HEREDITARY CS-MODULES

NGUYEN V. DUNG and PATRICK F. SMITH

In [13], [14], B. L. Osofsky proved that a ring R with the property that all its cyclic right modules are injective is semiprime Artinian. Consequently, any right hereditary right self-injective ring is semiprime Artinian [13, Corollary]. This interesting fact is quoted by several authors (see, for example, [1], [2]). In [15, p. 46 Proposition 2.24], Osofsky gives a second proof that right hereditary right self-injective rings are semiprime Artinian.

The purpose of this note is to extend Osofsky's result on hereditary self-injective rings to modules. We prove that, for any ring R, any right R-module which is an hereditary CS-module is a direct sum of Noetherian modules. Moreover, as an application of this result, we show that, for any ring R which is either commutative or semiprime, any hereditary continuous right R-module is semisimple. Several other applications are given. For example, any right continuous ring with all right ideals countably generated is semiperfect. It follows that, for any ring R, any countable continuous right R-module is a direct sum of uniform submodules.

These results generalise [12] and the proofs given substantially simplify the corresponding proofs in [12].

1. The main theorem.

Throughout this note, R will denote an associative ring with identity and all modules considered will be unitary right R-modules. Following [2], a module M is called a CS-module provided every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M. Moreover, M is called continuous if M is a CS-module such that every submodule of M isomorphic to a direct summand of M is itself a direct summand of M. For basic properties of CS-modules and continuous modules we refer to [11]. A module M is called an hereditary module if every submodule of M is projective (see [4] or [6]). In particular, it is well known that if R is a right hereditary ring then any projective right R-module is hereditary.

To prove our main theorem, we require some lemmas.

Received December 16, 1991.

LEMMA 1. Let R be any ring and M a right R-module such that M is a projective CS-module. Then there exists an index set I such that M is a direct sum $\bigoplus_I M_i$ of submodules M_i ($i \in I$) of M such that each submodule M_i contains a finitely generated essential submodule.

PROOF. By Kaplansky's Theorem (see, for example [3, p. 120]), the module M is a direct sum of countably generated submodules. By [11, Proposition 2.7], without loss of generality, we may suppose that M is countably generated. There exists a countable set of elements m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots in M such that $M = \sum_i m_i R$. By hypothesis, there exist submodules M_1 , N_1 of M such that $M = M_1 \oplus N_1$ and $m_1 R$ is essential in M_1 . Suppose that n_i is the projection of m_i in N_i for all $i \ge 2$. By [11, Proposition 2.7] again, there exists a direct summand M_2 of N_1 which contains $n_2 R$ as an essential submodule. Continuing in this manner we obtain a direct sum $M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3 \oplus \ldots$ of submodules in the module M such that

$$m_1R + \ldots + m_kR \subseteq M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_k$$

for all positive integers k. It follows that $M = \bigoplus_i M_i$. Moreover, by construction, each submodule M_i contains a finitely generated (in fact cyclic) essential submodule.

Let R be a ring and M a right R-module. For any element m in M, let r(m) denote the annihilator of m in R, i.e.

$$r(m) = \{r \in R: mr = 0\}.$$

Recall that a right R-module M is called *nonsingular* if r(m) is not an essential right ideal of R for any non-zero element m of M. The next lemma is due essentially to Sandomierski [16].

LEMMA 2. Let R be any ring and P a nonsingular projective right R-module such that P contains a finitely generated essential submodule. Then P is finitely generated.

PROOF. See [1, Proposition 8.24].

The next result is immediate by Lemmas 1 and 2.

COROLLARY 3. Let R be any ring. If a right R-module M is a nonsingular projective CS-module then M is a direct sum of finitely generated modules.

We shall require the following key lemma of Osofsky [14].

LEMMA 4. Let $\{e_i: i \in I\}$ be an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents in a ring R. Suppose that for each non-empty subset P of I there exists an idempotent f in R such that $e_i = fe_i$ for all i in P and $e_i f = 0$ for all j in $I \setminus P$. Let $K = \{r \in R: e_i r = 0 \ (i \in I)\}$.

Let M be any right R-module containing R as a submodule. Then the right R-module $M/(K + \sum_{i} e_{i}R)$ is not injective.

The next lemma is well known but we do not know a convenient reference for it.

LEMMA 5. Let R be a ring and M a right R-module such that the endomorphism ring $S = \operatorname{End}(M_R)$ of M does not contain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. Then M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules.

PROOF. Suppose that the result is false. Then M is not indecomposable, so that $M = N_1 \oplus K_1$, for some non-zero submodules N_1 , K_1 . Either N_1 or K_1 is not indecomposable, so that we can suppose without loss of generality that $K_1 = N_2 \oplus K_2$ for some non-zero submodules N_2 and K_2 . Repeating this argument we obtain an infinite properly ascending chain $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \ldots$ of direct summands of M. For each $k \ge 1$ there exists an idempotent e_k in S such that $N_k = e_k M$. It is easy to check that $e_1 S \subseteq e_2 S \subseteq e_3 S \subseteq \ldots$ is a properly ascending chain of direct summands of S_S (see, for example, [11, Lemma 3.1]). Since the ring S does not contain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents, it is well known that the right S-module S has ACC on direct summands, a contradiction. Thus M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules.

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 6. Let R be any ring and M a right R-module such that M is an hereditary CS-module. Then M is a direct sum of Noetherian uniform modules.

PROOF. We show first that M is nonsingular. Let $0 \neq m \in M$. Note that $R/r(m) \cong mR$, and mR is projective. Hence r(m) = eR for some idempotent e in R. Clearly, r(m) is not essential. It follows that M is nonsingular. By Corollary 3, M is a direct sum of finitely generated modules. By [11, Proposition 2.7], we may suppose, without loss of generality, that M is finitely generated, and prove that M is both Noetherian and a direct sum of uniform modules.

Let $S = \operatorname{End}(M_R)$. Since M is a finitely generated hereditary module it follows that S is a right hereditary ring (see [4, Corollary 2] or [6, Theorem 2.5]). Suppose that S contains an infinite collection $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ of orthogonal idempotents. Let P be any non-empty subset of I. Let N denote the submodule $\bigoplus_P e_i M$ of M. Since M is a CS-module, there exist submodules L, L' of M such that $M = L \bigoplus L'$ and N is an essential submodule of L.

Let $f: M \to L$ denote the canonical projection. Clearly f is an idempotent in S and $e_i = fe_i$ for all $i \in P$. Let $j \in I \setminus P$. Let $x \in M$. Then $f(x) \in L$, and, by [1, Lemma 1.1], there exists an essential right ideal H of R such that $f(x)H \subseteq N$. Thus $e_j f(x)H = 0$. Because M is nonsingular, it follows that $e_j f(x) = 0$. Therefore $e_j f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$. We have now proved that $e_j f = 0$ for all $x \in M$.

Let E denote the injective hull of the right S-module S. Let $K = \{s \in S: e_i s = 0 \ (i \in I)\}$. By Lemma 4, $E/(K + \sum_i e_i S)$ is not injective. However, because S is right hereditary, every quotient of E is an injective right S-module, a contradiction. This contradiction shows that S cannot contain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents.

By Lemma 5, the right R-module M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules. By [11, Proposition 2.7] each of these indecomposable summands is a CS-module and hence is uniform. Thus M is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules. Finally, M is Noetherian by Lemma 2.

A ring R is called a right CS-ring if the right R-module R is a CS-module. As an immediate consequence of the above theorem we obtain [12, Theorem 3.1]:

COROLLARY 7. Any right hereditary right CS-ring is right Noetherian.

In [7, Proposition 2], Jøndrup proved that if R is a commutative ring then any hereditary module with Krull dimension has Krull dimension at most 1. In view of this fact, Theorem 6 has another immediate corollary, as follows.

COROLLARY 8. Let M be an hereditary CS-module over a commutative ring R. Then M is a direct sum of Noetherian uniform modules, each with Krull dimension at most 1.

2. Continuous modules.

In this section, our concern is with continuous modules. First of all, we consider hereditary continuous modules.

PROPOSITION 9. Let R be any ring and M an hereditary continuous right R-module. Then M is a direct sum of Noetherian uniform submodules, each with endomorphism ring a division ring.

PROOF. By Theorem 6, M is a direct sum of Noetherian uniform submodules. Let N denote any uniform direct summand of M. By the proof of Theorem 6, N is nonsingular. Let $f \in \operatorname{End}(N_R)$. Suppose that f has non-zero kernel K. Let $x \in N$. There exists an essential right ideal E of R such that $xE \subseteq K$, and hence f(x)E = 0, giving f(x) = 0. It follows that f = 0. Hence any non-zero endomphism f of N has zero kernel, and hence is an automorphism by [11, Proposition 2.7]. Thus $\operatorname{End}(N_R)$ is a division ring.

LEMMA 10. Let R be any ring and M a finitely generated quasi-projective right R-module such that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \neq 0$ for every non-zero submodule N of M. Then M is semisimple if and only if the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(M_R)$ of M is semiprime Artinian.

PROOF. See [5, p. 102 Remarks].

THEOREM 11. Let R be a ring which is either commutative or semiprime. Then any hereditary continuous right R-module is semisimple.

PROOF. Let M be an hereditary continuous module. By Proposition 9, we can suppose, without loss of generality, that M is Noetherian and $\operatorname{End}(M_R)$ is a division ring. If R is a commutative ring, then it is well known that M is a self-generator, i.e. M generates all its submodules, and in particular $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \neq 0$ for every non-zero submodule N of M. On the other hand, if R is semiprime then Zelmanowitz [17, Proposition 1.2] has shown that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \neq 0$ for every non-zero submodule N of M. In any case, M is semisimple by Lemma 10.

Let R be a ring. In view of Theorem 11 (and Osofsky's Theorem mentioned in the introduction), it is natural to ask whether every hereditary injective (or even continuous) right R-module is semisimple. That this is not the case can be seen by the following example of Miller and Turnidge [10].

EXAMPLE 12. Let D be a division ring, and A the ring of countably infinite column-finite matrices over the ring D. Let R denote the subring of A consisting of all upper triangular matrices with entries from D. For all $1 \le i, j < \infty$, let e_{ij} denote the matrix unit of R having 1 as (i,j)th entry and all other entries 0. Let $M = e_{11}R$. It is shown in [10] that M is a Noetherian injective right ideal of R. All R-submodules of M appear in the chain $M = e_{11}R \supset e_{12}R \supset e_{13}R \supset ... \supset 0$. It is easy to check that, for each $j \ge 1$, $r(e_{1j})$ is a direct summand of R_R , and hence $e_{1j}R$ is projective. Thus M is an hereditary injective right R-module which is not semisimple.

Let R be any ring. A right R-module M will be called regular if every cyclic submodule of M is a direct summand of M. Clearly, any regular uniform module is simple. Thus Theorem 6 gives the following fact at once: for any ring R, every regular hereditary CS-module over R is semisimple. In particular, if R is a right hereditary ring then a right R-module M is semisimple whenever M is a regular projective CS-module. The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a regular projective CS-module over a general ring to be semisimple.

PROPOSITION 13. Let R be any ring and let M be a right R-module such that M is a regular projective CS-module. Then M is semisimple if and only if every submodule of M is a direct sum of countably generated modules.

PROOF. The necessity is clear. Conversely, suppose that every submodule is a direct sum of countably generated submodules. A standard argument shows that every countably generated submodule of M is a direct sum of cyclic sub-

modules and hence is projective. Thus every submodule of M is projective. Apply Theorem 6.

COROLLARY 14. Let R be a right continuous ring such that every right ideal of R is countably generated. Then R is a semiperfect ring. In particular, any countable right continuous ring is semiperfect.

PROOF. Let J denote the Jacobson radical of R. Then the ring R/J is a von Neumann regular right continuous ring and idempotents can be lifted (see, for example, [11, Corollary 3.9 and Theorem 3.11]). Clearly, every right ideal of the ring R/J can be countably generated. Thus R/J is semiprime Artinian by Proposition 13. The last part is immediate.

In [8], Lawrence proved that a countable right self-injective ring satisfies ACC on right annihilators, and thus is a QF-ring (see, for example [3, Theorem 24.20]). Using similar methods, Megibben [9] proved that if R is an arbitrary ring and M a countable injective R-module then R satisfies ACC on annihilators of subsets of M, and hence M is Σ -injective (see, for example, [3, Proposition 20.3A]). We now give an example of a countable continuous commutative ring which does not satisfy ACC on annihilators. Note that this ring is semiperfect (Corollary 14) but is not semiprimary.

EXAMPLE 15. Let p be any rational prime. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p$, the field of p elements and G the Prufer p-group $C(p^{\infty})$. Then the group algebra R = F[G] is a countable continuous commutative ring which does satisfy ACC on annihilators.

PROOF. Clearly the ring R is commutative. Suppose that G has the presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots | x_1^p = 1, x_{i+1}^p = x_i \ (i \ge 1) \rangle$$

For each $i \ge 1$, let G_i denote the subgroup $\langle x_i \rangle$. It is well known that G is the union of its finite subgroups G_i ($i \ge 1$), and hence R is the union of its finite subrings $F[G_i]$ ($i \ge 1$). Thus R is a countable ring. Let α , β be any non-zero elements of R. Then there exists $j \ge 1$ such that α and β both belong to the subring $S = F[G_j]$ of R. But G_j is a cyclic p-group, so that S is an Artinian principal ideal ring and hence $S\alpha \subseteq S\beta$ or $S\beta \subseteq S\alpha$. It follows that R is a chain ring (i.e. the ideals of R are totally ordered). In particular, the R-module R is uniform, and hence R is a CS-ring.

It is well known that the augmentation ideal J of R is a nil ideal (just note that, for each $i \ge 1$, $(x_i - 1)^q = x_i^q - 1 = 0$, where $q = p^i$). But $R/J \cong F$, so that R is a local ring. In particular, the only direct summands of R are 0, R. Let $\varphi \colon R \to R$ be any monomorphism. Suppose that $\varphi(R) \subseteq J$. Let $\lambda = \varphi(1)$, and let n denote the index of nilpotency of λ . Then $\varphi(\lambda^{n-1}) = \lambda^{n-1}\varphi(1) = \lambda^n = 0$, so that $\lambda^{n-1} = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\varphi(R) \not\subseteq J$, and hence $\varphi(R) = R$. Thus R is a continuous ring.

Next we show that R does not satisfy ACC on annihilators. For each $i \ge 1$, let J_i denote the augmentation ideal of the subring $F[G_i]$. Then $J_1R \subseteq J_2R \subseteq J_3R \subseteq ...$ is an infinite ascending chain of annihilators in R. Moreover, the ring R is not semiprimary since the Jacobson radical of R is J which is an idempotent ideal, because, for each $i \ge 1$,

$$x_{i+1} - 1 = (x_i - 1)^p \in J^p \subseteq J^2$$
.

Any Σ -injective module is a direct sum of uniform modules (see, for example, [3, Corollary 20.6A]). Hence, by [9], any countable injective module is a direct sum of uniform modules. Using Corollary 14, we now show that a similar result holds for countable continuous modules.

THEOREM 15. Let R be any ring. Then any countable continuous right R-module is a direct sum of uniform modules.

PROOF. Let M be a countable continuous right R-module. By the proof of Lemma 1, M is a direct sum of submodules each containing a finitely generated essential submodule. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that M contains a finitely generated essential submodule N. Suppose that $N = x_1R + \ldots + x_nR$, for some positive integer n. Let $S = \operatorname{End}(M_R)$. Define an Abelian group homomorphism $\pi: S \to M^n$ by

$$\pi(f) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) \quad (f \in S)$$

Clearly the kernel K of π is given by

$$K = \{ f \in S : f(N) = 0 \}.$$

Let J denote the Jacobson radical of the ring S. By [11, Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.11], the ring S/J is von Neumann regular and right continuous, where

$$J = \{ f \in S : \text{ker } f \text{ is essential in } M \}.$$

Clearly $K \subseteq J$. But M is countable, and hence so too is M^n , and also S/J. By Corollary 14, S/J is semiprime Artinian. Thus S does not contain an infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. By Lemma 5, M is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules. Now apply [11, Proposition 2.7] and note that every indecomposable continuous module is uniform.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper was written as a result of a visit of both authors to the University of Murcia in Spain. They wish to thank Professor J. L. Gomez Pardo and his colleagues for their kind hospitality and stimulating conversations. The first author wishes to thank the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science, and the second author the Royal Society of London, for their financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. W. Chatters and C. R. Hajarnavis, Rings with chain conditions, Pitman, London, 1980.
- A. W. Chatters and S. M. Khuri, Endomorphism rings of modules over nonsingular CS-rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 21 (1980), 434

 –444.
- 3. C. Faith, Algebra II: Ring theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- J. L. Garcia Hernandez and J. L. Gomez Pardo, Hereditary and semihereditary endomorphism rings, in Ring theory proceedings, Antwerp 1985 Springer LNM 1197 (1986), 83–89.
- J. L. Garcia Hernandez and J. L. Gomez Pardo, On endomorphism rings of quasiprojective modules, Math. Z. 196 (1987), 87-108.
- 6. D. A. Hill, Endomorphism rings of hereditary modules, Arch. Math. 28 (1977), 45-50.
- 7. S. Jondrup, Projective modules with Krull dimension, Math. Scand. 51 (1982), 227-231.
- 8. J. Lawrence, A countable self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1977), 217–220.
- C. Megibben, Countable injective modules are sigma injective, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1982), 8–10.
- R. W. Miller and D. R. Turnidge, Some examples from infinite matrix rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 65-67.
- S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Muller, Continuous and discrete modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 147, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Nguyen V. Dung, A note on hereditary rings or non-singular rings with chain condition, Math. Scand. 66 (1990), 301–306.
- B. L. Osofsky, Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 645–650.
- 14. B. L. Osofsky, Noninjective cyclic modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1383-1384.
- 15. B. L. Osofsky, Homological dimensions of modules, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1973.
- 16. F. L. Sandomierski, Nonsingular rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 225-230.
- 17. J. M. Zelmanowitz, Endomorphism rings of torsionless modules, J. Algebra 5 (1967), 325-341.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MURCIA 30071 MURCIA SPAIN.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, HANOI VIETNAM. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW GLASGOW GI2 8QW SCOTLAND UK.