\mathrm{Q})$ and we have a generalization of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. If we replace in the setting of Theorem 2.1 the function $f$ by $f^{d}$; the function $m$ by $m^{\prime} \in L^{1}\left(I, \mathrm{R}^{+}\right)$and the operator $A$ by $L$, then problem $(\mathrm{Q})$ has a weak solution.

Proof. We apply some methods for functional equations similar to those of [10]. For any arbitrary $n \in \mathrm{~N}$, we define $\gamma_{1}:\left[-d, \frac{T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\gamma_{1}(t, x)= \begin{cases}\xi(t) & \text { if } t \in[-d, 0] \\ \xi(0)+n t(x-\xi(0)) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

and also we define $f_{1}:\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$ by $f_{1}(t, x)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{T}{n}}\left(\gamma_{1}(., x)\right)\right)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there is a continuous function $y_{1}$ such that $y_{1}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]$

$$
y_{1}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f_{1}\left(s, y_{1}(s)\right) d s
$$

Moreover $\sup _{t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]}\left\|y_{1}(t)\right\| \leq r$. Set $k^{\prime}=k-1$. By induction, for each $k \in\{2,3, \ldots, n\}$, there exists a bounded function $y_{k^{\prime}}$ such that $y_{k^{\prime}}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in\left[0, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right]$

$$
y_{k^{\prime}}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f_{k^{\prime}}\left(s, y_{k^{\prime}}(s)\right) d s
$$

where $f_{k^{\prime}}(t, x)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}} \gamma_{k^{\prime}}(., x)\right)$. Assume that $\gamma_{k}:\left[-d, \frac{k T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$ is such that

$$
\gamma_{k}(t, x)= \begin{cases}y_{k^{\prime}}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[-d, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right] \\ y_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)+n\left(t-\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)\left(x-y_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Thus if $f_{k}:\left[\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$ is defined by $f_{k}(t, x)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{k T}{n}}\left(\gamma_{k}(., x)\right)\right)$, then we have a continuous function $y_{k}$ defined on $\left[\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}\right]$ by

$$
y_{k}(t)=G\left(t, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right) y_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)+\int_{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}}^{t} G(t, s) f_{k}\left(s, y_{k}(s)\right) d s
$$

Further, for $0 \leq s \leq r \leq t, G(t, s) G(s, r)=G(t, r)$ and for each $t \in$ [ $\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}$ ] we have

$$
y_{k^{\prime}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)=G\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, 0\right) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}} G\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, s\right) f_{k^{\prime}}\left(s, y_{k^{\prime}}(s)\right) d s
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{k}(t)= & G\left(t, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right) G\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, 0\right) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}} G\left(t, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right) G\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, s\right) f_{k^{\prime}}\left(s, y_{k^{\prime}}(s)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}}^{t} G(t, s) f_{k}(s, x(s)) d s \\
= & G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}} G(t, s) f_{k^{\prime}}\left(s, y_{k^{\prime}}(s)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}}^{t} G(t, s) f_{k}\left(s, y_{k}(s)\right) d s \\
= & G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) g_{k}\left(s, y_{k}(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
g_{k}\left(t, y_{k}(t)\right)= \begin{cases}f_{k^{\prime}}\left(t, y_{k^{\prime}}(t)\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right] \\ f_{k}\left(t, y_{k}(t)\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, for all $n \in \mathrm{~N}$, we have a continuous bounded function $v_{n}$ such that $v_{n}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in I, \frac{k^{\prime} T}{n} \leq t \leq \frac{k T}{n}$ for some $k \in$ $\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$, we have

$$
v_{n}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) h_{n}(s) d s
$$

where $h_{n}(t)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{k T}{n}} \gamma_{k}\left(., v_{n}(t)\right)\right)$. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I$ and $t_{1}<t_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|v_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)-v_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|G\left(t_{1}, 0\right)-G\left(t_{2}, 0\right)\right\|\|\xi(0)\|+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left\|G\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right\|\left\|h_{n}\left(s, v_{n}(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right\|\left\|h_{n}\left(s, v_{n}(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left\|G\left(t_{1}, 0\right)-G\left(t_{2}, 0\right)\right\|\|\xi(0)\|+\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left\|G\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right\|\left\|m^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \quad+c \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|m^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s
\end{aligned}
$$

since $v_{n}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for all $s \in I G(., s)$ is uniformly continuous, then $A$ is equicontinuous in $C([-d, T], E) . \gamma(A(t))=\gamma\left(\left\{v_{n}(t): n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}\right)$ is such that $\gamma(A(0))=0$ and, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, $\gamma(A(t))=0$ for all $t \in I$. Thus by Ascoli's theorem, the sequence $\left\{v_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ converges uniformly to a function $v$ which belongs to $C([-d, T], E)$ such that $y=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$. But $\gamma\left(\left\{h_{n}(t): n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}\right)=0$ and so $\left\{h_{n}(t): n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ is relatively compact. Let $\mathscr{F}(t)=\overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left\{h_{n}(t): n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$. Thus $\mathscr{F}(t)$ is nonempty convex and compact. Moreover $\delta_{\mathscr{F}}^{1}=\left\{l \in L^{1}(I, E): l(t) \in \mathscr{F}(t)\right\}$ is nonempty convex and weakly compact. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left(h_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(h_{n}\right)$ such that $h_{n_{k}} \rightarrow l$ weakly, $l \in \delta_{\mathscr{F}}^{1}$. Thus $\left\{v_{n}: n \in \mathrm{~N}\right\}$ tends weakly to $v(t):=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) l(s) d s$. Now $v$ is uniformly continuous on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in I$, there exists $n>\frac{T}{d}$ with $t \in\left[\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}, \frac{k T}{n}\right]$ for $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\| \theta_{\frac{k T}{n} \gamma_{k}\left(., v_{n}(t)\right)-\theta_{t} v \|} \begin{array}{rl}
\leq & \sup _{s \in\left[-d,-\frac{T}{n}\right]}
\end{array}\right]\left\|\gamma_{k}\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s, v_{n}(t)\right)-v\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s\right)\right\| \\
& +\sup _{s \in\left[-\frac{T}{n}, 0\right]}\left[\left\|v\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s\right)-v(t+s)\right\|\right] \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|v\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s\right)-v(t+s)\right\|\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{s \in\left[-d,-\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)+n\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s-\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)\left(v_{n}(t)\right.}\left[\| v_{n}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)\right)-v\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s\right) \| \\
& \quad+\sup _{s \in\left[-\frac{T}{n}, 0\right]}\left[T\left\|\left(v_{n}(t)-v_{n}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)\right)\right\|+\left\|v_{n}\left(\frac{k^{\prime} T}{n}\right)-v\left(\frac{k T}{n}+s\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So from Lemma 1.7, problem (Q) has a weak solution $v$.
In the following theorem we use a measure of strong noncompactness $\gamma$ so we have a generalization of Theorem 3.1 and an improvement to Theorem 2 in [26] and Theorem 9 in [13].

Theorem 3.2. In the setting of Theorem 2.2 if we replace the function $f^{\prime}$ by $f^{d}$ such that for all $\varphi \in C\left([-d, 0], B_{r}\right) f^{d}(I \times\{\varphi\})$ is separable, then problem (Q) has a solution.

Proof. For $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ we define $\gamma_{1}:\left[-d, \frac{T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, by

$$
\gamma_{1}(t, x)= \begin{cases}\xi(t) & \text { if } t \in[-d, 0] \\ \xi(0)+n t(x-\xi(0)) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

and $f_{1}:\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right] \times E \rightarrow E$ by $f_{1}(t, x)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{T}{n}}\left(\gamma_{1}(., x)\right)\right)$. By Theorem 2.2 there exists a continuous function $y_{1}$ such that $y_{1}{ }^{n}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]$

$$
y_{1}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f_{1}\left(s, y_{1}(s)\right) d s
$$

Then we can construct, for each $n \in \mathrm{~N}$, a continuous bounded function $v_{n}$ such that $v_{n}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in I v_{n}$ is defined by

$$
v_{n}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) h_{n}(s) d s
$$

where $h_{n}(t)=f^{d}\left(t, \theta_{\frac{k T}{n}} \gamma_{k}\left(., v_{n}(t)\right)\right)$ with $k \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ and $\frac{(k-1) T}{n} \leq$ $t \leq \frac{k T}{n}$. We can complete the proof as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

In the next theorem we let $h: I \times \mathrm{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$be a Carathéodory function. Also for each bounded subset $Z$ of $I \times \mathrm{R}^{+}$we suppose that there exists a function $m: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}$such that $h(t, s) \leq m(t),(t, s) \in Z$ and $m$ is integrable on [ $c, T$ ] for each $c, 0<c \leq T$. Moreover, assume that the identically zero function is the only absolutely continuous function on $[0, c]$ which satisfies $\dot{u}(t)=h(t, u(t))$ a.e. on $[0, c]$ and for which the right derivative $D_{+} u(0)$ of $u(t)$ at $t=0$ exists and is 0 .

THEOREM 3.3. If we replace in the setting of Theorem 3.2 a Kamke function $w$ by a function $h$ and we suppose that $f^{d}$ is bounded and continuous, then problem (Q) has a solution.

We omit the proof since it runs as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 except that we replace the use of Theorem 2.2 by that of Theorem 2.3 to find a continuous function $y_{1}$ such that $y_{1}=\xi$ on $[-d, 0]$ and for each $t \in\left[0, \frac{T}{n}\right]$

$$
y_{1}(t)=G(t, 0) \xi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} G(t, s) f_{1}\left(s, y_{1}(s)\right) d s
$$

In fact, if $L(t) \neq 0$ our results generalize that of Gomaa [10] and Cichon [4], since we have a generalization of the compactness assumptions and in [4] the results are stated without delay. For the important case $L(t)=0$ we have, as a special case, a generalization of the existence theorems of Gomaa [13], Ibrahim-Gomaa [15], Papageorgiou [23], Cramer-Lakshmikantham-Mitchell [7], Szep [25] and Boundourides [2] in all of which the results are stated without delay. Szep in [25] studied the special case of problem (P) in a reflexive Banach space, Boundourides [2] and Cramer-Lakshmikantham-Mitchell [7] studied the special case of problem ( P ) in a nonreflexive Banach space, Papageorgiou [23] found weak solutions for the special case of problem (P) on a finite interval $I$ with $0<T<\infty$, Ibrahim-Gomaa [15] found weak solutions for the special case of problem (P) on a finite interval $I$ and in [13] we give a generalization to recent results on the Cauchy problem by using weak and strong measures of noncompactness. Moreover in [11], [12] we study the nonlinear differential equations with and without delay while in [9] we study the differential inclusions with moving constraints.
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