# A LATTICE OF NORMAL SUBGROUPS THAT IS NOT EMBEDDABLE INTO THE SUBGROUP LATTICE OF AN ABELIAN GROUP 

E. W. KISS and P. P. PÁLFY

## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we give a negative solution to the following problem of Bjarni Jónsson:

Problem. Is the lattice of normal subgroups of every group embeddable into the subgroup lattice of an abelian group?

The problem goes back to the famous 1953 paper of Jónsson [J] (see the last sentence of the text there), and it is also mentioned in the third edition of Birkhoff's Lattice Theory [B] (Problem 63, p. 179).

We give a group of order $2^{9}$ whose lattice of normal subgroups does not have the desired embedding.

Theorem. The lattice of normal subgroups of the three generator free group $G$ in the group variety defined by the laws $x^{4}=1$ and $x^{2} y=y x^{2}$ cannot be embedded into the subgroup lattice of any abelian group.

We obtained this negative solution in 1988 (see the account given by McKenzie [M], p. 42), but the publication of the result has been delayed. Meanwhile the second author and Csaba Szabó [PSz1], [PSz2] have obtained a stronger result by exhibiting a lattice identity valid in subgroup lattices of all abelian groups that fails in the lattice of normal subgroups of a certain group of order $2^{20}$. For the lattice of normal subgroups $\mathscr{N}(G)$ of our group $G$ this identity, however, does hold. We do not know, whether $\mathscr{N}(G)$ belongs to the lattice variety generated by the subgroup lattices of abelian groups or not. Our result shows only that it does not belong to the quasivariety generated by them.

[^0]Our notation is mostly standard. For basic results from group theory used here the reader may consult [A]. The lattice of normal subgroups of a group $G$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}(G)$. If $G$ is abelian, then $\mathscr{N}(G)$ is simply the subgroup lattice of $G$. For normal subgroups $A \subseteq B \subseteq G$ we shall denote the interval in $\mathscr{N}(G)$ consisting of the normal subgroups $N$ with $A \subseteq N \subseteq B$ by $I[A, B]$. Sometimes we shall treat abelian groups of exponent $k$ as $Z_{k}{ }^{-}$ modules. For a group $G$ and a natural number $m$ we use $G^{m}$ to denote the subgroup generated by all $m$-th powers in $G$. If $G$ is an additively written abelian group then we write $m G=\{m g \mid g \in G\}$ instead. (Notice that these elements already form a subgroup.)

## 2. The group $G$.

As it was mentioned in the Introduction we consider the (relatively) free group $G$ on three generators in the group variety defined by the laws

$$
x^{4}=1, \quad x^{2} y=y x^{2}
$$

So the square of every element belongs to the center $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ of $G$. Notice that the commutators $[x, y]=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y=\left(x^{-1}\right)^{2}\left(x y^{-1}\right)^{2} y^{2}$ also belong to the center and have order at most two. From these observations it follows that $[x y, z]=[x, z][y, z],(x y)^{2}=x^{2} y^{2}[x, y]$, and $[y, x]=[x, y]$ (see [A], p. 26). Notice that our variety contains both the 8 -element dihedral group and the quaternion group (in fact each of these groups generates the variety). Let the generators of $G$ be $a, b$, and $c$. It is easy to verify that every element of $G$ can be written in the form

$$
a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} c^{\gamma}[a, b]^{\rho}[a, c]^{\sigma}[b, c]^{\tau},
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma<4,0 \leq \rho, \sigma, \tau<2$. By constructing appropriate homomorphisms into the quaternion group it is also straightforward to see that the above form of the elements of $G$ is unique. Thus, the order of $G$ is $2^{9}$. We have that the center $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is elementary abelian of order $2^{6}$ with basis $a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2},[a, b],[a, c],[b, c]$. The commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$ has order $2^{3}$, the factor group $G / G^{\prime}$ is the direct product of three cyclic groups of order four. Furthermore, we have $2\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{Z}(G) / G^{\prime}$.

## 3. The lattice of normal subgroups.

To shorten notation let $Z=\mathbf{Z}(G)$ denote the center. Now the factor group $G / Z$ is elementary abelian of order $2^{3}$, so it contains seven minimal subgroups $U_{i} / Z(i=1, \ldots, 7)$. Each $U_{i}$ is abelian. Let $V_{i}=U_{i}^{2}\left[U_{i}, G\right]$.

Let us list all $U_{i}$ 's and the corresponding $V_{i}$ 's by giving their generators.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1} & =\langle Z, a\rangle \\
U_{2} & =\langle Z, b\rangle \\
U_{3} & =\langle Z, c\rangle \\
V_{1} & =\left\langle a^{2},[a, b],[a, c]\right\rangle \\
U_{4} & =\langle Z, a b\rangle \\
V_{3} & =\left\langle b^{2},[a, b],[b, c]\right\rangle \\
U_{5} & =\langle Z, a c\rangle \\
U_{6} & =\left\langle a^{2} b^{2}[a, b],[b, c]\right\rangle \\
U_{7} & =\langle Z, b c\rangle \\
U_{7} & =\left\langle a^{2} c^{2}[a, a b],[a, c],[a, c],[a, b][b, c]\right\rangle \\
V_{6} & \left.=\left\langle b^{2} c^{2}[b, c]\right\rangle,[a, b][a, c],[b, c]\right\rangle \\
V_{7} & =\left\langle a^{2} b^{2} c^{2}[a, b][a, c][b, c],[a, b][a, c],[a, b][b, c]\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3.1. For each $1 \leq i \leq 7$ every subgroup between $V_{i}$ and $U_{i}$ is normal in $G$. Moreover, $U_{i} / V_{i}$ is elementary abelian of order $2^{4}$.

Proof. Let $V_{i} \subseteq H \subseteq U_{i}$. Since $[H, G] \subseteq\left[U_{i}, G\right] \subseteq V_{i} \subseteq H$, we see that $H$ is normal indeed. As $U_{i}^{2} \subseteq V_{i}$, it follows that $U_{i} / V_{i}$ has exponent 2. For the orders we have $\left|U_{i}\right|=2^{7}$ and $\left|V_{i}\right|=2^{3}$, hence $\left|U_{i} / V_{i}\right|=2^{4}$.

Though we do not need it for the proof of our main result, we describe the lattice of normal subgroups of $G$. We shall use the following simple

Lemma 3.2. If $P$ is a finite p-group and $M$ is a maximal subgroup of $P$, then $[M, P]=P^{\prime}$.

Proof. Notice that $|P: M|=p$ and $M$ is normal in $P$. Now $M /[M, P] \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(P /[M, P])$ and $(P /[M, P]) /(M /[M, P])$ is cyclic (of order $p$ ), hence $P /[M, P]$ is abelian. Therefore we have $[M, P] \supseteq P^{\prime}$. The converse inclusion is obvious.

Proposition 3.3. The lattice of normal subgroups of $G$ is the union of the following nine intervals:

$$
I\left[G^{\prime}, G\right], \quad I\left[V_{i}, U_{i}\right](i=1, \ldots, 7), \quad I[\{1\}, \mathbf{Z}(G)] .
$$

Proof. Let $N$ be an arbitrary normal subgroup of $G$. We consider the product $N Z$. If $N Z=Z$, then $N$ is contained in $Z$. If $N Z=U_{i}$ for some $i$, $1 \leq i \leq 7$, then we have $N \supseteq N^{2}[N, G]=(N Z)^{2}[N Z, G]=U_{i}^{2}\left[U_{i}, G\right]=V_{i}$, so $N$ belongs to the interval $I\left[V_{i}, U_{i}\right]$. Finally, if $|G: N Z| \leq 2$, then $N \supseteq[N, G]=[N Z, G]=G^{\prime}$.

## 4. Embeddings of $\mathscr{N}\left(Z_{p^{k}}^{n}\right)$.

The following lemma about embeddings of the subgroup lattice of $Z_{p^{k}}^{n}$ ( $n \geq 3$ ) will play a central role in our proof. Parts of its statement are wellknown, but the freeness of $X$ may not have been observed before.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\varphi: \mathscr{N}\left(Z_{p^{k}}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{N}(A)$ be a lattice embedding, where $p$ is a prime, $k \geq 1, A$ is an abelian group, and assume that $\varphi(\{0\})=\{0\}$ and $\varphi\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{k}}^{n}\right)=A$. If $n \geq 3$, then $A$ is isomorphic to a direct power $X^{n}$ of a free $\mathbf{Z}_{p^{k}}-$ module $X$. Moreover, having identified $A$ and $X^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\left\langle\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right)=\left\{\left(z_{1} x, \ldots, z_{n} x\right) \mid x \in X\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in Z_{p^{k}}^{n}$.
Proof. For notational simplicity we deal with the case $n=3$, the proof for $n>3$ is similar. Let $E_{1}=\langle(1,0,0)\rangle, E_{2}=\langle(0,1,0)\rangle, E_{3}=\langle(0,0,1)\rangle$, and $E_{0}=\langle(1,1,1)\rangle$. These subgroups form a spanning 3-frame in $\mathscr{N}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p^{k}}^{n}\right)$, hence so do their images in $\mathcal{N}(A)$. So by Lemma 1 of [HH2] we may assume that $A=X^{3}$ for some abelian group $X$, and we have $\varphi\left(E_{1}\right)=\{(x, 0,0) \mid$ $x \in X\}, \varphi\left(E_{2}\right)=\{(0, x, 0) \mid x \in X\}, \varphi\left(E_{3}\right)=\{(0,0, x) \mid x \in X\}$, and $\varphi\left(E_{0}\right)=$ $\{(x, x, x) \mid x \in X\}$. We introduce the notation $E_{i}^{*}=\varphi\left(E_{i}\right), i=0,1,2,3$.

In [HH2] lattice terms $f_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ are constructed such that $f_{j}\left(E_{0}^{*}, E_{1}^{*}, E_{2}^{*}, E_{3}^{*}\right)=\{(0, j x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ (and also $f_{j}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\{(0, j t, t) \mid$ $\left.t \in \mathrm{Z}_{p^{k}}\right\}$ ). Since in $\mathrm{Z}_{p^{k}}^{n}$ we have $f_{p^{k}}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}$, using the homomorphism $\varphi$ we obtain $f_{p^{k}}\left(E_{0}^{*}, E_{1}^{*}, E_{2}^{*}, E_{3}^{*}\right)=E_{3}^{*}$, i.e. $p^{k} X=0$, so $X$ can be considered as a $Z_{p^{k}}$-module. Our goal is to prove that $X$ is in fact a free $Z_{p^{k}}$-module.

We have in $\mathscr{N}\left(Z_{p^{k}}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
\langle(p, 0,0)\rangle=\langle(1,0,0)\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(1, p^{k-1}, 0\right)\right\rangle=E_{1} \cap f_{p^{k-1}}\left(E_{0}, E_{3}, E_{2}, E_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle(p, 0,0)\rangle & =\langle(1,0,0)\rangle \cap(\langle(p, 1,0)\rangle+\langle(0,1,0)\rangle) \\
& =E_{1} \cap\left(f_{p}\left(E_{0}, E_{3}, E_{1}, E_{2}\right)+E_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the lattice homomorphism $\varphi$ we obtain

$$
E_{1}^{*} \cap f_{p^{k-1}}\left(E_{0}^{*}, E_{3}^{*}, E_{2}^{*}, E_{1}^{*}\right)=E_{1}^{*} \cap\left(f_{p}\left(E_{0}^{*}, E_{3}^{*}, E_{1}^{*}, E_{2}^{*}\right)+E_{2}^{*}\right)
$$

that is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{(x, 0,0) \mid x \in X\} \cap\left\{\left(y, p^{k-1} y, 0\right) \mid y \in X\right\} \\
=\{(u, 0,0) \mid u \in X\} \cap(\{(p v, v, 0) \mid v \in X\}+\{(0, w, 0) \mid w \in X\}),
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\left\{(x, 0,0) \mid x \in X, p^{k-1} x=0\right\}=\{(p v, 0,0) \mid v \in X\}
$$

from which it follows that $X$ is a free $\mathrm{Z}_{p^{k}}$-module. Thus we can assume that $X$ is equal to a direct sum of some, say $\kappa$, copies of $Z_{p^{k}}$ 's.

It remains to show (1). For every element

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(\ldots, u_{i}, \ldots\right) \in \bigoplus_{\kappa} z_{p^{k}}^{3}
$$

with

$$
u_{i}=\left(u_{i}^{1}, u_{i}^{2}, u_{i}^{3}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^{k}}^{3}
$$

define

$$
\rho(\mathbf{u})=\left(\left(\ldots, u_{i}^{1}, \ldots\right),\left(\ldots, u_{i}^{2}, \ldots\right),\left(\ldots, u_{i}^{3}, \ldots\right)\right) \in X^{3} .
$$

Clearly, $\rho: \bigoplus_{\kappa} Z_{p^{k}}^{3} \rightarrow X^{3}$ is a group-isomorphism. For a subgroup $U \subseteq Z_{p^{k}}^{3}$ let $\psi(U) \subseteq X^{3}$ be the image of $\bigoplus_{\kappa} U$ under $\rho$. Then $\psi$ is a lattice embedding of $\mathscr{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{k}}^{3}\right)$ into $\mathscr{N}\left(X^{3}\right)$. An easy calculation shows that (1) holds, when $\varphi$ is replaced by $\psi$. In particular, $\psi\left(E_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(E_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2,3$. It is shown in [HH1] (see Section 3.2) that the 3-frame $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ generates $\mathscr{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{k}}^{3}\right)$. Therefore $\psi=\varphi$, proving (1).

Corollary 4.2. For every subgroup $U$ of $Z_{p^{k}}^{n}$ and for every positive integer $m$ we have $\varphi(m U)=m \varphi(U)$.

Proof. By (1) the claim is obvious for cyclic subgroups $U$. If $U$ is arbitrary, then write it as a sum of cyclic subgroups $U=\sum C_{i}$. Then it follows easily that $\varphi(m U)=\varphi\left(m \sum C_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum m C_{i}\right)=\sum \varphi\left(m C_{i}\right)=\sum m \varphi\left(C_{i}\right)=$ $m \sum \varphi\left(C_{i}\right)=m \varphi\left(\sum C_{i}\right)=m \varphi(U)$.

## 5. Proof of the Theorem.

Let us assume, by way of contradiction, that there exists an embedding of $\mathscr{N}(G)$ into $\mathscr{N}(A)$ for some abelian group $A$. Let us denote the image of a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ under this embedding by $N^{*}$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\{1\}^{*}=\{0\}$ and $G^{*}=A$.

The interval between $\{1\}$ and $Z=\mathbf{Z}(G)$ in $\mathscr{N}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{2}^{6}\right)$ and the interval between $Z$ and $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}\left(Z_{2}^{3}\right)$. Hence by Lemma 4.1 both $Z^{*}$ and $A / Z^{*}$ have exponent 2 , hence the exponent of $A$ divides 4 , so in other words $A$ is a $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-module. Moreover, the interval between $D=G^{\prime}$ and $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{N}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{4}^{3}\right)$, hence - again by Lemma 4.1 it follows that $A / D^{*}$ is a free $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-module. Since free modules are projective, we have that $A=D^{*} \oplus B$ for some subgroup $B$. Note that $2 D^{*}=0$, hence $2 A=2 B$.

Now take the normal subgroups $U_{i}, V_{i}$ defined in Section 3. Since $U_{i} / V_{i}$ is elementary abelian of order $2^{4}$, another application of Lemma 4.1 yields that $U_{i}^{*} / V_{i}^{*}$ has exponent 2, i.e. $2 U_{i}^{*} \subseteq V_{i}^{*}$. We also have $2 U_{i}^{*} \subseteq 2 A=2 B$.

Consider the embedding $\psi$ of $\mathscr{N}(G / D) \cong \mathscr{N}\left(Z_{4}^{3}\right)$ into $\mathscr{N}\left(A / D^{*}\right)$ induced by *. Applying Corollary 4.2 we get that $2 \psi\left(U_{i} D / D\right)=\psi\left(2\left(U_{i} D / D\right)\right)$. But we have $V_{i} D=U_{i}^{2} D$ in $G$, so $2\left(U_{i} D / D\right)=V_{i} D / D$. Thus, $\left(D^{*}+V_{i}^{*}\right) / D^{*}=$ $\psi\left(V_{i} D / D\right)=2\left(\left(D^{*}+U_{i}^{*}\right) / D^{*}\right)$, hence

$$
D^{*}+V_{i}^{*}=D^{*}+2 U_{i}^{*}
$$

From $A=D^{*} \oplus B$ we get for every $C \supseteq D^{*}$ that $C=D^{*} \oplus(B \cap C)$. The mapping $C \mapsto(B \cap C)$ is a lattice isomorphism $\mathcal{N}\left(A / D^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(B)$. Denote by $\psi^{\prime}: \mathscr{N}(G / D) \rightarrow \mathscr{N}(B)$ the embedding obtained from $\psi$ by composing it with this isomorphism. Applying Corollary 4.2 again we get that $\psi^{\prime}(2(G / D))=2 \psi^{\prime}(G / D)$. We have seen that $2(G / D)=Z / D$, so from $\psi^{\prime}(G / D)=B$ we obtain that $\psi^{\prime}(Z / D)=2 B$. On the other hand, $\psi^{\prime}(Z / D)=Z^{*} \cap B$. Therefore we get that

$$
Z^{*}=D^{*} \oplus 2 B
$$

Notice that we do not claim that $2 B$ or $2 U_{i}^{*}$ corresponds to any normal subgroup of $G$.

We will show that

$$
V_{i}^{*}=\left(D^{*} \cap V_{i}^{*}\right) \oplus\left(2 B \cap V_{i}^{*}\right)
$$

holds for each $1 \leq i \leq 7$. Let us denote the right-hand side of the equation by $W_{i}$. We obviously have $V_{i}^{*} \supseteq W_{i}$. We take intersection and sum of both $V_{i}^{*}$ and $W_{i}$ with $D^{*}$. The equation

$$
D^{*} \cap W_{i}=D^{*} \cap V_{i}^{*}
$$

is trivial. On the other hand, we have

$$
D^{*}+W_{i}=D^{*} \oplus\left(2 B \cap V_{i}^{*}\right) \supseteq D^{*} \oplus 2 U_{i}^{*}=D^{*}+V_{i}^{*}
$$

By modularity, we infer that $V_{i}^{*}=W_{i}$, indeed.
We will reach the contradiction by showing that no such subgroup $K$ exists for which $Z^{*}=D^{*} \oplus K$ and $V_{i}^{*}=\left(D^{*} \cap V_{i}^{*}\right) \oplus\left(K \cap V_{i}^{*}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$ hold. Using the basis $[a, b],[a, c],[b, c], a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}$ of $Z$, Lemma 4.1 provides a decomposition $Z^{*} \cong X^{6}$ such that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{*} & =\{(x, y, z, 0,0,0) \mid x, y, z \in X\} \\
V_{1}^{*} & =\{(x, y, 0, t, 0,0) \mid x, y, t \in X\} \\
V_{2}^{*} & =\{(x, 0, y, 0, t, 0) \mid x, y, t \in X\} \\
V_{3}^{*} & =\{(0, x, y, 0,0, t) \mid x, y, t \in X\} \\
V_{4}^{*} & =\{(x, y, y, t, t, 0) \mid x, y, t \in X\} \\
V_{5}^{*} & =\{(x, y, x, t, 0, t) \mid x, y, t \in X\} \\
V_{6}^{*} & =\{(x, x, y, 0, t, t) \mid x, y, t \in X\}, \\
V_{7}^{*} & =\{(x+y+t, x+t, y+t, t, t, t) \mid x, y, t \in X\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\quad D^{*} \cap V_{1}^{*}=\{(x, y, 0,0,0,0) \mid x, y \in X\}$, hence $\quad V_{1}^{*}=\left(D^{*} \cap V_{1}^{*}\right) \oplus$ ( $K \cap V_{1}^{*}$ ) implies that

$$
V_{1}^{*} \cap K=\left\{\left(\alpha_{11} t, \alpha_{12} t, 0, t, 0,0\right) \mid t \in X\right\}
$$

for suitable maps $\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}$ from $X$ to $X$. It is easy to check that in fact $\alpha_{11}$ and $\alpha_{12}$ are endomorphisms of $X$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{2}^{*} \cap K=\left\{\left(\alpha_{21} t, 0, \alpha_{23} t, 0, t, 0\right) \mid t \in X\right\}, \\
& V_{3}^{*} \cap K=\left\{\left(0, \alpha_{32} t, \alpha_{33} t, 0,0, t\right) \mid t \in X\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
K \supseteq\left\{\left(\alpha_{11} r+\alpha_{21} s, \alpha_{12} r+\alpha_{32} t, \alpha_{23} s+\alpha_{33} t, r, s, t\right) \mid r, s, t \in X\right\} .
$$

From $Z^{*}=D^{*} \oplus K$ it follows that we have equality here. Then

$$
V_{4}^{*} \cap K=\left\{\left(\left(\alpha_{11}+\alpha_{21}\right) r, \alpha_{12} r, \alpha_{23} r, r, r, 0\right) \mid r \in X, \alpha_{12} r=\alpha_{23} r\right\} .
$$

From the direct decomposition $V_{4}^{*}=\left(D^{*} \cap V_{4}^{*}\right) \oplus\left(K \cap V_{4}^{*}\right)$ we infer that $\alpha_{12} r=\alpha_{23} r$ holds for every $r \in X$, i.e. $\alpha_{12}=\alpha_{23}$. Similarly, calculating $V_{5}^{*} \cap K$ and $V_{6}^{*} \cap K$ we obtain $\alpha_{11}=\alpha_{33}$ and $\alpha_{21}=\alpha_{32}$. Finally, using that $2 X=0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{7}^{*} \cap K=\left\{\left(\left(\alpha_{11}+\alpha_{21}\right) t,\left(\alpha_{12}+\alpha_{21}\right) t,\left(\alpha_{12}+\alpha_{11}\right) t, t, t, t\right) \mid t \in X,\right. \\
&\left.\left(\alpha_{11}+\alpha_{21}\right) t+\left(\alpha_{12}+\alpha_{21}\right) t+\left(\alpha_{12}+\alpha_{11}\right) t+t=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter condition means $t=0$, so $V_{7}^{*} \cap K=\{0\}$, a contradiction. This proves our theorem.
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