MAPPING CONES OF POSITIVE MAPS

ERLING STØRMER

Abstract

We study mapping cones of positive maps of B(H) into itself, i.e., cones which are closed under composition with completely positive maps. As applications we obtain characterizations of linear functionals with strong positivity properties with respect to so-called symmetric mapping cones, with special emphasis on separable and PPT states.

Introduction

A substantial part of the theory of positive maps of operator algebras is best understood by considering cones of maps, especially the so-called mapping cones of positive maps of B(H) into itself. Given a mapping cone \mathcal{K} , maps with strong positivity properties with respect to \mathcal{K} , called \mathcal{K} -positive maps, are important and have been studied in [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]. In general it is not clear which maps are \mathcal{K} -positive, but in [6], Thm. 3.6, we showed a general result which implies that for a large class of mapping cones, called symmetric in the sequel, a map of B(H) into itself is \mathcal{K} -positive if and only if it belongs to \mathcal{X} . In the present paper we shall give a more accessible proof of this latter result via a proof which gives more insight into the theory of mapping cones than the previous proof. Furthermore we shall show that the dual cone of a symmetric mapping cone is itself a symmetric mapping cone. As applications of our results we get conditions for linear functionals to be positive on certain cones of operators defined by mapping cones. We then comment on the connection with separable states and obtain two new equivalent conditions for a state on $B(H) \otimes B(H) (= B(H \otimes H))$ to be a PPT-state.

To be more specific let *H* be a Hilbert space, which we for simplicity assume is finite dimensional. For the general case see [6]. Let P(H) denote the set of positive linear maps of B(H) into itself. By a *mapping cone* \mathcal{K} we mean a closed, norm closed when *H* is finite dimensional, subcone of P(H) such that if $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, and α and $\beta \in CP(H)$, the completely positive maps of B(H)into itself, then $\alpha \circ \phi \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\phi \circ \beta \in \mathcal{K}$. We say \mathcal{K} is *symmetric* if $\phi^* \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\phi^t = t \circ \phi \circ t \in \mathcal{K}$ whenever $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, where ϕ^* is the adjoint map of ϕ in the Hilbert-Schmidt structure on B(H), i.e., $\operatorname{Tr}(\phi(a)b) = \operatorname{Tr}(a\phi^*(b))$

Received 12 June 2009, in final form 9 December 2009.

for $a, b \in B(H)$, and *t* is the transpose map on B(H) with respect to an orthonormal base, and Tr is the usual trace on B(H). For a mapping cone \mathscr{K} we shall use the notation \mathscr{K}^* (resp. \mathscr{K}^t) for the cones consisting of ϕ^* (resp. ϕ^t) with $\phi \in \mathscr{K}$, and we define the dual cone \mathscr{K}° of \mathscr{K} to be the cone

$$\mathscr{K}^{\circ} = \{ \phi \in P(H) : \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}C_{\alpha}) \ge 0 \ \forall \ \alpha \in \mathscr{K} \},\$$

where C_{ϕ} and C_{α} are the Choi matrices of ϕ and α respectively, see below.

If A is an *operator system*, i.e., a closed self-adjoint linear subspace of B(L) for a Hilbert space L, we denote by

$$P(A, \mathcal{K}) = \{ x \in A \otimes B(H) : \iota \otimes \alpha(x) \ge 0 \,\forall \, \alpha \in \mathcal{K} \}.$$

Here ι denotes the identity map on A. We say a map $\phi: A \to B(H)$ is \mathscr{K} -*positive* if the linear functional $\tilde{\phi}$ on $A \otimes B(H)$ defined by

$$\phi(a \otimes b) = \operatorname{Tr}(\phi(a)b^t)$$

is positive on $P(A, \mathcal{K})$. By [6], Lemma 2.1, ϕ is positive iff $\tilde{\phi}$ is positive on the cone $A^+ \otimes B(H)^+$ generated by tensors $a \otimes b$ with $a \in A^+$, $b \in B(H)^+$, and by [6], Thm. 3.2, ϕ is completely positive iff $\tilde{\phi}$ is a positive linear functional on $A \otimes B(H)$.

If the Hilbert space L satisfies dim $L \leq \dim H$, then we can consider B(L) as imbedded in B(H) and thus, in order to study positive linear maps of A into B(H), we can, and do, consider A as a subset of B(H). We can now state our main results.

THEOREM 1. Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and \mathcal{K} a symmetric mapping cone on B(H). Then its dual cone \mathcal{K}° is also a symmetric mapping cone.

THEOREM 2. Let *H* be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and $A \subset B(H)$ an operator system. Let \mathcal{K} be a symmetric mapping cone on B(H), and let $\phi: A \to B(H)$ be a positive map. Then ϕ is \mathcal{K} -positive if and only if ϕ is the restriction to *A* of a map in \mathcal{K} .

Note that by [6], Thm. 3.1, if ϕ is \mathscr{X} -positive then ϕ has a \mathscr{X} -positive extension $\psi: B(H) \to B(H)$. Since the restriction to A of a \mathscr{X} -positive map $\psi: B(H) \to B(H)$ is \mathscr{X} -positive, it suffices to prove Theorem 2 for A = B(H). We shall therefore for the rest of the paper assume A = B(H) with H finite dimensional.

1. Proof of the theorems

If (e_{ij}) is a complete set of matrix units in B(H) then the Choi matrix for a map ϕ is the operator

$$C_{\phi} = \sum_{ij} e_{ij} \otimes \phi(e_{ij}) \in B(H \otimes H).$$

By [7], Lemma 5, C_{ϕ^t} is the density operator for $\tilde{\phi}$, and by [9], Lemma 4, $C_{\phi^t} = C_{\phi}^t$. Furthermore, by [1] ϕ is completely positive iff $C_{\phi} \ge 0$, which holds iff $C_{\phi}^t \ge 0$. Thus $\tilde{\phi} \ge 0$ iff ϕ is completely positive, a result stated under more general situations in the introduction.

LEMMA 3. Let dim H = n and e_1, \ldots, e_n be an orthonormal basis for H. Let J be the conjugation on $H \otimes H$ defined by

$$Jze_i \otimes e_j = \overline{z}e_j \otimes e_i$$

with $z \in C$. Let $\phi \in P(H)$. Then $C_{\phi^*} = JC_{\phi}J$.

PROOF. Let $V = (v_{ij})_{ij \le n} \in B(H)$, and let e_{ij} denote the matrix units corresponding to e_1, \ldots, e_n . Then a straightforward computation yields

$$\operatorname{Ad} V(e_{kl}) = V^* e_{kl} V = (\bar{v}_{ki} v_{lj})_{ij}.$$

Since $V^* = (\bar{v}_{ji})$ it follows that

Ad
$$V^*(e_{kl}) = V e_{kl} V^* = (v_{ik} \bar{v}_{jl})_{ij}$$

From the definition of J it thus follows that

$$JC_{\mathrm{Ad}\,V}J(ze_{p}\otimes e_{q})$$

$$=J\left(\sum_{ijkl}e_{kl}\otimes\bar{v}_{ki}v_{lj}e_{ij}\right)(\bar{z}e_{q}\otimes e_{p})=\sum_{ijkl}v_{ki}\bar{v}_{lj}e_{ij}e_{p}\otimes ze_{kl}e_{q}$$

$$=\left(\sum_{ijkl}v_{ik}\bar{v}_{jl}e_{kl}\otimes e_{ij}\right)(ze_{p}\otimes e_{q})=C_{\mathrm{Ad}\,V^{*}}(ze_{p}\otimes e_{q}),$$

where we at the third equality sign exchanged (i, j) with (k, l). Since the vectors $e_p \otimes e_q$ form a basis for $H \otimes H$, $JC_{AdV}J = C_{AdV^*}$. Now, if ϕ is a positive map, then C_{ϕ} is a self-adjoint operator, hence the difference between two positive operators, which both are the Choi matrices for completely positive maps. Using the Kraus decompositions for these completely positive maps, we see that ϕ is a real linear sum of maps Ad V. Now the adjoint map of Ad V is

Ad V^* , as is easily verified. Applying this to each summand Ad V, we thus get $JC_{\phi}J = C_{\phi^*}$, completing the proof of the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We first show $\mathscr{K}^{\circ} = (\mathscr{K}^{\circ})^{t}$. As remarked in the introduction $C_{\phi^{t}} = C_{\phi}^{t}$. Thus if $\phi \in \mathscr{K}^{\circ}, \alpha \in \mathscr{K}$, then

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi'}C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi'}C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi'}C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi'}C_{\alpha'}).$$

Since \mathscr{K} is symmetric, $\mathscr{K} = \mathscr{K}^t$. Thus $\operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi^t}C_{\alpha}) \ge 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{K}$, hence $\phi^t \in \mathscr{K}^\circ$. The converse follows by symmetry of the argument.

In order to show $\mathscr{K}^{\circ} = (\mathscr{K}^{\circ})^*$ note that the map $\gamma(x) = Jx^*J$ is an antiautomorphism of $B(H \otimes H)$, hence is trace invariant. In particular, if $x \in B(H \otimes H)$, then

$$Tr(JxJ) = Tr(\gamma(x^*)) = Tr(x^*).$$

Thus by Lemma 3 if $\phi \in \mathcal{K}^{\circ}, \alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi^*}C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(JC_{\phi}JC_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(JC_{\phi}JC_{\alpha}JJ) = \operatorname{Tr}((C_{\phi}C_{\alpha^*})^*),$$

which is the complex conjugate of the positive number $\text{Tr}(C_{\phi}C_{\alpha^*})$, as $\alpha^* \in \mathcal{K}$, so is itself positive. Thus $\phi^* \in \mathcal{K}^\circ$, completing the proof.

We now embark on the proof of Theorem 2. For this we need to consider the cone \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} for \mathscr{K} a mapping cone on B(H). Following [9] we denote by $C^{\mathscr{K}}$ the closed cone generated by all cones

$$\iota \otimes \alpha^* (B(H \otimes H)^+), \qquad \alpha \in \mathscr{K}.$$

We denote by \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} the closed cone

$$\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = \{ \beta \in P(H) : \iota \otimes \beta(x) \ge 0 \ \forall \ x \in C^{\mathscr{K}} \}.$$

Then \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} is a mapping cone characterized by the property that a map ϕ belongs to \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} iff $\phi \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}$, see [9], Lem. 7. Furthermore, if \mathscr{H} is symmetric then $C^{\mathscr{H}} = P(B(H; \mathscr{H}^{\sharp}))$. We denote by $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{H}}$ the cone of \mathscr{H} -positive maps in P(H). We are now ready to prove Theorem 2. We divide the proof into some lemmas.

LEMMA 4. Let \mathscr{K} be a symmetric mapping cone on B(H). Then we have

- (i) $\mathscr{K} \subset \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{K}}$.
- (ii) $\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^{t}$.
- (iii) If $\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^*$ then $\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{K}^{\sharp}}$.

PROOF. Let $\pi: B(H) \otimes B(H) \to B(H)$ by $\pi(a \otimes b) = b^t a$. Then by [9], Lemma 10, Tr $\circ \pi$ is positive, and

$$\tilde{\phi} = \operatorname{Tr} \circ \pi \circ (\iota \otimes \phi^{*t}).$$

Since \mathscr{K} is symmetric, if $\phi \in \mathscr{K}$, then $\phi^{*t} \in \mathscr{K}$, so that $\tilde{\phi}$ is positive on $P(B(H), \mathscr{K})$, i.e., ϕ is \mathscr{K} -positive, proving (i).

Ad (ii). We first note that if $\alpha, \beta \in B(B(H), B(H))$ then

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)^t = \alpha^t \circ \beta^t.$$

Indeed, if $x \in B(H)$, then

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)^t(x) = ((\alpha \circ \beta)(x^t))^t = \alpha(\beta(x^t))^t = \alpha^t(\beta(x^t)^t) = \alpha^t(\beta^t(x)),$$

proving the assertion.

To show (ii) let $\phi \in P(H)$. By the characterization of \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} mentioned before the statement of the lemma, $\phi \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp}$ iff $\phi \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{K}$ iff $\phi \circ \alpha \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{K}$, since $\mathscr{K} = \mathscr{K}^*$. Since a map $\beta \in P(H)$ belongs to CP(H) iff $\beta^t \in CP(H)$, it follows from the above assertion that $\phi \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp}$ iff $\phi^t \circ \alpha^t = (\phi \circ \alpha)^t \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{K}$, and since $\mathscr{K} = \mathscr{K}^t$, iff $\phi^t \circ \beta \in CP(H)$ for all $\beta \in \mathscr{K}$, i.e., iff $\phi^t \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp}$, completing the proof of (ii).

Ad (iii). Assume further that $\mathscr{H}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{H}^{\sharp})^*$. By (ii) $\mathscr{H}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{H}^{\sharp})^t$, so \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} is a symmetric mapping cone. Therefore by (i) applied to \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} , $\mathscr{H}^{\sharp} \subset \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{H}^{\sharp}}$. By [9], Thm. 12, $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{H}^{\sharp}} = \mathscr{P}^{\circ}_{\mathscr{H}}$. Let $\phi \in \mathscr{P}^{\circ}_{\mathscr{H}}$. By [9], Thm. 1, $\alpha \circ \phi \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}^t = \mathscr{H}$. Hence $\phi^* \circ \alpha^* = (\alpha \circ \phi)^* \in CP(H)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}$. Thus $\phi^* \in \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{H}^{\sharp})^*$, so that $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\sharp}$. Thus $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{H}^{\sharp}} = \mathscr{P}^{\circ}_{\mathscr{H}} \subset \mathscr{H}^{\sharp}$, completing the proof of the lemma.

It should be remarked that the proof of [9], Thm. 1, (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) makes use of [6], Thm. 3.6. But that is unnecessary in our setting as seen from the proof of Lemma 7 below.

The proof of the next lemma does not use finite dimensionality of the domain algebra, so we state it for general C^* -algebras. We denote by $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{X}}(M)$ the set of \mathscr{K} -positive maps of a C^* -algebra M into B(H).

LEMMA 5. Let M be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be mapping cones on B(H)with H finite dimensional, such that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}}(M) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}(M)$. Then $P(M, \mathcal{K}) = P(M, \mathcal{L})$.

PROOF. From the definition of $P(M, \mathscr{K})$ it is clear that $\mathscr{K} \subset \mathscr{L}$ implies $P(M, \mathscr{K}) \supset P(M, \mathscr{L})$. If $\phi: B(H) \to B(H)$, and $\tilde{\phi}$ is positive on $P(M, \mathscr{L})$, then $\phi \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{L}}(M) = \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{K}}(M)$, so $\tilde{\phi}$ is positive on $P(M, \mathscr{K})$. Since the identity

operator 1 is an interior point of $P(M, \mathcal{L})$, see [6], Lemma 2.9, the Hahn-Banach Theorem for cones implies that $P(M, \mathcal{K}) = P(M, \mathcal{L})$, proving the lemma.

LEMMA 6. Let \mathcal{K} be a symmetric mapping cone. Then

- (i) $\mathscr{K} \subset (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}$.
- (ii) $P(B(H), \mathscr{K}) = P(B(H), (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}).$

PROOF. We have

$$\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = \{ \beta \in P(H) : \beta \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H) \; \forall \alpha \in \mathscr{K} \}.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $\beta \circ \phi^* \in CP(H)$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{X}^{\sharp}$, hence $\phi \circ \beta^* = (\beta \circ \phi^*)^* \in CP(H)$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{X}^{\sharp}$. Thus by the above formula for \mathcal{X}^{\sharp} applied to $(\mathcal{X}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}, \phi \in (\mathcal{X}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}$, proving (i).

(ii) follows from from Lemma 5, since by [9], Thm. 12 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}})^{\circ} = \mathcal{P}_{(\mathcal{X}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}}$, using that by Lemma 4 $\mathcal{X}^{\sharp} = (\mathcal{X}^{\sharp})^{t}$. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 7. Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} be mapping cones on B(H) such that $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 5 $P(B(H), \mathscr{K}) = P(B(H), \mathscr{L})$. Each operator in $P(B(H), \mathscr{K})$ is of the form C_{ϕ} with $\phi: B(H) \to B(H)$. By definition of $P(B(H), \mathscr{K})$ we then have, since \mathscr{K}^* is a mapping cone,

$$\begin{split} C_{\phi} &\in P(B(H), \mathscr{K}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \iota \otimes \alpha(C_{\phi}) \geq 0 \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}((\iota \otimes \alpha)(C_{\phi})C_{\psi}) \geq 0 \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K}, \; \psi \in CP(H) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}C_{\alpha^{*}\circ\psi}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}(\iota \otimes \alpha^{*})(C_{\psi})) \geq 0 \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K}, \; \psi \in CP(H) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}C_{\beta}) \geq 0 \; \forall \; \beta \in \mathscr{K}^{*} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \phi \in (\mathscr{K}^{*})^{\circ}. \end{split}$$

Since $P(B(H), \mathcal{X}) = P(B(H), \mathcal{L})$, and the same equivalence as the one above holds for \mathcal{L} , we have $(\mathcal{K}^*)^\circ = (\mathcal{L}^*)^\circ$. Thus $\mathcal{K}^* = (\mathcal{K}^*)^{\circ\circ} = (\mathcal{L}^*)^{\circ\circ} = \mathcal{L}^*$. But the map $\phi \to \phi^*$ is a bijection of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{K}^* , so $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$, completing the proof.

LEMMA 8. Let \mathscr{K} be a symmetric mapping cone on B(H). Then $\mathscr{K} = (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}$.

PROOF. This is immediate from Lemmas 6 and 7

COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2. As remarked after the statement of Theorem 2 it suffices to prove it for A = B(H). By Lemma 8, since

228

 \mathscr{K} is symmetric, so that $(\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^{\sharp}$ is symmetric, by using Lemma 4, [9], Thm. 1, and Thm. 12 in that order, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{K} &= (\mathscr{X}^{\sharp})^{\sharp} \\ &= \{\beta \in P(H) : \beta^* \circ \alpha^* \in CP(H) \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} \} \\ &= \{\beta \in P(H) : \alpha \circ \beta \in CP(H) \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = (\mathscr{K}^{\sharp})^t \} \\ &= (\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{K}^{\sharp}})^{\circ} \\ &= \mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{K}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

It thus follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{X}}$ and $\mathscr{P}^{\circ}_{\mathscr{X}}$ are symmetric mapping cones when \mathscr{X} is. The next corollary shows the same for \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} .

COROLLARY 9. Let \mathscr{K} be a symmetric mapping cone on B(H). Then $\mathscr{K}^{\sharp} = \mathscr{K}^{\circ}$.

PROOF. By [9], Lemma 7 and Thm. 1, and then Theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H}^{\sharp} &= \{ \beta \in P(H) : \beta \circ \alpha^{*} \in CP(H) \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{H} \} \\ &= \{ \beta \in P(H) : \alpha \circ \beta^{*} \in CP(H) \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{H} \} \\ &= \{ \beta^{*} \in P(H) : \alpha \circ \beta \in CP(H) \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{H} \} \\ &= \{ \beta^{*} \in P(H) : \beta \in (\mathscr{P}_{\mathscr{H}})^{\circ} \} \\ &= \{ \beta^{*} \in P(H) : \beta \in \mathscr{H}^{\circ} \} \\ &= (\mathscr{H}^{\circ})^{*} \\ &= \mathscr{H}^{\circ}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

2. Linear functionals

In this section we apply the theorems to linear functionals. The first result is closely related to [9], Theorem 1, applied to the dual cone \mathscr{K}° of \mathscr{K} . Then we consider applications to separable and PPT-states on $B(H) \otimes B(H) (= B(H \otimes H))$.

THEOREM 10. Let \mathscr{K} be a symmetric mapping cone on B(H), and let ρ be a linear functional on $B(H \otimes H)$ with density operator h. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i)
$$\rho = \phi$$
 with $\phi \in \mathscr{K}^{\circ}$.

(ii) $\rho(C_{\alpha}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$.

- (iii) $\iota \otimes \alpha(h) \ge 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$.
- (iv) $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes \alpha) \ge 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$.
- (v) ρ is positive on the cone $\{x \in B(H \otimes H) : \iota \otimes \alpha(x) \ge 0 \ \forall \ \alpha \in \mathscr{K}^\circ\}$.

PROOF. By [6], Lemma 2.1 $\rho = \tilde{\phi}$ with $\phi: B(H) \to B(H)$. By [7], Lemma 5, and [9], Lemma 4, we have $h = C_{\phi^t} = C_{\phi}^t$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, then we have

$$\rho(C_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(hC_{\alpha}) = \operatorname{Tr}(C_{\phi}^{l}C_{\alpha}).$$

Since $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}^{t}$ it follows that (ii) holds iff $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\circ}$, and by Theorem 2 iff $\phi \in \mathscr{P}^{\circ}_{\mathscr{H}}$. An application of [9], Thm. 1, now shows the equivalence of (i) with (iii) and (iv). To show the equivalence of (iv) and (v) note that (iv) holds iff ρ is positive on the cone generated by $\iota \otimes \alpha(B(H \otimes H)^{+})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}$, i.e., ρ is positive on $C^{\mathscr{H}}$. But by [9], Lemma 11,

$$C^{\mathscr{K}} = P(B(H), \mathscr{K}^{\sharp}) = \{ x \in B(H \otimes H) : \iota \otimes \alpha(x) \ge 0 \; \forall \; \alpha \in \mathscr{K}^{\sharp} \}.$$

Thus an application of Corollary 9 completes the proof.

REMARK 11. By [9], Thm. 1(iv), it follows that the dual cone of a mapping cone is itself a mapping cone. In particular this holds for P(H) and the cone S(H) of superpositive, also called entanglement breaking maps, generated by maps $\phi(x) = \omega(x)a$, where ω is a state on B(H) and $a \in B(H)^+$. By [6], Lemma 2.4, S(H) is the minmal and P(H) the maximal mapping cones on B(H), hence $S(H) = P(H)^\circ$. We have by [3], or by [7], Thm. 2, that a state $\rho = \tilde{\phi}$ is separable iff $\phi \in S(H) = P(H)^\circ$. Thus by Theorem 10 applied to $\mathcal{K} = P(H)$ we see that a state ρ on $B(H \otimes H)$ is separable iff it is positive on the cone *C* consisting of $x \in B(H \otimes H)$ such that $\iota \otimes \omega(x) \ge 0$ for all states ω on B(H). This result is true for states on $A \otimes B(H)$ with *A* an operator system, see [8], Prop. 1, and has recently been extended to operator spaces in [4]. Note that $x = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in B(H \otimes H)$ belongs to the cone *C* above iff $\eta(x) \ge 0$ for all separable states η of $B(H \otimes H)$. Indeed, letting ω and η denote states on B(H) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in C \Leftrightarrow 0 &\leq \iota \otimes \omega \left(\sum a_i \otimes b_i \right) = \sum a_i \omega(b_i) \otimes 1 \; \forall \; \omega \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum a_i \omega(b_i) &\geq 0 \; \forall \; \omega \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum \eta(a_i) \omega(b_i) = \eta \left(\sum a_i \omega(b_i) \right) \geq 0 \; \forall \; \eta, \; \omega \\ \Leftrightarrow \eta \otimes \omega(x) &\geq 0 \; \forall \eta, \; \omega \\ \Leftrightarrow \tau(x) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all separable states τ on $B(H \otimes H)$.

230

The reader should also notice that condition (iii) in Theorem 10 corresponds to the Horodecki Theorem [2] for separable states.

If A is an operator system a state ρ on $A \otimes B(H)$ is called a *PPT-state* if $\rho \circ (\iota \otimes t)$ is also a state. Here PPT is an abreviation for "positive partial transpose". Let \mathscr{P} denote the symmetric mapping cone consisting of maps ϕ in P(H) which are both completely positive and copositive, where the latter means that $t \circ \phi$ is completely positive. It is known [7], Prop. 4, that ρ is PPT iff $\rho = \tilde{\phi}$ with $\phi \in \mathscr{P}$, and if A = B(L) for some Hilbert space L, by [7], Thm. 8, iff $\iota \otimes t(h) \ge 0$, where h is the density operator for ρ . Using Theorem 2 we can add two more equivalent conditions for a state on $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ to be PPT.

THEOREM 12. Let H be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and ρ a linear functional on $B(H) \otimes B(H)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) ρ is a PPT-state.
- (ii) ρ is positive on the set $E = \{x \in B(H \otimes H) : x \ge 0 \text{ or } \iota \otimes t(x) \ge 0\}$.
- (iii) ρ is positive on the cone $\{x \in B(H \otimes H) : \iota \otimes \alpha(x) \ge 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

PROOF. As mentioned before $\rho = \tilde{\phi}$ with $\phi: B(H) \to B(H)$, and ρ is a PPT-state iff $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$, which by [9], Lemma 14, holds iff $\rho \ge 0$ on *E*. Thus (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Condition (iii) means that ϕ is \mathcal{P} -positive, which by Theorem 2 means that $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$, which again is equivalent to ρ being a PPT-state. This proves (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). The proof is complete.

A variation of condition (ii) in Theorem 12 is [8], Cor. 19, where it is shown that ϕ is decomposable, or equivalently $\rho = \tilde{\phi}$ is PPT, iff ρ is positive on the cone $\{x \in B(H \otimes H)^+ : t \otimes t(x) \ge 0\}$.

REFERENCES

- Choi, M-D., Completely positive maps on complex matrices, Linear Algebra and Appl. 10 (1975), 285–290.
- Horodecki, M., Horodecki, P., and Horodecki, R., Separability of mixed states, necessary and sufficient conditions, Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996), 1–8.
- Horodecki, M., Shor, P. W., and Ruskai, M. B., *Entanglement breaking channels*, Rev. Math. Phys. 15 (2003), 629–641.
- Paulsen, V., Todorov, I. G., and Tomforde, M., *Operator system structures on ordered spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 102 (2011), 25–49.
- Skowronek, Ł., Størmer, E., and Życzkowski, K., Cones of positive maps and their duality relations, J. Math. Phys. 50 (2009), 062106.
- 6. Størmer, E., Extension of positive maps into B(H), J. Funct. Anal. 66 (1986), 235–254.
- 7. Størmer, E., Separable states and positive maps, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 2303–2312.
- 8. Størmer, E., Separable states and positive maps II, Math. Scand. 105 (2009), 188–198.

ERLING STØRMER

9. Størmer, E., Duality of cones of positive maps, Münster J. Math. 2 (2009), 299–309.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF OSLO 0316 OSLO NORWAY *E-mail:* erlings@math.uio.no

232