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#### Abstract

We characterize extreme rays of the dual cone of the cone consisting of all $s$-positive (respectively $t$-copositive) linear maps between matrix algebras. This gives us a characterization of positive linear maps which are the sums of $s$-positive linear maps and $t$-copositive linear maps, which generalizes Størmer's characterization of decomposable positive linear maps in matrix algebras. With this duality, it is also easy to describe maximal faces of the cone consisting of all $s$-positive (respectively $t$-copositive) linear maps between matrix algebras.


## 1. Introduction

The structures of the convex cone of positive linear maps between $C^{*}$-algebras are turned out to be extremely complicated even when the domain and the range are low dimensional matrix algebras $M_{n}$. Several authors including [2], [4], [9], [10], [12], [14] and [15] have tried to decompose the cone into smaller cones consisting of more well-behaved positive linear maps such as completely positive and completely copositive linear maps. We denote by $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathscr{H})$ the space of all bounded linear operators and trace class operators on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, respectively. One of the methods to examine the possibility of decomposition is to use the duality between the space $\mathscr{B}(A, \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}))$ of all bounded linear operators from a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ into $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ and the projective tensor product $\mathcal{T}(\mathscr{H}) \hat{\otimes} A$ given by

$$
\langle x \otimes y, \phi\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi(y) x^{\mathrm{t}}\right), \quad x \in \mathcal{T}(\mathscr{H}), y \in A, \phi \in \mathscr{B}(A, \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})),
$$

where Tr and t denote the usual trace and the transpose, respectively. Using this duality, Woronowicz [15] has shown that every positive linear map from the matrix algebra $M_{2}$ into $M_{n}$ is the sum of a completely positive linear map and a completely copositive linear map if and only if $n \leq 3$. The above duality is also useful to study extendibility of positive linear maps as was con-

[^0]sidered by Størmer [13]. We denote by $\mathrm{P}_{s}[A, B]$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}[A, B]$ ) the convex cone of all $s$-positive (respectively $s$-copositive) linear maps from a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ into a $C^{*}$-algebra $B$. We also denote by $\mathrm{P}_{\infty}[A, B]$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{\infty}[A, B]$ ) the cone of all completely positive (respectively completely copositive) linear maps. The predual cones of $\mathrm{P}_{s}[A, \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})]$ and $\mathrm{P}^{s}[A, \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})]$ with respect to the above pairing has been determined by Itoh [3].

If we restrict ourselves to the cases of matrix algebras, then the above pairing may be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A, \phi\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \phi\left(e_{i j}\right) \otimes e_{i j}\right) A^{\mathrm{t}}\right]=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left\langle\phi\left(e_{i j}\right), a_{i j}\right\rangle, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} a_{i j} \otimes e_{i j} \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$ and a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, where $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}$ is the matrix units of $M_{m}$ and the bilinear form in the right-side is given by $\langle X, Y\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left(Y X^{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ for $X, Y \in M_{n}$. Then (1) defines a bilinear pairing between the space $M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\left(=M_{n m}\right)$ of all $n m \times n m$ matrices over the complex field and the space $\mathcal{L}\left(M_{m}, M_{n}\right)$ of all linear maps from $M_{m}$ into $M_{n}$.

In this note, we show that the predual cone of $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ with respect to the pairing (1) is generated by rank one matrices in $M_{n m}$ whose range vectors in $\mathrm{C}^{n m}$ correspond to $m \times n$ matrices of ranks $s$. The predual cone of $\mathrm{P}^{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ is obtained by block-transposing that of $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$. With this information, it is easy to characterize the predual cone of $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]+$ $\mathrm{P}^{t}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$. As an application, we extend Størmer's result [12] to give a characterization of linear maps which are sums of $s$-positive linear maps and $t$-copositive linear maps. We also show that Choi's examples [1] of non-decomposable positive linear maps are not the sum of 3-positive linear maps and 2-copositive linear maps. The second author [6], [7], [8] has modified the method in [11] to characterize maximal faces of the cones $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{P}^{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$, and all faces of the cones $\mathrm{P}_{\infty}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\infty}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$. Generally, it turns out that every maximal face of a convex cone in a finite dimensional space corresponds to an extreme ray of the predual cone, whenever every extreme ray of the predual cone is exposed with respect to the pairing. This enables us to describe maximal faces of the cones $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{P}^{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ simultaneously. Compare with [7].

We develop in Section 2 some general aspects of dual cones how maximal faces of a cone correspond to extreme rays of the dual cone, and characterize extreme rays of the predual cones of $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ and $\mathrm{P}^{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ in Section 3. We also examine in Section 4 the Choi's example mentioned above. Throughout this note, we fix natural numbers $m$ and $n$, and denote by just $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}$ ) for the cone $\mathrm{P}_{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}\left[M_{m}, M_{n}\right]$ ). Note that $\mathrm{P}_{\infty}=\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\infty}=\mathrm{P}^{m \wedge n}$ in these notations, where $m \wedge n$ denotes the minimum of $\{m, n\}$.

This note has been completed during the second author was staying at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. He is grateful for the kind hospitality of Professor Zhong-Jin Ruan and the financial support from the Yonam Foundation.

## 2. Duality of convex cones.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be finite dimensional normed space, which are dual each other with respect to a bilinear pairing $\langle$,$\rangle . For a subset C$ of $X$ (respectively $D$ of $Y$ ), we define the dual cone $C^{\circ}$ (respectively $D^{\circ}$ ) by the set of all $y \in Y$ (respectively $x \in X$ ) such that $\langle x, y\rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in C$ (respectively $y \in D$ ). It is clear that $C^{\circ \circ}$ is the closed convex cone of $X$ generated by $C$. It is also easy to see that the dual cone of the intersection $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ of two cones $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ is nothing but the closed cone generated by $C_{1}^{\circ}$ and $C_{2}^{\circ}$. In other words, we have the identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right)^{\circ}=\left(C_{1}^{\circ} \cup C_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ \circ}, \quad\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)^{\circ}=C_{1}^{\circ} \cap C_{2}^{\circ} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are closed convex cones of $X$. Indeed, we have $C_{i}=C_{i}^{\circ \circ} \supset\left(C_{1}^{\circ} \cup C_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}$ for $i=1,2$, and so $C_{1} \cap C_{2} \supset\left(C_{1}^{\circ} \cup C_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}$, which implies one direction of the first identity. On the other hand, $C_{i}^{\circ} \subset\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right)^{\circ}$ implies $\left(C_{1}^{\circ} \cup C_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ \circ} \subset\left(C_{1} \cap C_{2}\right)^{\circ}$. The second identity follows from the first one.

For a face $F$ of a closed convex cone $C$ of $X$, we define the subset $F^{\prime}$ of $C^{\circ}$ by

$$
F^{\prime}=\left\{y \in C^{\circ}:\langle x, y\rangle=0 \text { for each } x \in F\right\}
$$

It is then clear that $F^{\prime}$ is a closed face of $C^{\circ}$. If we take an interior point $x_{0}$ of $F$ then we see that

$$
F^{\prime}=\left\{y \in C^{\circ}:\left\langle x_{0}, y\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Recall that a point $x_{0}$ of a convex set $C$ is said to be an interior point if for any $x \in C$ there is $t>1$ such that $(1-t) x+t x_{0} \in C$. If $C$ is a convex subset of a finite dimensional space then the set $C$ of all interior points of $C$ is nothing but the relative interior of $C$ with respect to the affine manifold generated by $C$.

It is clear that $F \subset F^{\prime \prime}$ for any face $F$ of $C$. Therefore, we have $F^{\prime} \supset F^{\prime \prime \prime} \supset F^{\prime}$, and so it follows that $F^{\prime}=F^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We say that a face $F$ of a closed convex cone $C$ is exposed with respect to the pairing $\langle$,$\rangle if there ex-$ ists $y_{0} \in C^{\circ}$ such that $F=\left\{x \in C:\left\langle x, y_{0}\right\rangle=0\right\}$. If a face $F$ is exposed by $y_{0} \in C^{\circ}$ then take a face $G$ of $C^{\circ}$ such that $y_{0}$ is an interior point of $G$. Then $F=G^{\prime}$, and so $F^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime \prime \prime}=G^{\prime}=F$. Therefore, we have the following:

Lemma 2.1. Let $F$ be a closed face of a closed convex cone $C$. Then $F$ is exposed if and only if $F=F^{\prime \prime}$. The set $F^{\prime \prime}$ is the smallest exposed face containing $F$.

If all closed faces of $C$ and $C^{\circ}$ are exposed with respect to the pairing, then it is clear from Lemma 2.1 that the correspondence $F \mapsto F^{\prime}$ is an order reversing one-to-one mapping from the complete lattice $\mathscr{F}(C)$ of all closed faces of $C$ onto the complete lattice $\mathscr{F}\left(C^{\circ}\right)$. From this, it is easily seen that this map is an order reversing lattice isomorphism. Indeed, it is clear that

$$
F_{1}^{\prime} \vee F_{2}^{\prime} \leq\left(F_{1} \wedge F_{2}\right)^{\prime}, \quad F_{1}^{\prime} \wedge F_{2}^{\prime} \geq\left(F_{1} \vee F_{2}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
F_{1} \vee F_{2}=F_{1}^{\prime \prime} \vee F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \leq\left(F_{1}^{\prime} \wedge F_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq\left(F_{1} \vee F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}=F_{1} \vee F_{2},
$$

and so, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\prime} \vee F_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{1} \wedge F_{2}\right)^{\prime}, \quad F_{1}^{\prime} \wedge F_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{1} \vee F_{2}\right)^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on throughout this section, we assume that $C$ is a closed convex cone of $X$ on which the pairing is non-degenerate, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C,\langle x, y\rangle=0 \text { for each } y \in C^{\circ} \Longrightarrow x=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This assumption guarantees the existence of a point $\eta \in C^{\circ}$ with the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C, x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow\langle x, \eta\rangle>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is seemingly stronger than (4). Indeed, we take for each $x \in C$ a neighborhood $U_{x}$ of $x$ and a point $y_{x} \in C^{\circ}$ such that $\left\langle z, y_{x}\right\rangle>0$ for $z \in U_{x}$. Put $C_{\epsilon}=\{x \in C:\|x\|=\epsilon\}$ for $\epsilon>0$. Then since $C_{1}$ is compact, we see that there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in C_{1}$ such that $U_{x_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup U_{x_{r}} \supset C_{1}$. We may put $\eta=y_{x_{1}}+\cdots+y_{x_{r}}$. As an another immediate consequence of (4), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \in \mathscr{F}(C), F^{\prime}=C^{\circ} \Longrightarrow F=\{0\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. For a given point $y \in C^{\circ}$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $y$ is an interior point of $C^{\circ}$.
(ii) $\langle x, y\rangle>0$ for each nonzero $x \in C$.
(iii) $\langle x, y\rangle>0$ for each $x \in C$ which generates an extreme ray.

Proof. If $y$ is an interior point of $C^{\circ}$ then we may take $t<1$ and $z \in C^{\circ}$ such that $y=(1-t) \eta+t z$, where $\eta \in C^{\circ}$ is a point with the property (5). Then we see that

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=(1-t)\langle x, \eta\rangle+t\langle x, z\rangle>0
$$

for each nonzero $x \in C$. It is clear that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Now, we assume (ii), and take an arbitrary point $z \in C^{\circ}$. Then since $C_{1}$ is compact, $\alpha=\sup \left\{\langle x, z\rangle: x \in C_{1}\right\}$ is finite, and we see that $\langle x, z\rangle \leq 1$ for each $x \in C_{1 / \alpha}$. We also take $\delta$ with $0<\delta<1$ such that $\langle x, y\rangle \geq \delta$ for each $x \in C_{1 / \alpha}$. Put

$$
w=\left(1-\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right) z+\frac{1}{1-\delta} y
$$

Then we see that $\langle x, w\rangle \geq 0$ for each $x \in C_{1 / \alpha}$, and so $w \in C^{\circ}$. Since $z$ was an arbitrary point of $C^{\circ}$ and $\frac{1}{1-\delta}>1$, we see that $y$ is an interior point of $C^{\circ}$.

Lemma 2.3. If $F$ is a maximal face of $C^{\circ}$ then there is an extreme ray $L$ of $C$ such that $F=L^{\prime}$.

Proof. Note that $F$ lies in the boundary of $C^{\circ}$. If we take an interior point $y_{0}$ of $F$ then there is $x_{0} \in C$ which generates an extreme ray $L$ such that $\left\langle x_{0}, y_{0}\right\rangle=0$ by Lemma 2.2. Since $x_{0}$ is an interior point of $L$, we see that $y_{0} \in L^{\prime} \cap$ int $F$, from which we infer that $F \subset L^{\prime}$. Because $L^{\prime} \nRightarrow C^{\circ}$ by (6), we have $F=L^{\prime}$.

Since $\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}=F$ in the above lemma, we see that every maximal face of $C^{\circ}$ is of the form $G^{\prime}$ for a unique nonzero exposed face $G$. Note that $L^{\prime \prime}$ need not be minimal even among nonzero exposed faces.

Lemma 2.4. If $L$ is an exposed face of $C$ which is minimal among nonzero exposed faces, then $L^{\prime}$ is a maximal face of $C^{\circ}$.

Proof. Assume that $L^{\prime}$ is not maximal, and take a maximal face $F$ of $C^{\circ}$ such that $L^{\prime} \varsubsetneqq F$. Then there exists a nonzero exposed face $M$ of $C$ such that $F=M^{\prime}$, and so $L=L^{\prime \prime} \supset F^{\prime}=M^{\prime \prime}$. Since $L$ is minimal among nonzero exposed faces, we have $L=M$ and $L^{\prime}=M^{\prime}=F$, which is a contradiction.

Now, we summarize as follows:
Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be finite-dimensional normed spaces with a non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle$,$\rangle on a closed convex cone C$ in $X$. Assume that every extreme ray of $C$ is exposed with respect to the pairing. Then $L^{\prime}$ is a maximal face of $C^{\circ}$ for each extreme ray $L$ of $C$. Conversely, every maximal face of $C^{\circ}$ is of the form $L^{\prime}$ for a unique extreme ray $L$ of $C$.

We will see that there is an extreme ray in $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left[M_{3}, M_{3}\right]$ which is not exposed, while every extreme ray of the dual cone of $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}$ ) is exposed.

## 3. Positive linear maps.

In this section, every vector in the space $\mathrm{C}^{r}$ will be considered as an $r \times 1$ matrix. The usual orthonormal basis of $\mathrm{C}^{r}$ and matrix unit $M_{r}$ will be denoted by $\left\{e_{i}: i=1, \ldots, r\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{i j}: i, j=1, \ldots r\right\}$ respectively, regardless of the dimension $r$. For a matrix $A=\sum_{i, j=1} x_{i j} \otimes e_{i j} \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$, we denote by $A^{\tau}$ the block-transpose $\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} x_{j i} \otimes e_{i j}$ of $A$. Every vector $z \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$ may be written in a unique way as $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \otimes e_{i}$ with $z_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. We say that $z$ is an s-simple vector in $\mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$ if the linear span of $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\}$ has the dimension $\leq s$.

For an $s$-simple vector $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$, take a generator $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}\right\}$ of the linear span of $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{C}^{n}$, and define $a_{i k} \in \mathrm{C}$, $a_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{m}, u \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{s}$ and $w \in \mathrm{C}^{m} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{s}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{i} & =\sum_{k=1}^{s} a_{i k} u_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{n}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
a_{k} & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i k} e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{m}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, s, \\
u & =\sum_{k=1}^{s} \bar{u}_{k} \otimes e_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{s},  \tag{7}\\
w & =\sum_{k=1}^{s} a_{k} \otimes e_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{m} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{s},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{u}_{k}$ denotes the vector whose entries are conjugates of those of the vector $u_{k}$. Then $z z^{*}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} z_{i} z_{j}^{*} \otimes e_{i j} \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}, z_{i} z_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} a_{i k} \bar{a}_{j \ell} u_{k} u_{\ell}^{*} \in M_{n}$, and so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left\langle\phi\left(e_{i j}\right), z_{i} z_{j}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} a_{i k} \bar{a}_{j \ell}\left\langle\phi\left(e_{i j}\right), u_{k} u_{\ell}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} a_{i k} \bar{a}_{j \ell}\left\langle\phi\left(e_{i j}\right) \bar{u}_{\ell}, \bar{u}_{k}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{C}^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} a_{i k} \bar{a}_{j \ell}\left\langle\left(\phi\left(e_{i j}\right) \otimes e_{k \ell}\right) u, u\right\rangle_{\mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{s}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$. We also have $w w^{*}=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} a_{k} a_{\ell}^{*} \otimes e_{k \ell}$ $\in M_{m} \otimes M_{s}$, and

$$
\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{s}\right)\left(w w^{*}\right)=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} \phi\left(a_{k} a_{\ell}^{*}\right) \otimes e_{k \ell}=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} a_{i k} \bar{a}_{j \ell} \phi\left(e_{i j}\right) \otimes e_{k \ell} .
$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{s}\right)\left(w w^{*}\right) u, u\right\rangle_{\mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{s}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{id}_{s}$ denotes the identity map of $M_{s}$.
With the exactly same calculation as above, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(z z^{*}\right)^{\tau}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\phi \otimes \operatorname{tp}_{s}\right)\left(\bar{w} \bar{w}^{*}\right) u, u\right\rangle_{\mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{s}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an $s$-simple vector $z \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$ and a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, where $\mathrm{tp}_{s}$ denotes the transpose map of $M_{s}$.

Theorem 3.1. For a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, we have the following:
(i) The map $\phi$ is s-positive if and only if $\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle \geq 0$ for each $s$-simple vector $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{m}$.
(ii) The map $\phi$ is s-copositive if and only if $\left\langle\left(z z^{*}\right)^{\tau}, \phi\right\rangle \geq 0$ for each $s$-simple vector $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{m}$.

Proof. Assume that $\phi$ is $s$-positive and take an $s$-simple vector $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$. Then the identity (8) shows that $\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle \geq 0$. For the converse, assume that $\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle \geq 0$ for each $s$-simple vector $z \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$. For each $w \in \mathbf{C}^{m} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{s}$ and $u \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{s}$, we take $a_{k} \in \mathbf{C}^{m}$ and $z_{i} \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$ as in the relations (7). Then we see that $\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{s}\right)\left(w w^{*}\right)$ is positive semidefinite by (8), and so $\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{s}$ is a positive linear map. The exactly same argument may be applied for the second statement if we use the identity (9).

For $s=1,2, \ldots, m \wedge n$, we define convex cones $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{s}$ in $M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$ by

$$
\mathrm{V}_{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)=\left\{z z^{*}: z \text { is an } s \text {-simple vector in } \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}\right\}^{\circ \circ},
$$

$$
\mathrm{V}^{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)=\left\{\left(z z^{*}\right)^{\tau}: z \text { is an } s \text {-simple vector in } \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}\right\}^{\circ \circ}
$$

Then Theorem 3.1 and the identity (2) say that the following pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{V}_{s}, \mathrm{P}_{s}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{V}^{t}, \mathrm{P}^{t}\right), \quad\left(\mathrm{V}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{t}, \mathrm{P}_{s}+\mathrm{P}^{t}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are dual each other, for $s, t=1,2, \ldots, m \wedge n$. We note that $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)$ is nothing but the cone $\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)^{+}$of all positive semi-definite matrices in $M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$.

Corollary 3.2. A linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$ is the sum of an s-positive linear map and a t-copositive linear map if and only if $\langle A, \phi\rangle \geq 0$ for each $A \in \mathrm{~V}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{t}$.

Størmer [12] characterized the decomposable positive maps among linear maps from a $C^{*}$-algebra into $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$. For a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, this
tells us that $\phi$ is the sum of a completely positive linear map and a completely copositive linear map if and only if the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{p}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}_{p} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{p}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{p}\right)\right) \subset\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{p}\right)^{+} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $p=1,2, \ldots$ In order to generalize this result for the sums of $s$-positive and $t$-copositive linear maps, we use block-wise Hadamard product. For two block matrices $X=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p} x_{k \ell} \otimes e_{k \ell} \in M_{n} \otimes M_{p} \quad$ and $Y=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p} y_{k \ell} \otimes e_{k \ell} \in M_{m} \otimes M_{p}$, we define the block-wise Hadamard product by

$$
X \odot Y=\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p} x_{k \ell} \otimes y_{k \ell} \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}
$$

Then for every linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, we see that the following identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{p}\right)(Y), X\right\rangle & =\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p}\left\langle\phi\left(y_{k \ell}\right), x_{k \ell}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left\langle\phi\left(e_{i j}\right), \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p}\left\langle y_{k \ell}, e_{i j}\right\rangle x_{k \ell}\right\rangle  \tag{12}\\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p}\left\langle y_{k \ell}, e_{i j}\right\rangle x_{k \ell} \otimes e_{i j}, \phi\right\rangle \\
& =\langle X \odot Y, \phi\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

holds, using the relation $y_{k \ell}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left\langle y_{k \ell}, e_{i j}\right\rangle e_{i j}$. For $A \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$, we denote by $A^{\sigma} \in M_{m} \otimes M_{n}$ the shuffle of $A$, that is, $(x \otimes y)^{\sigma}=y \otimes x$. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \in \mathrm{~V}_{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right) \Longleftrightarrow A^{\sigma} \in \mathrm{V}_{s}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{n}\right),  \tag{13}\\
& A \in \mathrm{~V}^{t}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right) \Longleftrightarrow A^{\sigma} \in \mathrm{V}^{t}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y=\sum_{k=1}^{p} y_{k} \otimes e_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{m} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{p}$ be an $s$-simple vector with $y_{k}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} b_{k \alpha} u_{\in} \mathrm{C}^{m}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{k} y_{\ell}^{*} & =\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{s} b_{k \alpha} \bar{b}_{\ell \beta} u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} b_{k \alpha} \bar{b}_{\ell \beta}\left\langle u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}^{*}, e_{i j}\right\rangle e_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} b_{k \alpha} \bar{b}_{\ell \beta}\left\langle u_{\alpha}, e_{i}\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle u_{\beta}, e_{j}\right\rangle} e_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

For an arbitrary given $x=\sum_{k=1}^{p} x_{k} \otimes e_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{p}$, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{\alpha} & =\sum_{k=1}^{p} b_{k \alpha} x_{k} \in \mathrm{C}^{n}, \quad \alpha=1,2, \ldots, s, \\
w_{i} & =\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s}\left\langle u_{\alpha}, e_{i}\right\rangle z_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{C}^{n}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m \\
w & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x x^{*} \odot y y^{*} & =\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p} x_{k} x_{\ell}^{*} \otimes y_{k} y_{\ell}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{p} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} b_{k \alpha} \bar{b}_{\ell \beta}\left\langle u_{\alpha}, e_{i}\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle u_{\beta}, e_{j}\right\rangle} x_{k} x_{\ell}^{*} \otimes e_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} w_{i} w_{j}^{*} \otimes e_{i j}=w w^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

which belongs to $\mathrm{V}_{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)$, since $w$ is an $s$-simple vector of $\mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$. Therefore, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \in\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{p}\right)^{+}, \quad Y \in \mathrm{~V}_{s}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{p}\right) \Longrightarrow X \odot Y \in \mathrm{~V}_{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same argument, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \in\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{p}\right)^{+}, \quad Y \in \mathrm{~V}^{t}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{p}\right) \Longrightarrow X \odot Y \in \mathrm{~V}^{t}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. For a linear map $\phi: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$, we have the following:
(i) $\phi$ is $s$-positive if and only if $\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}_{s}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{n}\right)\right) \subset\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{n}\right)^{+}$.
(ii) $\phi$ is $t$-copositive if and only if $\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}^{t}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{n}\right)\right) \subset\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{n}\right)^{+}$.
(iii) $\phi$ is the sum of an s-positive linear map and a $t$-copositive linear map if and only if $\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}_{s} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{t}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{n}\right)\right) \subset\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{n}\right)^{+}$.

Proof. If $\phi$ is $s$-positive and $Y \in \mathrm{~V}_{s}\left(M_{m} \otimes M_{p}\right)$ with $p=1,2, \ldots$, then we have

$$
\left\langle\left(\phi \otimes \operatorname{id}_{p}\right)(Y), X\right\rangle=\langle X \odot Y, \phi\rangle \geq 0
$$

for each $X \in\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{p}\right)^{+}$by (14) and the duality between $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{s}$. Therefore, $\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{p}\right)(Y) \in\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{p}\right)^{+}$. For the converse, note that every $A \in M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$ is written by

$$
A=A \odot J_{m}=J_{n} \odot A^{\sigma},
$$

where $J_{r}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} e_{i j} \otimes e_{i j} \in M_{r} \otimes M_{r}$ for $r=1,2, \ldots$. Therefore, for each $A \in \mathrm{~V}_{s}\left(M_{n} \otimes M_{m}\right)$, we have

$$
\langle A, \phi\rangle=\left\langle J_{n} \odot A^{\sigma}, \phi\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)\left(A^{\sigma}\right), J_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

by (13). This proves (i). The exactly same argument also proves (ii) and (iii) if we use (15) and (13).

We note that the trace map $X \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(X) I$ (respectively the identity matrix) is a typical interior point of the cones $\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{m \wedge n}$ (respectively $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ ). It is also easy to see that these play the rôles of $\eta$ in (5) for any pairs of dual cones in (10).

We also note that every face of $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n}$ is exposed with respect to the pairing. To see this, take a face $F$ of $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n}$ and an interior point $A$ of $F$. Then $F$ consists of all positive semi-definite matrices whose range spaces are contained in the range space of $A$. If we take a positive semi-definite matrix $B$ whose range space is orthogonal to that of $A$ and a linear map $\phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ such that $\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \phi\left(e_{i j}\right) \otimes e_{i j}=B$, then we see that $\phi$ is completely positive, and $F$ is exposed by $\phi$. In this way, we see that every face of $\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$ corresponds to a face of $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n}$, which is determined by the range space of an interior point. Therefore, every face of $\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$ corresponds to a subspace of $\mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$, in the orderreversing way. For an order preserving lattice isomorphism between faces of $\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$ and subspaces of $\mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}=M_{m, n}$, we refer to [8].

Since every extreme ray of $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ is an extreme ray of $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ is larger than $\mathrm{P}_{m \wedge n}$, it follows that every extreme ray of $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ is exposed with respect to the pairing. The same argument holds for the pair $\left(\mathrm{V}^{s}, \mathrm{P}^{s}\right)$, because the block transpose map $A \mapsto A^{\tau}$ is linear. Therefore, we may apply Theorem 2.5 to get the following:

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}$ ) be the convex cone of all s-positive (respectively s-copositive) linear maps from $M_{m}$ into $M_{n}$. For each s-simple vector $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{m}$, the set

$$
\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{P}_{s}:\left\langle z z^{*}, \phi\right\rangle=0\right\} \quad \text { (respectively }\left\{\phi \in \mathrm{P}^{s}:\left\langle\left(z z^{*}\right)^{\tau}, \phi\right\rangle=0\right\} \text { ) }
$$

is a maximal face of $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}$ ). Conversely, every maximal face of $\mathrm{P}_{s}$ (respectively $\mathrm{P}^{s}$ ) arises in this form for an s-simple vector $z \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$.

## 4. Examples

The first example of an indecomposable positive linear map between $M_{3}$ was given by Choi [1] by considering a positive semi-definite biquadratic form which is not the sum of the squares of bilinear forms. This example $\phi: M_{3} \rightarrow M_{3}$ is defined by

$$
\phi:\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\
x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\
x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33}
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
x_{11} & -x_{12} & -x_{13} \\
-x_{21} & x_{22} & -x_{23} \\
-x_{31} & -x_{32} & x_{33}
\end{array}\right)+\mu\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{33} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mu \geq 1$. Later, Størmer [12] showed that the above map is not decomposable by the condition (11). In order to apply Corollary 3.2, we modify the matrix in [12] to define

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha e_{11}+\alpha^{2} e_{22}+e_{33} & \alpha e_{12} & \alpha e_{13} \\
\alpha e_{21} & e_{11}+\alpha e_{22}+\alpha^{2} e_{33} & \alpha e_{23} \\
\alpha e_{31} & \alpha e_{32} & \alpha^{2} e_{11}+e_{22}+\alpha e_{33}
\end{array}\right) \in M_{3} \otimes M_{3},
$$

where $\alpha$ is a nonnegative real number. It is easy to see that $A$ is positive semi-definite whenever $\alpha \geq 0$, and $A \in \mathrm{~V}_{3}$. If we put

$$
z_{1}=\alpha e_{2}+e_{4}, \quad z_{2}=\alpha e_{6}+e_{8}, \quad z_{3}=\alpha e_{7}+e_{3}
$$

then we see that

$$
A^{\tau}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} z_{i} z_{i}^{*}+\alpha\left(e_{1} e_{1}^{*}+e_{5} e_{5}^{*}+e_{9} e_{9}^{*}\right),
$$

and so $A \in \mathrm{~V}^{2}$, whenever $\alpha \geq 0$. A direct calculation shows that

$$
\langle A, \phi\rangle=3 \alpha(\mu \alpha-1)
$$

which is negative if $\alpha=1 / 2 \mu$ for example. Therefore, we see that the map $\phi$ is not the sum of a 3-positive linear map and a 2-copositive linear map. The authors were not able to determine whether the above matrix $A$ belongs to $\mathrm{V}_{2}$. If this is the case then we may conclude that $\phi$ is not the sum of a 2-positive linear map and a 2-copositive linear map. See [14] for the case of $\mu=1$. Actually, we could not find an explicit example of $3^{2} \times 3^{2}$ matrix which lies in $V_{2} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{2} \backslash \mathrm{~V}_{1}$, although we know that this set is nonempty since there are examples of positive linear maps between $M_{3}$ which are not the sums of 2-positive linear maps and 2-copositive linear maps. See [5], [9] and [14]. The following proposition says that we must consider matrices whose ranks are at least two, in order to find examples in $V_{2} \cap V^{2} \backslash V_{1}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $x \in \mathbf{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{m}$. Then the rank one matrix $x x^{*} \in$ $M_{n} \otimes M_{m}$ lies in $\mathrm{V}_{m \wedge n} \cap \mathrm{~V}^{m \wedge n}$ if and only if it lies in $\mathrm{V}_{1}$.

Proof. Put $x=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$. If $x x^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ then $x$ is a 1 -simple vector, and so we may write $x=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} y \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$. If we put $\hat{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{\lambda_{i}} y \otimes e_{i}$ then we have $\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\tau}=\hat{x} \hat{x}^{*}$, and so $x x^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}^{m \wedge n}$. For the converse, we may assume that $x_{1} \neq 0$ without loss of generality. For $a=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \otimes e_{i} \in \mathrm{C}^{n} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{m}$, note that

$$
a^{*}\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\tau} a=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} a_{i}^{*} x_{j} x_{i}^{*} a_{j}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, a_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{j}, a_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

If we take $a=x_{k} \otimes e_{1}-x_{1} \otimes e_{k}$, for $k=2,3, \ldots, m$, then

$$
a^{*}\left(x x^{*}\right)^{\tau} a=2\left(\left|\left\langle x_{1}, x_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}-\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}\right),
$$

which should be nonnegative. Therefore, we see that $x_{k}$ is a scalar multiple of $x_{1}$ for each $k=2,3, \ldots, m$, and so $x$ is a 1 -simple vector.

Note that the map $\phi$ with $\mu=1$ generates an extreme ray as was shown in [2]. We remark that this ray is not exposed. To see this, first note that if $\eta \otimes \xi \in \mathrm{C}^{3} \otimes \mathrm{C}^{3}$ is a 1 -simple vector then

$$
\left\langle(\eta \otimes \xi)(\eta \otimes \xi)^{*}, \phi\right\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi\left(\xi \xi^{*}\right)\left(\eta \eta^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right]=\left\langle\phi\left(\xi \xi^{*}\right) \bar{\eta}, \bar{\eta}\right\rangle .
$$

So, if $\left\langle(\eta \otimes \xi)(\eta \otimes \xi)^{*}, \phi\right\rangle=0$ then by a direct calculation we see that the pair $(\xi, \eta)$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right), \quad\left(e_{2}, e_{1}\right), \quad\left(e_{3}, e_{2}\right), \quad\left(\xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{\alpha}=\left(e^{i a}, e^{i b}, e^{i c}\right), \eta_{\alpha}=\left(e^{-i a}, e^{-i b}, e^{-i c}\right)$ and $\alpha=(a, b, c)$ runs through $\mathrm{R}^{3}$. Therefore, if we denote by $L$ the extreme ray generated by $\phi$ then we have
$L^{\prime}=\left\{x x^{*} \in \mathrm{~V}_{1}\left(M_{3} \otimes M_{3}\right): x=e_{1} \otimes e_{2}, e_{2} \otimes e_{3}, e_{3} \otimes e_{1}, \eta_{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in \mathrm{R}^{3}\right)\right\}^{\circ \circ}$. By the arguments in Section 5 of [6], we see that $L \nsubseteq L^{\prime \prime}$.

Added in proof. It was shown in the paper [16] by Kil-Chan Ha that the map $\phi$ in section 4 is not the sum of a 2-positive map and a 2-copositive map.
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