EXPLICIT REPRESENTATION OF THE SOLUTION TO SOME BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

S. MAZOUZI AND R. N. PEDERSON

Abstract.

In the half ray the unique solution to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{split} L(D_t) u(t) &= f(t), \ t > 0 \\ B_j(D_t) u(0) &= \alpha_j, \mbox{ for } j = 0, \ 1, ..., p-1 \end{split}$$

rapidly decreasing at infinity is shown to be explicitly represented in terms of Green's function and some boundary kernels, namely,

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_k(t) \alpha_k + \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}(t,s) f(s) \, ds$$

1. Introduction.

Let L(z) be a polynomial of degree $m \ge 1$, where the coefficient of z^m is equal to 1, and let $\{B_j(z)\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ be p polynomials of degrees $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ respectively, so that $m_j < m$ for $0 \le j \le p-1$. We assume that L(z) has at most p roots having positive imaginary parts (counting multiplicities).

Throughout this paper we denote by D_t the differential operator $-i\frac{d}{dt}$; and if $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then we denote by $\mathscr{S}(J)$ the subspace of $C^{\infty}(\overline{J})$ containing all the functions u(t) such that

$$(1+|t|)^p |u^{(q)}(t)|$$

are bounded for all p and q in N.

Consider the following boundary value problem

(2.1)
$$L(D_t)u(t) = f(t), t > 0$$

(2.2)
$$B_j(D_t)u(0) = \alpha_j, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, ..., p-1$$

Received October 19, 1995.

where the α_i are complex constants. Set

(2.3)
$$L_p(z) = (z - \tau_0)(z - \tau_1)...(z - \tau_{p-1})$$

where the τ_i 's are the p roots of L(z) having positive imaginary parts.

Firstly, we assume that $m_j < p$ for j = 0, 1, ..., p - 1, then

(2.4)
$$B_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b_{jk} z^k$$

for j = 0, 1, ..., p - 1. Now, if the matrix (b_{jk}) is nonsingular, one can solve Eq. (2.4) for the unknown variables z^k (for fixed z).

Hence, if we denote by (b^{jk}) the inverse matrix of (b_{jk}) , we get

(2.5)
$$z^{j} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b^{jk} B_{k}(z)$$

for j = 0, 1, ..., p - 1. So that, Eq. (2.2) is equivalent to

(2.6)
$$D_t^k u(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^{kj} \alpha_j$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., p - 1. Thus, any solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1), (2.2) is a solution of the problem

(2.7)
$$L(D_t)u(t) = f(t), t > 0$$

(2.8)
$$D_t^k u(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^{kj} \alpha_j$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., p - 1. Conversely, any solution of (2.7), (2.8) is a solution of (2.1), (2.2).

REMARKS. If the matrix (b_{jk}) is singular, then there are constants c_j not all zero so that

(2.9)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j B_j(z) = 0$$

Consequently, a necessary condition for the problem (2.1), (2.2) to have a solution is the following

(2.10)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c_j \alpha_j = 0$$

Now if we allow to the m_j 's to be greater than p, then, by partial fractions we can write

(2.11)
$$B_j(z) = Q_j(z)L_p^+(z) + B'_j(z)$$

Where the degree of B'_i is less than p.

When p < m we define the polynomial

(2.12)
$$L^{-}(z) = \frac{L(z)}{L_{p}^{+}(z)}$$

We conclude by the following Lemma [5]:

LEMMA. Let $L(D_t)$ be any constant coefficient differential operator, and let f(t) be any function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathsf{R})$. Then, there exists a function $u(t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{R})$ which satisfies the differential equation

(2.13)
$$L(D_t)u(t) = f(t), \text{ for } t > 0$$

that the differential equation

(2.14)
$$L^{-}(D_t)v(t) = f(t), t > 0$$

has always a solution v(t) belonging to $\mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R})$ for any choice of f(t) in $\mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R})$. Thus, the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) is equivalent to the following

(2.15)
$$L_P^+(D_t)u(t) = v(t), \ t > 0$$

(2.16)
$$B'_{j}(D_{t})u(0) = \alpha_{j} - Q_{j}(D_{t})v(0), \ j = 0, \ 1, ..., p-1$$

which is the form just treated. If we write

(2.17)
$$B'_{j}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b'_{jk} z^{k}, \quad 0 \le j \le p-1$$

then the problem (2.15), (2.16) has a unique solution for any given v(t) in $\mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R})$ and $\{\alpha_i\} \subset \mathsf{C}$ if and only if the matrix (b'_{ik}) is nonsingular.

We observe from Eq. (2.9) that the matrix (b_{ij}) is nonsingular if and only if the polynomials $B_j(z)$ are linearly independent. Similarly, the matrix (b'_{ij}) is nonsingular if and only if the polynomials $B'_j(z)$ are linearly independent. We say that the $\{B_j(z)\}$ are linearly independent modulo $L_p^+(z)$ if the $\{B'_j\}$ are linearly independent.

2. Main results.

Now we are able to give explicitly the solution to the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) in terms of Green's function; we shall follow closely Pederson's work after redefining in a convenient manner the functions $u_j^+(t)$ (see [3], [4]).

THEOREM 1. Let L(z) be a polynomial of degree m, having at most p roots $\tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{p-1}$ with positive imaginary parts and no real roots. Let $\{B_j(z)\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ be p polynomials of degrees $\{m_j\}$ with $m_j < m$, which are linearly independent modulo

$$L_p^+(z) = (z - \tau_0)(z - \tau_1)...(z - \tau_{p-1})$$

Then, for any $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ and for any choice of the constants $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{p-1}$, there exists a unique solution $u(t) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ satisfying the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2). Furthermore, this solution can be represented as follows

(2.18)
$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t)\alpha_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s)f(s) \, ds$$

PROOF. Let us first consider the case f = 0; then, the general solution of the equation

 $(2.19) L(D_t)u(t) = 0$

has the form

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \beta_k \exp(i\tau_k)$$

where the τ_k 's are the roots of L(z) = 0. It is worth to recall that the coefficients β_k become polynomials in t whenever there are multiple roots. Now, in order for u(t) to be in $L^2(0, \infty)$, the coefficients β_k must vanish for any k such that $\Im \mathfrak{T}_k \leq 0$ otherwise u(t) could not be in $L^2(0,\infty)$. Therefore, the solution of (2.19) which belongs to the space $L^2(0,\infty)$ is

(2.20)
$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \beta_k \exp(i\tau_k)$$

with $\Im \mathfrak{m} \tau_k > 0$.

Following AGMON, DOUGLIS and NIRENBERG [1], we define

(2.21)
$$L_k^+(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} a_j^+ \tau^{k-j}, \ k = 0, \quad 1, ..., p-1$$

where the constants a_i^+ are defined through the expression

(2.22)
$$L_p^+(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^p a_j^+ \tau^{p-j}$$

Let Γ^+ and Γ^- be rectifiable Jordan contours in the upper and the lower half plane enclosing the roots of $L_p^+(z)$ and $L_p^-(z)$ respectively.

Define the functions

(2.23)
$$u_{j}^{+}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{L_{p-j-1}^{+}(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} \exp(it\tau) d\tau$$

We claim that

(2.24)
$$L(D_t)u_i^+(t) = 0, t > 0$$

and

$$(2.25) D_t^k u_j^+(0) = \delta_{jk}$$

for j, k = 0, 1, ..., p - 1, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker Delta. Indeed, by differentiation under the integral sign (which is of course allowed), we get

(2.26)
$$D_t^k u_j^+(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^+} \frac{L_{p-j-1}^+(\tau)}{L_p^+(\tau)} \tau^k \exp(it\tau) d\tau$$

Now, if we take Γ^+ to be a large circle about the origin with radius $n \in N^*$ so that Γ^+ encloses $\tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{p-1}$, then,

$$D_{t}^{k} u_{j}^{+}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{L_{p-j-1}^{+}(ne^{i\omega})}{L_{p}^{+}(ne^{i\omega})} n^{k+1} e^{i\omega(k+1)} d\omega$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{Q(ne^{i\omega})}{L_{p}^{+}(ne^{i\omega})} d\omega$$

Where Q is a polynomial of degree p + k - j in n. Since L_p^+ is of degree p, then, by letting n go to infinity, we obviously get

$$D_{t}^{k}u_{i}^{+}(0) = \delta_{jk}, \text{ for } k - j \leq 0$$

For the case k-j > 0, we note that the polynomial $\tau^k L_{p-j-1}^+$ differs from $\tau^{k-j-1}L_p^+$ by a polynomial Q of degree at most equals to k-1. Thus,

$$\begin{split} D_{t}^{k}u_{j}^{+}(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{L_{p-j-i}^{+}(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} \tau^{k} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{\tau^{k-j-1}L_{p}^{+}(\tau) + Q(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \tau^{k-j-i} d\tau \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{Q(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{Q(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} d\tau \end{split}$$

By the same argument as before, since the degree of Q(z) is equal to k-1 < p-1, we can observe that the last integral is zero. As a consequence, we obtain

$$D_t^k u_j^+(0) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j,k = 0, 1, ..., p-1$$

On the other hand we have

$$L(D_t)u_j^+(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^+} \frac{L_{p-j-i}^+(\tau)L_p^+(\tau)L^-(\tau)}{L_p^+(\tau)} e^{it\tau} d\tau = 0.$$

It follows that the set $\{u_j^+(t)\}\$ spans the negative exponential solutions of the homogeneous boundary value problem associated to (2.1), (2.2). Now, in order to obtain a solution to the inhomogeneous boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2), we define the functions

(2.27)
$$v^{\pm}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma^{\pm}} \frac{e^{it\tau}}{L(\tau)} d\tau$$

It follows from the fact that the contour $\varGamma^+ \cup \varGamma^-$ can be deformed into a large circle that

(2.28)
$$D_t^k(v^+(0) + v^-(0)) = i\delta_{m-1,k}$$

where k = 0, ..., m - 1. As a consequence, the function

(2.29)
$$\int_0^t (v^+(t-s) + v^-(t-s))f(s) \, ds$$

is a solution of the Eq. (2.1) with zero Cauchy Data.

Thus, the general solution of the inhomogeneous boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) which is bounded must have the form:

(2.30)
$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_j u_j^+(t) + \int_0^t (v^+(t-s) + v^-(t-s)) f(s) \, ds$$
$$-\int_0^\infty v^-(t-s) f(s) \, ds$$

This is a consequence of the facts that $v^+(t)$ is a sum of the negative exponentials when t > 0, and $v^-(t)$ is a sum of negative exponentials when t < 0. Now, by virtue of the complementing condition (linear independence of the $B'_{i}s$), we conclude that

$$B_k(D_t) \int_0^t (v^+(t-s) + v^-(t-s))f(s) \, ds \bigg|_{t=0} = 0$$

Since the function (2.30) is a formal solution of (2.1), (2.2) then, it must satisfy the following

$$\alpha_{k} = B_{k}(D_{t})u(0) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_{j}B_{k}(D_{t})u_{j}^{+}(0) + + B_{k}(D_{t})\int_{0}^{t} (v^{+}(t-s) + v^{-}(t-s))f(s) ds \Big|_{t=0} - - \int_{0}^{\infty} f(s)B_{k}(D_{t})v^{-}(t-s) ds \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_{j}\{Q_{k}(D_{t})L_{p}^{+}(D_{t})u_{j}^{+}(0) + B_{k}'(D_{t})u_{j}^{+}(0)\} - - \int_{0}^{\infty} f(s)B_{k}(D_{t})v^{-}(t-s) ds \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \beta_j \ b_{kj}^+ - \int_0^\infty f(s) B_k(D_t) v^-(t-s) \ ds \bigg|_{t=0}$$

where $B_k(z) = Q_k(z)L_p^+(z) + B'_k(z) = B'_k(z) \mod(L_p^+)$ and

$$B'_{k}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b^{+}_{kj} z^{j}$$

We get an algebraic system of equations with unknown variables β_i

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b_{kl}^{+} \beta_j = \alpha_k + \int_0^\infty f(s) B_k(D_t) v^{-}(t-s) \, ds \Big|_{t=0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

We deduce from the complementing condition that the determinant of the matrix (b_{kj}^+) is not zero; so, as a consequence, the above set of equations has a unique solution $\{\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1}\}$.

Define the inverse matrix

$$(b_{+}^{kj}) = (b_{kj}^{+})^{-1}$$

Hence,

$$\beta_j = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b_+^{jk} \alpha_k + \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b_+^{jk} f(s) B_k(D_t) v^-(t-s) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

and upon substitution of the β_j 's into (2.30) we obtain the bounded solution of the given BVP,

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b_{+}^{jk} \alpha_k u_j^+(t) + \left\{ \int_0^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} b_{+}^{jk} B_k(D_t) v^-(t-s) \Big|_{t=0} f(s) ds \right\} u_j^+(t) +$$

$$+\int_0^t v^+(t-s)f(s)ds - \int_t^\infty v^-(t-s)f(s)ds$$

If we set

$$\mathscr{H}_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} b_{+}^{jk} L_{p-j-1}^{+}(\tau)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau)} e^{it\tau} d\tau$$

for k = 0, ..., p - 1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{G}_{1}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \frac{e^{it\tau}}{L(\tau)} d\tau, \text{ if } t > 0 \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi} \oint_{\Gamma^{-}} \frac{e^{it\tau}}{L(\tau)} d\tau, \text{ if } t < 0 \\ \\ \mathscr{G}_{2}(t,s) &= \frac{-i}{4\pi^{2}} \oint_{\Gamma^{+}} \oint_{\Gamma^{-}} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} L_{p-j-i}^{+}(\tau) B_{k}(\delta)}{L_{p}^{+}(\tau) L(\delta)} e^{i(t\tau-s\delta)} d\tau \, d\delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathscr{G}(t,s) = \mathscr{G}_1(t-s) + \mathscr{G}_2(t,s)$$

then, the solution of the boundary value problem (2.1), (2.2) takes the final form

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t) \alpha_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s) f(s) \, ds$$

An immediate computation shows that the above kernels satisfy the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^k \mathscr{H}_j(t)| &\leq C_0 \exp(-r_0 t), &\forall t > 0, \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \\ |D_t^k \mathscr{G}_1(t)| &\leq C_1 \exp(-r_1 \mid t \mid), &\forall t \in \mathsf{R}^*, \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \\ |D_t^k \mathscr{G}_2(t,s)| &\leq C_2 \exp(-r_2(t+s)), &\forall t > 0, \forall s > 0, \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants C_0 , C_1 , C_2 , r_0 , r_1 , and r_2 , depending only on L(z) and $\{B_i\}$.

Now to see that the expression (2.18) is rapidly decreasing at infinity it suffices to show that the function

$$v_j(t) = t^j \int_0^\infty |f(s)| (C_1 \exp(-r_1 |t-s|) + C_2 \exp(-r_2(t+s)) ds$$

is bounded for each nonnegative integer j. Since $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$|f(s)| \le \frac{C}{(1+s)^{j+2}}, \forall s > 0$$

it then follows that

S. MAZOUZI AND R.N. PEDERSON

$$v_{j}(t) \leq C't^{j} \left(\frac{2}{t}\right)^{j} \int_{0}^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{ds}{(1+s)^{j+2}} + C_{1} \frac{(2t)^{j}}{(2+t)^{j}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \frac{ds}{(1+s)^{2}} + C_{1} \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^{j} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{(1+s)^{2}} + C_{2}'t^{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-r_{2}(t+s)) ds$$
$$\leq C(j) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{(1+s)^{2}} + C_{3}t^{j} \exp(-r_{2}t) < +\infty$$

Hence $v_j(t)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^+ and consequently $u(t) \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Finally, using classical techniques we can easily prove the uniqueness of this solution. This establishes the proof of the given theorem.

Let us denote by $H^k(\mathsf{R}^+), k\geq 0$ the completion of the space $\mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ with respect to the norm

(2.31)
$$||u||_{k}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} |u^{(k)}(t)|^{2} dt$$

and we define the subspace

$$V^k = H^k(\mathsf{R}^+) \cap C^k[0,\infty]$$

As a consequence of the previous representation theorem and Theorem 6–9 [5] we obtain the estimate of the solution to the problem (2.1)–(2.2) in terms of the Data f and $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{p-1}$:

THEOREM 2. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1, we conclude that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant C > 0 (depending only on $L(z), B_j(z)$ and k) such that, for each $f \in V^k$ and $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1} \in \mathbb{C}^p$, the solution $u \in V^{m+k}$ to the BVP (2.1) – (2.2) satisfies the estimate

$$||u||_{m+k} \leq C\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |\alpha_j| + ||f||_k\right)$$

and has the representation

(2.32)
$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t)\alpha_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s)f(s) \, ds$$

(where $\mathscr{H}_i(t)$ and $\mathscr{G}(t,s)$ are the same as in Theorem 1.).

PROOF. We deduce form the density of $\mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ in V^k that there is a sequence $(f_n) \subset \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ converging to f in V^k . On the other hand there corresponds to each f_n at most one solution $u_n \in \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{R}^+)$ satisfying:

$$L(D_t)u_n(t) = f_n(t), \ (t > 0)$$

$$B_j(D_t)u_n(0) = \alpha_j, \ j = 0, \ \dots, p-1$$

and given by

(2.33)
$$u_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t) \alpha_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s) f_n(s) \, ds$$

We conclude by Theorem 6–9 [5] that there is a constant $C_0 > 0$ depending only on L(z) and k such that

(2.34)
$$\|u_n - \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t)\alpha_j\|_{m+k} \le C_0 \|f_n\|_k$$

and

(2.35)
$$||u_n - u||_{m+k} \le C_0 ||f_n - f||_k$$

Hence,

(2.36)
$$\|u\|_{m+k} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|\mathscr{H}_j\|_{m+k} \cdot |\alpha_j| + C_0 \|f\|_k \leq C \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |\alpha_j| + \|f\|_k\right)$$

where $C = \max\{C_0, \|\mathscr{H}_j\|_{m+k}; j = 0, ..., p-1\}.$

The estimate (2.36) shows that the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{P}: (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}, f) \to u$$

is continuous from $\mathbb{C}^p \times V^k$ onto V^{m+k} . Consequently, by letting $n \to +\infty$ in (2.33) we obtain

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t)\alpha_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s)f(s) \, ds, \ (t>0)$$
$$= \mathfrak{P}(\alpha_o, \ \dots, \alpha_{p-1}, f)$$

This proves the theorem.

REMARKS. 1) If L(z) admits a real root then we cannot hope to get an

estimate of the form (2.36) even under smooth Data as shows the following example:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{t+1} \in \mathsf{L}^2(\mathsf{R}^+) \cap C^\infty(\mathsf{R}^+),$$
$$u(0) = \alpha_0$$

whose unique solution is

$$u(t) = \alpha_0 + \ln(1+t), \ (t > 0)$$

which is not in $L^2(0,\infty)$ whatsoever the value of the constant α_0 .

2) The best constant C in (2.36) is equal to the norm of the isomorphism \mathfrak{P} defined by

$$\operatorname{Sup} | \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \mathscr{H}_j(t)\beta_j + \int_0^\infty \mathscr{G}(t,s)h(s) \, ds |$$

where the supremum is taken over all $h \in V^k$ and $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{p-1} \in C$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |\beta_j| + ||h||_k = 1$$

REFERENCES

- 1. S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, *Estimate near the boundary for solutions of elliptic* P.D.E., Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959).
- S. Mazouzi, Linear elliptic Boundary Value Problem in the half space, Master's Thesis, Carnegie Mellon Univ., PA., USA (1985).
- 3. R. N. Pederson, *Explicit formulae for complementary boundary operators of linear elliptic problems*, J. Differential Equations 46 (1982).
- 4. R. N. Pederson, *Green's function and boundary kernels for elliptic boundary value problems*, Unpublished class notes.
- 5. M. Schechter, Modern Methods in Partial Diff. Eq., McGraw Hill (1977).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX 12, EL-HADJAR ANNABA ALGERIA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY PITTSBURGH, PA. 15213 USA