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#### Abstract

It is known that the spectrum of the Gelfand pair $\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the Heisenberg fan.

In this paper after defining a suitable notion of spectrum, we prove an analogous result for the generalized Gelfand pair $\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right), p+q=n$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ and let $p, q$ nonnegative integers such that $p+q=n$. Let $H_{n}$ be the Heisenberg group defined by $H_{n}=\mathrm{C}^{n} \times \mathrm{R}$ with group law $(z, t)\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(z+z^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} B\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)$ where $B(z, w)=\sum_{j=1}^{p} z_{j} \bar{w}_{j}-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n} z_{j} \bar{w}_{j}$. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{n}$, we write $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $x^{\prime} \in \mathrm{R}^{p}, x^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{R}^{q}$. So, $\mathrm{R}^{2 n}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{C}^{n}$ via the map $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(x^{\prime}+i y^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}-i y^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathrm{R}^{p}, x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{R}^{q}$. In this setting, the form $-\operatorname{Im} B(z, w)$ agrees with the standard symplectic form on $\mathrm{R}^{2(p+q)}$, and the vector fields $X_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} y_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}$, $Y_{j}=\frac{1}{2} x_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, n$ and $U=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ form a standard basis for the Lie algebra $h_{n}$ of $H_{n}$. Thus $H_{n}$ can be viewed as $\mathrm{R}^{n} \times \mathrm{R}^{n} \times \mathrm{R}$ via the map $(x, y, t) \rightarrow(\varphi(x, y), t)$. From now on, we will use freely this identification.

Let $\mathscr{S}\left(H_{n}\right)$ be the Schwartz space on $H_{n}$ and let $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)$ be the space of corresponding tempered distributions. Consider the action, by automorphism, of $U(p, q)$ on $H_{n}$ given by $g .(z, t)=(g z, t)$. So $U(p, q)$ acts on $L^{2}\left(H_{n}\right)$, $\mathscr{S}\left(H_{n}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)$ in the canonical way.

Let $U(p, q) H_{n}$ denote the semidirect product of $U(p, q)$ and $H_{n}$. It is well known that the pair $\left(U(p, q) H_{n}, U(p, q)\right)$ is a generalized Gelfand pair, that is, for each irreducible unitary representation $\pi$ of $U(p, q) H_{n}$, the space of distribution vectors fixed by $U(p, q)$ is at most one dimensional. This definition extends the notion of Gelfand pair, which in our case happens when $p=0$ or $q=0$. As usual we will write $\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ to refer to the generalized Gelfand pair $\left(U(p, q) H_{n}, U(p, q)\right)$. A consequence of being a generalized Gelfand pair is that the subalgebra $\mathscr{U}_{U(p, q)}\left(h_{n}\right)$ of the left invariant and $U(p, q)$ invariant
differential operators is commutative. We refer to [13] for a detailed study of the theory of generalized Gelfand pairs. By another way, it is easy to see that this subalgebra is generated by $L$ and $U$ where $L=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(X_{j}^{2}+Y_{j}^{2}\right)-$ $\sum_{j=p+1}^{n}\left(X_{j}^{2}+Y_{j}^{2}\right)$ and $U$ is as above (cf. [7]).

The description of the unitary dual of $U(p, q) H_{n}$ is given in [15]. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the cone of the bi- $U(p, q)$-invariant, positive-definite distributions on $U(p, q) H_{n}$. We say that $T \in \mathscr{P}$ is extremal in $\mathscr{P}$ if and only if $S \in \mathscr{P}$ and $T-S \in \mathscr{P}$ imply $S=\alpha T$ for some $\alpha \in$ R. For $S, S^{\prime} \in \mathscr{P}$ we write $S \sim S^{\prime}$ if and only if $S=\alpha S^{\prime}$ for some $\alpha>0$. Thus $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{P}$. For $S \in \mathscr{P}$ we put [ $S$ ] for its equivalence class.

By general theory (see [5], [13]) one knows that there exists a one to one correspondence between the set of unitary representations $\pi$ of $U(p, q) H_{n}$ admitting a cyclic distribution vector $\xi_{\pi}$ fixed by $U(p, q)$ (spherical representations), and the set of the equivalence class of bi- $U(p, q)$-invariant, positive-definite distributions. More precisely, for such $\pi$ and $\xi_{\pi}$, and for $\varphi \in C^{\infty}\left(U(p, q) H_{n}\right)$, it is easy to see that $\pi(\varphi) \xi_{\pi}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-vector for $\pi$. Define $T_{\pi} \in D^{\prime}\left(U(p, q) H_{n}\right)$ by

$$
T_{\pi}(\varphi)=\left\langle\xi_{\pi}, \pi(\varphi) \xi_{\pi}\right\rangle
$$

( $T_{\pi}$ is called a reproducing distribution for $\pi$.) With these notations, the quoted correspondence is given by $\pi \rightarrow\left[T_{\pi}\right]$. We recall also that $\pi$ is irreducible if and only if $T_{\pi}$ is extremal in $\mathscr{P}$. As usual, we will identify the bi- $U(p, q)$ invariant distributions on $U(p, q) H_{n}$ with the $U(p, q)$-invariant distributions on $H_{n}$.

Let us recall some facts concerning the compact case $p=n, q=0$, i.e., when $U(p, q)=U(n)$. Since $\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ is a Gelfand pair, the convolution algebra of the $U(n)$-invariant integrable functions on $H_{n}$ is commutative. Its spectrum, denoted by $\Delta\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ can be identified, via integration, with the set of bounded spherical functions of the pair $\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$. Moreover, for this Gelfand pair (as remarked in [2]), the set of bounded spherical functions is precisely the set of positive definite spherical functions, and so $\Delta\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ is the set of extremal points in the cone of $U(n)$-invariant, positive definite functions on $H_{n}$. These spherical functions can be classified (see [1]) as:
a) The spherical functions of type I, i.e., those that restricted to the center of $H_{n}$ are nontrivial characters. These are given by

$$
\Phi_{\lambda, k}(z, t)=e^{-i \lambda t} \mathscr{L}_{k}^{n-1}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{2}|z|^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda|}{4}|z|^{2}}, \quad \lambda \neq 0, k \geq 0
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{k}^{n-1}$ is the Laguerre polynomial of order $n-1$ and degree $k$ normalized by $\mathscr{L}_{k}^{n-1}(0)=1$.
b) The spherical functions $\eta_{w}$ of type II, i.e., those that are constant on the center. They are given, for $w \in C^{n}-\{0\}$, by

$$
\eta_{w}(z, t)=\frac{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}{(|z||w|)^{n-1}} J_{n-1}(|z||w|)
$$

where $J_{n-1}$ is the Bessel function of order $n-1$ of the first kind, and by

$$
\eta_{0}(z, t)=1
$$

In [3] is defined a map $\mathscr{E}: \Delta\left(U(n), H_{n}\right) \rightarrow[0, \infty) \times \mathrm{R}$ by $\mathscr{E}(\Psi)=(-\widehat{L}(\Psi)$, $i \widehat{U}(\Psi))$, where $\widehat{L}(\Psi)$ and $\widehat{U}(\Psi)$ denote the eigenvalues of $L$ and $U$ respectively, associated to $\Psi$. The image of $\mathscr{E}$ is the so called Heisenberg fan $\mathscr{A}\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ and it is the set

$$
\{(|\lambda|(2 k+n), \lambda): \lambda \neq 0, k \in N \cup\{0\}\} \cup\{[0, \infty) \times\{0\}\} .
$$

There, it is proved that $\mathscr{E}$ is a homeomorphism from $\Delta\left(U(n), H_{n}\right)$ (equipped with the Gelfand topology) onto the Heisenberg fan (provided with the topology induced by $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ).

We assume from now on that $n \geq 2, p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ and we turn now to the generalized Gelfand pair $\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right), p+q=n$. Let $E$ be the set of extremal points of $\mathscr{P}$. Motivated by the quoted results in the compact case, we define

Definition 1.1. $\Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)=E / \sim$, equipped with the quotient topology of the pointwise convergence topology of $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)$.

In order to describe $\Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ we need to recall some facts. For $\lambda \neq 0$, let $\pi_{\lambda}$ denote the Schroedinger representation of $H_{n}$, realized on $L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$. According to [10], this representation can be extended to a representation $\tilde{\pi}_{\lambda}$ of $U(p, q) H_{n}$ by the rule $\tilde{\pi}_{\lambda}(k, z, t)=W_{\lambda}(k) \pi_{\lambda}(z, t)$, for $k \in U(p, q)$, $(z, t) \in H_{n}$, where $W_{\lambda}$ denotes the metaplectic representation of $U(p, q)$ (defined there) acting on $L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$. For $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathrm{~N} \cup\{0\}$, let $\|\alpha\|=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i}-\sum_{i=p+1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$ and, for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, let $h_{\alpha}(x)=$ $h_{\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots h_{\alpha_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)$ where $h_{l}$ denotes the $l$-th Hermite function. For $k \in Z$, let $H_{k}$ be the closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$ generated by $\left\{h_{\alpha}:\|\alpha\|=k\right\}$. Then (see e.g. [4]) $W_{\lambda}$ decomposes in irreducible representations of $U(p, q)$ as

$$
L^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathrm{Z}} H_{k}
$$

Let $\gamma_{k}$ denote the restriction of $W_{\lambda}$ to $H_{k}$ and let $\gamma_{k}^{*}$ be its adjoint representation.

For $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ let also $\chi_{v}(z, t)=e^{i \operatorname{Re} B(z, v)}$ and let $K_{v}$ be the stabilizer of $v$ in $U(p, q)$, that is $K_{v}=\{g \in U(p, q): g v=v\}$, and extends $\chi_{v}$ to $K_{v} H_{n}$ by $\widetilde{\chi}_{v}(k, z, t)=\chi_{v}(z, t)$. Then $\Xi_{v}:=\operatorname{Ind}_{K_{v} H_{n}}^{U(p, q) H_{n}}\left(\widetilde{\chi}_{v}\right)$ is an irreducible representation of $U(p, q) H_{n}$, and for $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathrm{C}^{n}$ it holds that $\Xi_{v}$ is equivalent to $\Xi_{v^{\prime}}$ if and only if $B(v)=B\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ (see [15]).

The spherical representations of $U(p, q) H_{n}$ are given in [15]. They are
i) Those of the form $\gamma_{k}^{*} \otimes \tilde{\pi}_{\lambda}$. For them, a reproducing distribution $S_{\lambda, k}$, found in [14], is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle S_{\lambda, k}, \varphi\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr} \pi_{\lambda}(\varphi)_{\mid H_{k}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Those of the form $\Xi_{v}$. A corresponding reproducing distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle S_{\sigma}, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{B(u, u)=-\sigma} \int_{H_{n}} e^{i \operatorname{Re} B(u, z)} \varphi(z, t) d z d t d \mu_{\sigma}(u) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=B(v, v)$ and $d \mu_{\sigma}$ denotes the surface measure on $B(u, u)=\sigma$. In other words $S_{\sigma}$ is a sort of Fourier Transform of the measure $d \mu_{\sigma}$.
iii) The trivial representation, with reproducing distribution 1.

The above list shows that for each $\left[T_{\pi}\right] \in \Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right), T_{\pi}$ is a tempered distribution on $H_{n}$.

Observe that if $\Psi$ is an extremal point of $\mathscr{P}$, then $\Psi$ is a joint eigendistribution of $-L$ and $i U$ (cf. [5]). Indeed, $-L\left(S_{\lambda, k}\right)=|\lambda|(2 k+p-q) S_{\lambda, k}$, $i U\left(S_{\lambda, k}\right)=\lambda S_{\lambda, k}$ and $-L\left(S_{\sigma}\right)=\sigma S_{\sigma}, i U\left(S_{\sigma}\right)=0$ (cf. [14], [7]). Following [3], we define the map $\mathscr{E}: \Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{2}$ by

$$
\mathscr{E}([\Psi])=(-\widehat{L}(\Psi), i \widehat{U}(\Psi))
$$

where $\widehat{L}(\Psi)$ and $\widehat{U}(\Psi)$ denote the eigenvalues of $L$ and $U$ respectively, associated to $\Psi$. Let $\mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ denote the image of $\mathscr{E}$. Equipped with the relative topology of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ it is called the Heisenberg fan of the generalized Gelfand pair $\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ and it is given by
$\mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)=\{(|\lambda|(2 k+p-q), \lambda): \lambda \neq 0, k \in \mathrm{Z}\} \cup\{(\sigma, 0): \sigma \in \mathrm{R}\}$
Our main result is the following
Theorem 1.2. The map $\mathscr{E}: \Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)-\{[1]\} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ is a homeomorphism.

Remark 1.3. As observed by J. Faraut in [5], and in contrast with the compact case, in the case of a generalized Gelfand pair a spherical distribution is not necessarily an extremal point of $\mathscr{P}$. For example, in our case, the solution
space of $-L(S)=0, i U(S)=0$ is two dimensional and a basis is given by $\left\{1, S_{0}\right\}$. After the proof of the above Theorem, it is easy to see that [1] is an isolate point of $\Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$.

## 2. The joint eigendistributions of $L$ and $i T$

We begin this section by describing the space $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)^{U(p, q)}$ of tempered distributions which are $U(p, q)$ invariant. We adapt the results by A. Tengstrand detailed in [12], for the passage from the real to the complex case.

To this end, we take bipolar coordinates on $\mathrm{C}^{n}$ : for $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, we set $\sigma=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right)-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right), \rho=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right), u=$ $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}, y_{p}\right)$ and $v=\left(x_{p+1}, y_{p+1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. So $u=\left(\frac{\rho+\sigma}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{u}$, $v=\left(\frac{\rho-\sigma}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{v}$, where $\omega_{u}$ belongs to the $2 p-1$ dimensional sphere $S^{2 p-1}$ and $\omega_{v} \in S^{2 q-1}$.

By the change of variables theorem, we have that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{C^{n}} f(z) d z=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{|\sigma|<\rho} \int_{S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 q-1}} f\left(\left(\frac{\rho+\sigma}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{u},\left(\frac{\rho-\sigma}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{v}\right) \\
d \omega_{u} d \omega_{v}(\rho+\sigma)^{p-1}(\rho-\sigma)^{q-1} d \rho d \sigma
\end{array}
$$

We define the map $M$ on $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)$ by

$$
M f(\rho, \tau)=\int_{S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 q-1}} f\left(\left(\frac{\rho+\tau}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{u},\left(\frac{\rho-\tau}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{v}\right) d \omega_{u} d \omega_{v}
$$

and

$$
N f(\tau)=\int_{|\tau|}^{\infty} M f(\rho, \sigma)(\rho+\tau)^{p-1}(\rho-\tau)^{q-1} d \rho
$$

In other words, $N f$ is the integral of $f$ on the surface $B(z, z)=\tau$ provided with a suitable surface measure.

Let $H$ denote the Heaviside function (i.e., $H(\tau)=\chi_{(0, \infty)}(\tau)$ ) and let $\mathscr{H}$ be the space of the functions $\varphi: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ such that $\varphi(\tau)=\varphi_{1}(\tau)+\tau^{n-1} \varphi_{2}(\tau) H(\tau)$, $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathrm{R})$. It is proved in [12] that $\mathscr{H}$, with an adequate topology, is a Fréchet space. Moreover, following straighforward the proof of Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 there, we obtain that

$$
N: \mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}-\{0\}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}(\mathrm{R}), \quad \text { and } \quad N: \mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}
$$

are (linear) continuous, surjective maps. Now, let $\mu \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)^{U(p, q)}$. Then, there exists a unique $T \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R})$ such that

$$
\langle\mu, f\rangle=\langle T, N f\rangle \quad \text { for every } \quad f \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}-\{0\}\right)
$$

Indeed, let $\Phi\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\left(\rho, \tau, \omega_{u}, \omega_{v}\right)$ the change of coordinates and let $J\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)$ be the Jacobi determinant. If $\mu \circ \Phi$ is the distribution defined by $\langle\mu \circ \Phi, f\rangle=\left\langle\mu,\left(f \circ \Phi^{-1}\right) J\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)\right\rangle$, then as $U(p, q)$ acts transitively on the surface $B(z, z)=\tau, \mu \circ \Phi$ is independent of $\rho, \omega_{u}$ and $\omega_{v}$. So, $T$ is well defined and the uniqueness of $T$ follows from the surjectivity of $N$.

Moreover, the adjoint map of $N, N^{\prime}: \mathscr{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)^{U(p, q)}$, is injective and the same lines of Theorem 5.1 in [12] prove that $N^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism.

For $f \in \mathscr{S}\left(H_{n}\right)$, we will write $N f(\tau, t)$ for $N(f(., t))(\tau)$. We have that for all $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C^{n}} \varphi(B(z), t) f(z, t) d z d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N f(\tau, t) \varphi(\tau, t) d \tau d t
$$

Our next step is to compute, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{R}$, the solutions $S \in S^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)^{U(p, q)}$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-L(S) & =\sigma S  \tag{2.1}\\
i U(S) & =0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

i.e., the $U(p, q)$ invariant tempered joint eigendistributions of $-L$ and $i U$ corresponding to a pair $(\sigma, \lambda) \in \mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ with $\lambda=0$. For such a solution $S, U(S)=0$ gives $S=F \otimes 1$ with $F \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)$. Since
$L=\square+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right)-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right)\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-y_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)$,
where

$$
\square=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}}\right)-\sum_{j=p+1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j}^{2}}\right),
$$

and $S$ is $U(p, q)$ invariant, from (2.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\square(F)=\sigma F \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, for each solution $F \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)$ of this equation, $S=F \otimes 1$ solves (2.1). It is proved in [12] that $N(\square f)=D(N f)$ for $f \in S\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 n}\right)$, where $D$ is the differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=4\left(\tau \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}+(2-n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $F=N^{\prime}(T)$ with $T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$, (2.2) becomes $D^{\prime} T=-\sigma T$, where $D^{\prime}$ is the adjoint of $D$ given by $D^{\prime} T=4\left(\tau T^{\prime \prime}+n T^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime} T+\sigma T=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ is a solution of (2.4) then (since $D$ is elliptic) its restrictions $T_{\mid \mathscr{D}(0, \infty)}$ and $\left.T_{\mathscr{D}(-\infty, 0)}\right)$ are functions belonging to $C^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ and $C^{\infty}(-\infty, 0)$, respectively. They are solutions, on the respective semiaxis, of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(\tau v^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+n v^{\prime}(\tau)\right)+\sigma v(\tau)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case $\sigma>0$. A computation shows that a function $y:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a solution of (2.5) if and only if

$$
y(\tau)=\frac{w\left((\sigma \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{(\sigma \tau)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}
$$

for some $w$ that solves, on $(0, \infty)$, the Bessel equation of order $n-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2} w^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+\tau w^{\prime}(\tau)+\left(\tau^{2}-(n-1)^{2}\right) w(\tau)=0, \quad \tau>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m \in N \cup\{0\}$, let $J_{m}$ be the Bessel function of first kind of order $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}(\tau)=\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!(k+m)!}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2 k} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $N_{m}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be the Neumann function defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{m}(\tau)= & \frac{2}{\pi} J_{m}(\tau) \log \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m-k-1)!}{k!}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2 k-m}  \tag{2.8}\\
& -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!(k+m)!}[\psi(k+1)+\psi(k+m+1)]\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{m+2 k} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi(m+1):=-\gamma+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{j}$ and $\gamma$ is the Euler constant.
For $\tau>0, \sigma>0$ and $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m}(\tau)=m!\frac{J_{m}\left((\sigma \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{(\sigma \tau)^{\frac{m}{2}}}, \quad z_{m}(\tau)=\frac{N_{m}\left((\sigma \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{(\sigma \tau)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that, for $\tau>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m}(\tau)=m!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!(k+m)!}\left(\frac{\sigma \tau}{4}\right)^{k} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $y_{m}$ has an analytic extension to R , still denoted by $y_{m}$, given by (2.11). Note that $\left\{J_{n-1}, N_{n-1}\right\}$ is a basis of the space of the solutions of $(2.6)$ on $(0, \infty)$ and so $\left\{y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}\right\}$ is a basis of the solution space of $(2.5)$ on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, since $y_{n-1}$ is analytic on $R$, it solves (2.5) on the whole line. A suitable (for our purposes) solution $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$, linearly independent with $y_{n-1}$, of the equation (2.5) on $(-\infty, 0)$ can be chosen as follows. We propose $\tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=c(\tau) y_{n-1}(\tau)$ which gives the equation $\tau y_{n-1}(\tau) c^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+\left(n y_{n-1}(\tau)+2 \tau y_{n .1}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) c^{\prime}(\tau)=0$ that solved for $c$ gives $c(\tau)=A \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{1}{y_{n-1}^{2}(s)|s|^{n}} d s+B$ with $A$ and $B$ arbitrary constants ( $c(\tau)$ is well defined by Lemma 2.1 below). We pick $A=1, B=0$ to obtain

$$
\tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=y_{n-1}(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{1}{y_{n-1}^{2}(s)|s|^{n}} d s
$$

So a basis of the solution space of $(2.5)$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ is given by $\left\{y_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_{n-1}\right\}$.
Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\sigma>0$. Then for $\tau<0$ it holds that $y_{n-1}(\tau)>0$ and $y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)<0$. Moreover, there exist positive constants $A, B$ such that for $\tau$ negative with absolute value large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n-1}(\tau) \geq A e^{B|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau) \leq-A e^{B|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\tau<0$, from (2.11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n-1}(\tau) & =(n-1)!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k+n-1)!}\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq(n-1)!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+n-1)!^{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{k} \\
& \geq(n-1)!\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{-(n-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2(k+n-1))!}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}}\right)^{2(k+n-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $y_{n-1}(\tau)>0$ and

$$
y_{n-1}(\tau) \geq(n-1)!\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{-(n-1)}\left(\cosh \sqrt{\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}}-P_{n-2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}}\right)\right)
$$

where $P_{n-2}$ is the Taylor polynomial, around the origin, and of degree $n-2$,
of cosh. This gives the first inequality in (2.12). Similarly, for $\tau<0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau) & =-(n-1)!\frac{\sigma}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1!)(k+n-1)!}\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{k-1} \\
& \leq-(n-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+n-1)!^{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =-(n-1)!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+n)!^{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)<0$. Proceeding as before we obtain that

$$
y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau) \leq-(n-1)!\left(\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}\right)^{-n}\left(\cosh \sqrt{\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}}-Q_{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sigma|\tau|}{4}}\right)\right)
$$

for a polynomial $Q_{n-1}$ of degree $n-1$, which implies the remaining assertion of the Lemma.

The following provides information about the asymptotic behavior of $z_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$ at the origin and at $-\infty$.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\sigma>0$. Then
i) $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \tau^{n-1} z_{n-1}(\tau)=-\frac{2^{n-1} \Gamma(n-1)}{\pi \sigma^{n-1}}, \lim _{\tau \rightarrow+\infty} z_{n-1}(\tau)=0$
ii) $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}} \tau^{n-1} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=-\frac{1}{n-1}, \lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=0$
iii) $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$ is integrable on $(-\infty,-1)$.

Proof. The assertions in i) are a direct consequence of the asymptotic behavior of $N_{n-1}$ at the origin and at $+\infty$. The first assertion of ii) follows from the definition of $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$ and the L'Hôpital rule. To see the second one, we note that from Lemma 2.1 we have $\tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)>0$ for $\tau<0$. Also, for $\tau$ negative with absolute value large enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=y_{n-1}(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{1}{y_{n-1}^{2}(s)|s|^{n}} d s \\
& \quad \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(s)|s|^{n}} d s \leq A^{\prime} e^{-B|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constants $A^{\prime}$ and $B$. Thus iii) holds and also $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)=$ 0 .

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\sigma>0$. Then
i) there exist the limits $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \tau^{n} z_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau), \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}} \tau^{n} \tilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)$ and they are finite and different from zero.
ii) $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} z_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)=0$ and $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)=0$.

Proof. A computation using the definition of $z_{n-1}$, that $2 N_{n-1}^{\prime}=N_{n-2}-$ $N_{n}$ and the asymptotic behavior of then Neumann functions (see [8], p. 134 and 135) gives the assertions of the lemma about $z_{n-1}$. On other hand, from the definition of $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)=y_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{1}{y_{n-1}^{2}(s)|s|^{n}} d s+\frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\tau)|\tau|^{n}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{n-1}$ is continuous and $y_{n-1}(0)=1$, from (2.13) it follows that the limit $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}} \tau^{n} \tilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)$ exists, is finite and different from zero. To prove the remaining assertion of the lemma we rewrite (2.5) as

$$
4 \frac{d}{d \tau}\left(\tau \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)\right)=-4(n-1) \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)-\sigma \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)
$$

Now, for $\tau<-1$, an integration on $(\tau,-1)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
-4\left(\widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(-1)+\tau\right. & \left.\tau \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)\right) \\
& =-4(n-1)\left(\tilde{y}_{n-1}(-1)-\tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)\right)-\sigma \int_{\tau}^{-1} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\tilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)=A \tau^{-1} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(\tau)+B \tau^{-1}-\sigma \tau^{-1} \int_{\tau}^{-1} \tilde{y}_{n-1}(s) d s$ with $A$ and $B$ independent of $\tau$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow-\infty} \tilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(\tau)=0$.

From the asymptotic behavior of $J_{n-1}$ at $+\infty$ (cf. [8], p. 134-135), we have that $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+\infty} y_{n-1}(\tau)=0$. In particular, $y_{n-1} H \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.4. For $\sigma>0$ the distribution $T=\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}$ is a solution in $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime} T+\sigma T=0$.

Proof. We first look for distributions $\widetilde{\sim} \underset{\sim}{T}=y_{n-1} H+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{j} \delta^{(j)}$ with $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2} \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $D^{\prime} \widetilde{T}+\sigma \widetilde{T}=0$. Let $S=y_{n-1} H$. A computation shows that $D^{\prime} S=4(n-1) y_{n-1}(0) \delta=4(n-1) \delta$. Also, for $0 \leq j \leq n-2$, $D^{\prime}\left(\delta^{(j)}\right)+\sigma \delta^{(j)}=4(n-2-j) \delta^{(j+1)}+\sigma \delta^{(j)}$. So $D^{\prime} \widetilde{T}+\sigma \widetilde{T}=0$ if and only if $c_{0}=-\frac{4(n-1)}{\sigma}$ and $c_{j}=-\frac{4(n-1-j)}{\sigma} c_{j-1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, n-2$, i.e., if and only if $c_{j}=\left(-\frac{4}{\sigma}\right)^{j+1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-2-j)!}$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ be defined with these constants and observe that

$$
\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}=y_{0}^{(n-1)}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} y_{0}^{(j)}(0) \delta^{(n-2-j)},
$$

so the proposition will follow if we show that for some constant $A \neq 0$,

$$
y_{0}^{(n-1)}=A y_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{0}^{(j)}(0)=A c_{n-2-j} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq n-2,
$$

but, taking the corresponding derivatives in the series expansion for $y_{0}$, it is easy to see that these conditions are fulfilled by $A=\left(-\frac{\sigma}{4}\right)^{n-1}$.

For $g \in C(0,+\infty)$ with growth at most polynomial at $+\infty$ and such that $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{+}} \tau^{n-1} g(\tau)$ exists and is finite we define $P f^{+}(g) \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\left\langle P f^{+}(g), \varphi\right\rangle=: \int_{0}^{1} g(\tau)\left(\varphi(\tau)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \tau^{j}\right) d \tau+\int_{1}^{\infty} g(\tau) \varphi(\tau) d \tau
$$

Similarly, for $g \in C(-\infty, 0)$ satisfying the analogous conditions at $-\infty$ and at the origin, let $P f^{-}(g) \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\left\langle P f^{-}(g), \varphi\right\rangle=: \int_{-1}^{0} g(\tau)\left(\varphi(\tau)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\varphi^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \tau^{j}\right) d t+\int_{-\infty}^{-1} g(\tau) \varphi(\tau) d \tau
$$

We recall that for $\varphi \in \mathscr{H}$, since $\varphi(\tau)=\varphi_{1}(\tau)+\tau^{n-1} H(\tau) \varphi_{2}(\tau)$ with $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in$ $S(\mathrm{R}), \varphi$ has an asymptotic development, near the origin, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\tau) \simeq \sum_{j \geq 0} B_{j}(\varphi) \tau^{j}+\sum_{j \geq n-1} A_{j}(\varphi) \tau^{j} H(\tau) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{j}(\varphi)=0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-2$. It is proved in [12] that if $S \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ is supported at the origin then $S=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \alpha_{j} A_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{j} B_{j}$ for some $m \in \mathrm{~N} \cup\{0\}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} ; \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m} \in \mathrm{C}$.

If $v \in C^{2}(0, \infty)$ (respectively $v \in C^{2}(-\infty, 0)$ ) is a solution of $D^{\prime} v+\sigma v=$ 0 on $(0, \infty)$ (respectively on $(-\infty, 0)$ ) satisfying that $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{*}}\left(\tau^{n-1} v(\tau)\right)$ exists and is finite (resp. $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0^{-}}\left(\tau^{n-1} v(\tau)\right)$ exists and is finite), an integration by parts shows that, for $0<a<b \leq+\infty$ (resp. $-\infty \leq a<b<0$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} v(\tau)(D+\sigma I)(\varphi)(\tau) d \tau=R(v, b, \varphi)-R(v, a, \varphi) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for $\xi \in \mathrm{R}-\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(v, \xi, \varphi):=4 \xi\left(v(\xi) \varphi^{\prime}(\xi)-v^{\prime}(\xi) \varphi(\xi)\right)+4(1-n) v(\xi) \varphi(\xi) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $R(v, \pm \infty, \varphi):=\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty} R(v, \xi, \varphi)$.

Lemma 2.5. i) Assume $\sigma>0$. Then there exist constants $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}$ and $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{n-1}$ with $c_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $d_{n-1} \neq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) P f^{+}\left(z_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{j} B_{j}+c_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1}+B_{n-1}\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) P f^{-}\left(\widetilde{y}_{n-1}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} d_{j} B_{j}+d_{n-1} B_{n-1} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

ii) For $\sigma=0$ and $a, b \in \mathrm{R}$, the assertions in i) hold with $z_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{y}_{n-1}$ replaced by $a+b \tau^{1-n}$.

Proof. A computation shows that for $\varphi \in \mathscr{H}, P_{n-2}(D \varphi)=D\left(P_{n-2} \varphi\right)$, where $P_{n-2}(\varphi)$ denotes the Taylor polynomial of $\varphi$ of degree $n-2$ around the origin. Then, from (2.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle P f^{+}\left(z_{n-1}\right),(D+\sigma I) \varphi\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} z_{n-1}\left((D+\sigma I) \varphi-P_{n-2}(D+\sigma I) \varphi\right)+\int_{1}^{\infty} z_{n-1}(D+\sigma I) \varphi \\
& = \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{1} z_{n-1}(D+\sigma I)\left(\varphi-P_{n-2} \varphi\right)+\int_{1}^{\infty} z_{n-1}(D+\sigma I) \varphi \\
& = \\
& \quad R\left(z_{n-1}, 1, \varphi-P_{n-2} \varphi\right)-\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R\left(z_{n-1}, \varepsilon, \varphi-P_{n-2} \varphi\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\lim _{b \rightarrow+\infty} R\left(z_{n-1}, b, \varphi\right)-R\left(z_{n-1}, 1, \varphi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, $\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} R\left(z_{n-1}, b, \varphi\right)=0$. Thus

$$
\left\langle\left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) P f^{+}\left(z_{n-1}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=-R\left(1, P_{n-2}(\varphi)\right)-\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} R\left(\varepsilon, \varphi-P_{n-2}(\varphi)\right)
$$

A computation using the asymptotic development (2.14) gives that

$$
R\left(z_{n-1}, \varepsilon, \varphi-P_{n-2} \varphi\right)=-4 z_{n-1}^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{n}\left(A_{n-1}(\varphi)+B_{n-1}(\varphi)\right)+o(\varepsilon)
$$

with $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} o(\varepsilon)=0$. Then, by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\left\langle\left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) P f^{+}\left(z_{n-1}\right), \varphi\right\rangle=-R\left(1, P_{n-2} \varphi\right)-c_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1}(\varphi)+B_{n-1}(\varphi)\right)
$$

for some constant with $c_{n-1} \neq 0$. This gives (2.17) and the proof of (2.18) is similar using that $R\left(z_{n-1},-\varepsilon, \varphi-P_{n-2} \varphi\right)=-4 \widetilde{y}_{n-1}^{\prime}(-\varepsilon)(-\varepsilon)^{n} B_{n-1}(\varphi)+$ $o(\varepsilon)$. The same arguments give also ii).

For $S \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ supported at the origin we have

$$
S=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} A_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{j} B_{j}
$$

with each $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j} \in C$ and $\alpha_{j}=\beta_{j}=0$ for $j$ large enough. So, from (2.14) a computation gives that, for $\sigma \in \mathrm{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(4 j(j+1-n) \alpha_{j-1}+\sigma \alpha_{j}\right) A_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(4 j(j+1-n) \beta_{j-1}+\sigma \beta_{j}\right) B_{j} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $S \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ supported at the origin and let $\sigma \neq 0$.
i) $I f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) S=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} c_{j} B_{j}+c_{n-1} A_{n-1}+d_{n-1} B_{n-1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}, d_{n-1} \in C$, then $c_{n-1}=d_{n-1}=0$.
ii) If $D^{\prime} S+\sigma S=0$, then $S=0$.

Proof. i) From (2.20) and (2.19) we get, for $j \geq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 j(j+1-n) \alpha_{j-1}+\sigma \alpha_{j}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also $\sigma \alpha_{n-1}=c_{n-1}$. So $c_{n-1} \neq 0$ implies $\alpha_{n-1} \neq 0$ and thus $\alpha_{j} \neq 0$ for $j \geq n$ which is a contradiction. Then $c_{n-1}=0$ and similarly $d_{n-1}=0$.
ii) If $D^{\prime} S+\sigma S=0$ then, from (2.19), $\alpha_{0}=0$ and also $4 j(j+1-n) \alpha_{j-1}+$ $\sigma \alpha_{j}=0$ for $j \geq 1$ Thus $\alpha_{j}=0$ for all $j$ and similarly $\beta_{j}=0$ for each $j$.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of (2.19) and the fact that $A_{n-2}=0$

Lemma 2.7. Let $S \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ supported at the origin,
i) If $D^{\prime} S=0$ then $S=c B_{n-2}$ for some $c \in \mathrm{C}$.
ii) If $D^{\prime} S=c B_{0}$ and $S \neq 0$ then $c=0$.

For $T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$, let $T^{\vee}$ given by $\left\langle T^{\vee}, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle T, \varphi^{\vee}\right\rangle$ where $\varphi^{\vee}(\tau)=\varphi(-\tau)$.
Theorem 2.8. i) For $\sigma>0, T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ is a solution of $D^{\prime} T+\sigma T=0$ if and only if $T=c\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}$.
ii) For $\sigma=0, T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ is a solution of $D^{\prime} T=0$ if and only if $T=c 1+d B_{n-2}$ for some $c, d \in \mathbf{R}$.
iii) For $\sigma<0, T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ solves $\left(D^{\prime}+\sigma I\right) T=0$ if and only if $T^{\vee}$ solves $\left(D^{\prime}-\sigma I\right) T^{\vee}=0$.

Proof. iii) is immediate. To see i) consider a solution $T \in \mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ of $D^{\prime} T+$ $\sigma T=0$. Then $T_{\mid D(0,+\infty)}=a y_{n-1}+b z_{n-1}$ and $T_{\mid D(-\infty, 0)}=\alpha y_{n-1}+\beta \widetilde{y}_{n-1}$ for some constants $a, b, \alpha, \beta$. From Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, and since $T$ is a tempered distribution we get $\alpha=0$. Thus

$$
S:=T-a\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}-b P f^{+}\left(z_{n-1}\right)-\beta P f^{-}\left(\tilde{y}_{n-1}\right)
$$

is a distribution supported at the origin and, by Lemma 2.5, it satisfies

$$
D^{\prime} S+\sigma S=\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \mu_{j} B_{j}-b c_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1}+B_{n-1}\right)-\beta d_{n-1} B_{n-1}
$$

with $c_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $d_{n-1} \neq 0$. Thus Lemma 2.6 gives $b c_{n-1}=0$ and $b c_{n-1}+$ $\beta d_{n-1}=0$. So $b=\beta=0, S=T-a\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}$ and $D^{\prime} S+\sigma S=0$. Now, Lemma 2.6 implies $S=0$, i.e., $T=a\left(y_{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}$. Reciprocally, by Proposition 2.4, each distribution $T$ of this form is a solution of $D^{\prime} T+\sigma T=0$.

To see ii) observe that the solutions of $\tau v^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+n v^{\prime}(\tau)=0$ on $(0,+\infty)$ (resp. on $(-\infty, 0)$ ) are generated by 1 and $\tau^{1-n}$. If $\tau T^{\prime \prime}+n T=0$, then $T_{\mid D(0,+\infty)}=a+b \tau^{1-n}$ and $T_{\mid D(-\infty, 0)}=\alpha+\beta \tau^{1-n}$ for some constants $a, b, \alpha, \beta$. Consider $S=T-P f^{+}\left(a+b \tau^{1-n}\right)-P f^{-}\left(\alpha+\beta \tau^{1-n}\right)$. Proceeding as in the proof of i) we get $b=\beta=0$. Then $T_{\mid D(0,+\infty)}=a 1$ and $T_{\mid D(-\infty, 0)}=\alpha$. Let $\widetilde{S}=T-a H-\alpha(1-H)$. Since $D^{D^{\prime}} H=B_{0}$, we have $D^{\prime} \widetilde{S}=(\alpha-a) B_{0}$ and so, by Lemma 2.7, $a=\alpha$ and $\widetilde{S}=d B_{n-2}$ for some $d \in \mathrm{R}$. Then $T=a 1+d B_{n-2}$. On the other hand it is clear that 1 and $B_{n-2}$ are solutions of $D^{\prime} T=0$.

For $\sigma \in \mathrm{R}$ let $S_{\sigma}^{\#} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle S_{\sigma}^{\#}, f\right\rangle=(-1)^{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left((\sigma \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(N f(., t))^{(n-1)}(\tau) d \tau d t \quad \text { for } \sigma \geq 0 \\
& \left\langle S_{\sigma}^{\#}, f\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left((-\sigma \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(N f(., t))^{(n-1)}(-\tau) d \tau d t \quad \text { for } \sigma<0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\sigma \in \mathrm{R}, S_{\sigma}^{\#}$ is a joint eigendistribution in $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ of $-L$ and $U$ (cf. Theorem 2.8). On the other hand, $S_{\sigma}$ is a joint eigendistribution (cf. [5]) of $-L$ and $U$ which, as stated in the introduction, belongs to $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$. Thus, for $\sigma \neq 0, S_{\sigma}$ is a multiple of $S_{\sigma}^{\#}$ and so $\left[S_{\sigma}\right]=\left[S_{\sigma}^{\#}\right]$. Since $S_{\sigma}$ converges in $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$ to $S_{0}$ as $\sigma$ tends to zero, we get, that also $\left[S_{0}\right]=\left[S_{0}^{\#}\right]$.

The distributions $S_{\lambda, k}$ can be explicitly written using Laguerre polynomials. For a non negative integer $m$ let $L_{m}^{0}$ be the Laguerre polynomial of degree $m$
and order zero, defined by $L_{m}^{0}(\tau)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j}(-1)^{j} \frac{\tau^{j}}{j!}$. We have (cf. [14], also [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda, k}=F_{\lambda, k} \otimes e^{-i \lambda t} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $k \geq 0, \lambda \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle F_{\lambda, k}, g\right\rangle  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad=\left\langle\left(L_{k-q+n-1}^{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}, \tau \rightarrow \frac{2}{|\lambda|} e^{-\tau / 2} N g\left(\frac{2}{|\lambda|} \tau\right)\right\rangle, \quad g \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and for $k<0, \lambda \neq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle F_{\lambda, k}, g\right\rangle  \tag{2.24}\\
= & \left\langle\left(L_{-k-p+n-1}^{0} H\right)^{(n-1)}, \tau \rightarrow \frac{2}{|\lambda|} e^{-\tau / 2} N g\left(-\frac{2}{|\lambda|} \tau\right)\right\rangle, \quad g \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathrm{C}^{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Leibnitz rule and the change of variable $\tau=\frac{|\lambda|}{2} s$ we get, for $k \geq 0$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and $f \in \mathscr{S}\left(H_{n}\right)$,
(2.25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\lambda|^{n-1}\left\langle S_{\lambda, k}, f\right\rangle \\
&=|\lambda|^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{2}{|\lambda|} \\
& \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} L_{k-q+n-1}^{0}(\tau) \frac{d^{n-1}}{d \tau^{n-1}}\left(e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} N f\left(\frac{2}{|\lambda|} \tau, t\right)\right) d \tau d t \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} 4^{j}(-1)^{j}|\lambda|^{n-1-j} \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} L_{k-q+n-1}^{0}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{2} s\right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda|}{4} s}(N f(., t))^{(j)}(s) d s d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly, for $k<0, \lambda \neq 0$ and $f \in \mathscr{S}\left(H_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\lambda|^{n-1}\left\langle S_{\lambda, k}, f\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{j} 4^{j}|\lambda|^{n-1-j}  \tag{2.26}\\
& \quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \lambda t} \int_{0}^{\infty} L_{-k-p+n-1}^{0}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{2} s\right) e^{-\frac{|\lambda|}{4} s}(N f(., t))^{(j)}(-s) d s d t
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. $\mathscr{E}$ is an homeomorphism

Remark 3.1. The following result is a Mehler type formula (see for example [6], page 92, or Corollary 4.2 in [3]) :

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow 0} L_{m}^{0}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2(2 m+1)}\right) e^{\frac{x^{2}}{4(2 m+1)}}=J_{0}(x)
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $E, \Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ and $\mathscr{E}$ be as in the introduction and let $\theta: E \rightarrow E / \sim$ be the quotient map. The map $\widetilde{\mathscr{E}}: E \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ given by $\tilde{\mathscr{E}}(\Psi)=(-\widehat{L}(\Psi), i \widehat{U}(\Psi))$ is continuous. Indeed, since $E$ is equipped with the pointwise convergence topology, if $\Psi_{n}$ converges to $\Psi$ (and we set $\Psi_{n} \rightharpoonup \Psi$ ) then $L \Psi_{n} \rightharpoonup L \Psi$. So, denoting by $\gamma_{n}$ and $\gamma$ the eigenvalues associated to $\Psi_{n}$ and $\Psi$, respectively, we have that $\gamma_{n} \Psi_{n} \rightharpoonup \gamma \Psi$. Choosing some $f$ such that $\langle\Psi, f\rangle \neq 0$, we conclude that $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \gamma$.

Thus the bijection $\mathscr{E}: \Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)-\{[1]\} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$ is also continuous.

For $(\sigma, \lambda) \in \mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$, we say that it is of type I if $\lambda \neq 0$ (and so $\sigma=|\lambda|(2 k+p-q)$ with $k \in \mathrm{Z})$. In this case we set $S_{(\sigma, \lambda)}=\frac{|\lambda|^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} S_{\lambda, k}$. We will say that $(\sigma, \lambda)$ is of type II if $\lambda=0$, and we set $S_{(\sigma, \lambda)}=S_{\sigma}^{\#}$.

To see that $\mathscr{E}^{-1}$ is continuous it enough to show that if $\left\{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \in N}$ is a sequence in $\mathscr{A}\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$, either of type I or of type II, and if $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sigma_{m}\right.$, $\left.\lambda_{m}\right)=(\sigma, \lambda)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)}=S_{(\sigma, \lambda)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with convergence in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(H_{n}\right)$.
Consider the case when $\sigma>0, \lambda=0$. If $\left\{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \in \mathrm{~N}}$ is of type I then $\sigma_{m}=\left|\lambda_{m}\right|\left(2 k_{m}+p-q\right)$ with $k_{m} \in Z$. Since $\lambda_{m} \rightarrow 0$ and $2\left|\lambda_{m}\right| k_{m} \rightarrow \sigma$ we have $k_{m}>0$ for $m$ large enough.

Fix $s \geq 0$ and let $x_{m}=\left(\left(2 k_{m}+1\right)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}=(\sigma s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\frac{\left|\lambda_{m}\right|}{2} s=\frac{x_{m}^{2}}{2\left(2 k_{m}+1\right)}$ the uniform convergence in Remark 3.1 and dominated convergence gives that for $j=0, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} L_{k_{m}-q+n-1}^{0}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{m}\right|}{2} s\right) e^{-\frac{\left|\lambda_{m}\right|}{4} s}(N f(., t))^{(j)}(s) d s \\
&=\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}\left((\sigma s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(N f(., t))^{(j)}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, taking into account of (2.25), we obtain (3.1). If $\left\{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \in N}$ is of type II, since $J_{0}$ is continuous, dominated convergence gives $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)}$ $=S_{\sigma}^{\#}$.

The case $\sigma<0, \lambda=0$ follows the sames lines: in this case $k_{m}<0$ for $m$ large enough, and so (2.26) and the definition of $S_{\sigma}^{\#}$ for $\sigma<0$ imply (3.1).

The origin $\sigma=0, \lambda=0$ has not additional work. As above, by (2.25) and (2.26), we see that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{\left(\sigma_{m}, \lambda_{m}\right)}=S_{0}^{\#}$. In particular this shows that the equivalence class of 1 is an isolated point of $\Delta\left(U(p, q), H_{n}\right)$.

The proof for the cases where $\lambda \neq 0$ are obvious.
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