WAVELET TRANSFORM AND TOEPLITZ-HANKEL TYPE OPERATORS*

QINGTANG JIANG AND LIZHONG PENG

§1. Introduction.

Let G denote the affine group. It consists of $\{(x, y): y > 0, x \in R\}$ with the group law (x', y')(x, y) = (y'x + x', y'y). It is a locally compact nonunimodular group with right Haar measure $d\mu_R(x, y) = dx \, dy/y$ and left Haar measure $d\mu_L(x, y) = dx \, dy/y^2$. It can be identified as the quotient group of SL(2, R) by SO(2, R) (see [17]). The identification is made by

$$g = (x, y) \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & x/\sqrt{y} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

And we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & x/\sqrt{y} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{y} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{y} & -x/\sqrt{y} \\ 0 & \sqrt{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the representation U of G on $L^2(R)$ defined by

(1.1)
$$U_g f(x') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} f\left(\frac{x' - x}{y}\right).$$

Then U is reducible on $L^2(R)$, but irreducible on the Hardy space $H^2(R)$.

Following Paul [17] (cf. Grossmann et al [9] and Meyer [13]), we call function ψ to be an admissible wavelet if it satisfies $0 < \|\psi\|_{L^2} < \infty$ and

(1.2)
$$\int_{G} |(\psi, U_g \psi)|^2 d\mu_K(g) < \infty,$$

where (.,.) is the scalar product on $L^2(R)$.

For an admissible wavelet ψ , we say it is an admissible analyzing wavelet if its

^{*} Research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. Received April 22, 1991.

Fourier transform is supported in $[0, +\infty)$ and we let AAW denote the space consisting of all such functions, and let $\overline{AAW} = \{\psi \colon \overline{\psi} \in AAW\}$.

Let *U* be the upper half-plane, $\{x+iy,y>0\}$. The space $L^{2,-2}(U)$ consists of all functions on *U* for which the integral $||f||_2^2 = \int_U |f(x,y)|^2 dx dy/y^2$ is finite, i.e. $L^2(G,d\mu_L)$. For $\psi \in AAW$, write

$$c_{\psi} = \frac{\int |(\psi, U_g \psi)|^2 d\mu_L(g)}{(\psi, \psi)},$$

we define the operator T from H^2 onto a subspace (denoted by $L_0^{2,-2}$) of $L^{2,-2}(U)$ by

(1.3)
$$(Tf)(g) = c_{\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f, U_g \psi).$$

Then (see [17])

$$\int (Tf)(g)\overline{(Tf)(g)}\,d\mu_L(g)=(f,f).$$

By (1.1), we can write T as

$$(Tf)(g) = c_{\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\psi}_{v} * f(x),$$

where $\psi_y(x) = y^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi(y^{-1}x)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(x) = \overline{\psi(-x)}$. Thus T is a "continuous wavelet transform" (see [4]).

Let τ denote the operator from $L_0^{2,-2}$ onto H^2 defined by

(1.4)
$$(\tau F)(x) = c_{\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\psi_{y} * F(., y))(x) \frac{dy}{y^{2}},$$

then τT is the identity on H^2 . More explicity,

(1.5)
$$f(x) = c(\psi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\psi}_{y} * \psi_{y} * f(x) \frac{dy}{y^{2}}$$

for all $f \in H^2$. (1.5) is the well-known Calderon reproducing formula (see [14]). It can be used as starting points for the construction of time frequency localization or filter operators and be used in many other fields of science or technology (see [2], [3], [5], [6]). The discrete version of (1.5) is $f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in A} c(\lambda) \psi_{\lambda}(x)$, where λ is a suitable discrete set. There are many works about this problem ([3], [4], [7], [8], [14]).

Nowak and Rochberg considered the following interesting problem. Let P denote the orthogonal projection from $L^{2,-2}$ onto $L_0^{2,-2}$, they defined the Toeplitz operator $T_b = PM_bP$, and the Hankel operator $H_b = (I - P)M_bP$, then studied the boundedness, compactness and membership in the Schatten-von

Neumann class of the above operators. In this paper using a decomposition of AAW and \overline{AAW} by Laguerre polynomials, we decompose $L^{2,-2}$ to be the orthogonal sum $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_k \oplus \bar{A}_k)$. Let P_k (resp. \bar{P}_k) be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2,-2}$ onto A_k (resp. \bar{A}_k). Then we define the Toeplitz type operators $T_b^{(k,l)} = P_k M_b P_l$, the small and big Hankel type operators $h_b^{(k,l)} = \bar{P}_k M_b P_l$, with anti-analytic symbol b(z) on U. They are called the Ha-plitz operators (using the terminology of Nilkol'skii [15]). We then study the boundedness and membership in the Schatten-von Neumann class of the above Ha-plitz operators.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank K. Nowak and R. Rochberg for several helpful discussions.

§2. The decomposition of $L^{2,-2}$ and the main results.

By computing the admissibility condition (1.2), we easily get (or see [9])

$$\mathbf{AAW} = \left\{ \psi : \int_0^\infty |\psi(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} < \infty, 0 < \|\psi\|_2 < \infty, \operatorname{supp} \hat{\psi} \subset [0, \infty) \right\}.$$

Let $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-v} (-x)^v/v!$ be the Laguerre polynomials, where $\alpha > -1$. They satisfy the following conditions of orthogonality and normalization (see [21]):

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^a L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_m^{(\alpha)}(x) dx = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \delta_{nm}.$$

And for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let ψ^k , $\overline{\psi}^k$ be functions on R, their Fourier transforms are defined by

$$\hat{\psi}^{k}(\xi) = \begin{cases} (k+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\xi) e^{-\xi} L_{k}^{(1)}(2\xi), & \text{for } \xi \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{for } \xi < 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\hat{\psi}^k(\xi) = \hat{\psi}^k(-\xi)$. We can get

$$\psi^{k}(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi}(k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{x-i}{x+i}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{(x+i)^{2}}$$

and $\bar{\psi}^k(x) = \bar{\psi}^k(x)$. Clearly, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\psi^k \in AAW$, $\tilde{\psi}^k = \psi^k$ and $\bar{\psi}^k \in \overline{AAW}$, $\tilde{\psi}^k = \bar{\psi}^k$. Thus by (1.5)

(2.1)
$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \psi_y^k * \psi_y^k * f(x) \frac{dy}{y^2},$$
$$h(x) = \int_0^\infty \bar{\psi}_y^k * \bar{\psi}_y^k * h(x) \frac{dy}{y^2}$$

for all $f \in H^2$ and $h \in \overline{H}^2$, where $H^2(R)$ and $\overline{H}^2(R)$ are the usual Hardy space and conjugate Hardy spaces on R, i.e.

$$H^{2}(R) = \{ f \in L^{2}(R) : \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset [0, \infty) \},$$

$$\bar{H}^{2}(R) = \{ f \in L^{2}(R) : \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset (-\infty, 0] \}.$$

By Theorem 5.7.1 in [21], i.e., $\{x^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x}{2}}L_k^{(1)}(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is complete in $L^2(0,\infty)$, we have

$$AAW = \operatorname{span} \{\psi^k\}_{k \ge 0}, \overline{AAW} = \operatorname{span} \{\overline{\psi}^k\}_{k \ge 0}$$

We define the subspaces A_k and \bar{A}_k of $L^{2,-2}$ by

$$A_k = \{ f * \psi_y^k(x) : f \in H^2 \},$$

$$\bar{A}_k = \{ f * \psi_{\nu}^k(x) : f \in \bar{H}^2 \}.$$

Then we can prove the following theorem

THEOREM 1. Let A_k and \bar{A}_k be defined as above, then

$$L^{2,-2}=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}(A_k\oplus \bar{A}_k).$$

Now let us give the bases of A_k and \bar{A}_k . Let φ_n be functions defined by

$$\hat{\varphi}_n(\xi) = \begin{cases} e^{-\xi} L_n^{(0)}(2\xi), & \text{for } \xi \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{for } \xi < 0. \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi_n(x)}$, and let $e_{nk}(x, y)$ be functions whose Fourier transforms of the first variable satisfy

$$\hat{e}_{nk}(\xi, y) = y^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\varphi}_n(\xi) \hat{\psi}^k(y\xi).$$

Then $A_k = \operatorname{span} \{e_{nk}(x, y)\}_{n \ge 0}$ and $\bar{A}_k = \operatorname{span} \{\overline{e_{nk}(x, y)}\}_{n \ge 0}$. An easy compution gives that

$$e_{nk}(x,y) = \frac{(k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{v=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{k} {v+j+1 \choose v} {k \choose j} {n \choose v} \frac{(-2)^{v+j+1} y^{j+\frac{3}{2}}}{(y+1-ix)^{v+j+2}}.$$

In the definitions of T and τ in §1, letting $\psi = \psi^k$, we get the corresponding T_k and τ_k . As we mentioned in §1, T_k and τ_k give the isometries: $A_k \cong H^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Similarly, define \overline{T}_k and $\overline{\tau}_k$ from $\overline{H^2}$ to \overline{A}_k and from \overline{A}_k to $\overline{H^2}$ respectively, we then have $\overline{A}_k \cong \overline{H^2}$.

We now give the reproducing kernel of A^k , denoted by $K^{(k)}(z, w)$. Namely, $F(z) = \langle F, K_z^{(k)} \rangle$ for all $F \in A_k$, where $\langle .,. \rangle$ is scalar product on $L^{(2,-2)}(U)$ and $K_z^{(k)}(w) = K^{(k)}(w, z)$. For $f \in H^2$, by (2.1), $f * \psi_y^k(x) = \psi_y^k * \int_0^\infty \psi_v^k * \psi_v^k * f(x) dv/v^2 = \int_U (\psi_y^k * \psi_v^k)(x - u)(f * \psi_v^k)(u) du dv/v^2$, thus

(2.2)
$$K^{(k)}(z, w) = \psi_v^k * \psi_v^k(x - u) = (\psi_z^k, \psi_w^k),$$

where z = x + iy, w = u + iv, $\psi_y^k(\cdot) = (1/\sqrt{y})\psi^k(\cdot - x/y) = U_z\psi^k(\cdot)$.

Similarly we get easily the reproducing kernel (denoted by $\tilde{K}^{(k)}(z, w)$) of \bar{A}_k :

$$\widetilde{K}^{(k)}(z,w) = \overline{K^{(k)}(z,w)} = K^{(k)}(w,z).$$

For fixed $w \in U$, the Fourier transform of $K_w^{(k)}(z)$ about the x variable is

(2.3)
$$\hat{K}_{w}^{(k)}(\xi, y) = y^{\frac{1}{2}} v^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\xi u} \hat{\psi}^{k}(\xi y) \hat{\psi}^{k}(\xi v).$$

By (2.3) and the Fourier inversion formula, we have (omitting the details of calculation)

$$K_{w}^{(k)}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi(k+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} {k+1 \choose k-s} {k+1 \choose k-j} \frac{(s+j+2)!}{s!j!} \times \left(\frac{2iy}{\bar{w}-z}\right)^{s} \left(\frac{2iv}{\bar{w}-z}\right)^{j} \frac{4iy^{\frac{3}{2}}v^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(\bar{w}-z)^{3}}.$$

If $z, w \in U$, then $y \le |\bar{w} - z|$, $v \le |\bar{w} - z|$, thus we have

PROPOSITION 1. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$|K^{(k)}(z, w)| \le c_k \frac{(yv)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|\bar{w} - z|^3},$$

where c_k are constants depending only on k.

Let P_k (resp. \bar{P}_k) denote the orthogonal projection from $L^{2,-2}$ onto A_k (resp. \bar{A}_k). Again by (2.1), we have the following

PROPOSITION 2. For $F \in L^{2,-2}$,

$$(2.5) P_k(F)(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \psi_y^k * \psi_v^k * F(\cdot,v)(x) \frac{dv}{v^2} = \langle F, K_z^{(k)} \rangle,$$

$$(2.6) \bar{P}_k(F)(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \bar{\psi}_v^k * \bar{\psi}_v^k * F(\cdot,v)(x) \frac{dv}{v^2} = \langle F, \tilde{K}_z^{(k)} \rangle.$$

We define the Toeplitz type operators $T_b^{(k,l)} = P_k M_b P_l$, the small and big Hankel type operators $h_b^{(k,l)} = \bar{P}_k M_b P_l$, $H_b^{(k,l)} = (I - \sum_{v=0}^k P_v) M_b P_l$ with antianalytic symbol b(z) on U, here M_b is the operator of multiplication by b.

In this paper we will consider the analytic Besov spaces $B_p(U)$ on U and $B_p(R)$ on R. The space $B_p(U)$ (0) consists of all analytic functions on <math>U for which the integral $||F||_{B_p}^p = \int_U |y^m F^{(m)}(z)|^p y^{-z} dx dy$ is finite and $B_\infty(U)$ is the Bloch space, i.e., F(z) analytic on U and $||F||_{B_\infty} = \sup_{z \in U} |y^m F^{(m)}(z)|$ is finite, here m is any integer such that m > 1/p. The space $B_p(R)$, consists of all functions on R such that

$$||f||_{B_{p}}^{p} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{j} ||\psi_{j} * f||_{L^{p}}^{p} < \infty$$

where $\psi_j(x) = 2^j \psi(2^j x)$ and $\psi \in S(R)$ is a function such that $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in \{\xi : 1 \le |\xi| \le 2\}$ and supp $\hat{\psi} \subset \{\xi : 1/2 \le |\xi| \le 4\}$. If F(z) is analytic on U and it can be writen as $\hat{F}(\xi, y) = \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot e^{-|\xi|y}$, then $F(Z) \in B_p(U)$ iff $f \in B_p(R)/\mathscr{P}$ and supp $\hat{f} \subset [0, \infty)$ with equivalent norms (see [18]), where \mathscr{P} is the set of all polynomials.

Let $S_p(H_1, H_2)$ denote the Schatten-von Neumann class from one Hilbert space H_1 to another H_2 ($S_{\infty}(H_1, H_2)$ denotes the set of bounded operators).

The main results about the above operators is the following

Theorem 2. Let
$$0 , then $h_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ iff $\overline{b(z)} \in B_p(U)$.$$

THEOREM 3. Let $T_b^{(k,l)}$ be defined as above, then

- (1) If k < l, then $T_h^{(k,l)}$ is a zero operator;
- (2) If k = l, then $T_b^{(k,l)}$ is bounded iff $b(z) \in L^{\infty}$; and $T_b^{(k,l)}$ is never compact unless $b \equiv c$;

(3) If
$$k > l$$
, $\frac{1}{k-l} , then $T_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ iff $\overline{b(z)} \in B_p(U)$;$

(4) If
$$k > l, 0 and $T_b \in S_p$, then $b(z) \equiv c$.$$

THEOREM 4. For $k \ge l$,

(1) If
$$\frac{1}{k-l+1} and $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ iff $\overline{b(z)} \in B_p(U)$;$$

(2) If
$$0 and $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$, then $b(z) \equiv c$.$$

The phenomena in (4) of Theorem 3 and (2) of Theorem 4 are called the cut-off. Theorem 3 (resp. Theorem 4) says that $T_b^{(k,l)}$ has the cut-off at 1/(k-l) for k>l (resp. $H_b^{(k,l)}$ at 1/(k-l+1) for $k\ge l$). We will prove Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 in §3 and §4 respectively, and prove Theorem 4 for $p=\infty$ in §5 and for $0< p<\infty$ in §6 respectively.

Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ and $d\mu_{\alpha} = y^{\alpha} dx dy$ be the weighted measure. One can consider the space $L^{\alpha, 2}(U)$ consisting the square integrable functions on U with respect to $d\mu_{\alpha}$. In [12], an orthogonal decomposition of $L^{\alpha, 2}(U)$ is given to be $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_k \oplus \bar{A}_k)$ (A_0 is just the Bergman space), then operators of more general types $h_b^{(k,l,k')}$, $T_b^{(k,l,k')}$ and $H_b^{(k,l,k')}$ are defined and studied.

§3. The operator $h_h^{(k,l)}$.

Recall $h_b^{(k,l)} = \bar{P}_k M_b P_l$. For $F(x, y) = f * \psi_y^l(x) \in A_l$, by Proposition 2 in §1, we have

$$(h_b^{(k,l)}F)(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \bar{\psi}_y^k * \bar{\psi}_v^k * [b(\cdot + iv)f * \psi_v^l(\cdot)](x) \frac{dv}{v^2}.$$

Taking Fourier transform about the first variable

$$\begin{split} &(h_b^{(k,l)}F)^{\wedge}(\xi,y) \\ &= y^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\psi}^k(-\xi y)\int_0^\infty v^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\psi}^k(-\xi v)[b(\cdot+iv)f*\psi_v^l]^{\wedge}(\xi)\frac{dv}{v^2} \\ &= y^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\psi}^k(-\xi y)\int_0^\infty \hat{\psi}^k(-\xi v)\frac{1}{2\pi}\int b(\cdot+iv)(\xi-\eta)\hat{f}(\eta)\hat{\psi}^l(v\eta)\,d\eta\,\frac{dv}{v} \\ &= \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\psi}^k(-\xi y)}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty b(\xi-\eta)e^{-v(\eta-\xi)}\hat{f}(\eta)\hat{\psi}^k(-\xi v)\hat{\psi}^l(v\eta)\,d\eta\,\frac{dv}{v} \,. \end{split}$$

By direct calculation, for $\xi < 0$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-v(\eta-\xi)} \hat{\psi}^{\mathbf{k}}(-\xi v) \hat{\psi}^{\mathbf{l}}(\eta v) \frac{dv}{v} = c(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}) \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta-\xi}\right)^{\mathbf{k}+2} \left(\frac{-\xi}{\eta-\xi}\right)^{\mathbf{l}+2}$$

where $c(k,l) = (k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(l+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{0 \le j \le \min(k,l)} {k \choose j} {l+1 \choose j+1}$. And for $\xi \ge 0$, $(h_b^{(k,l)}F) \wedge (\xi, y) = 0$. Thus

(3.1)
$$(h_b^{(k,l)} F)^{\wedge}(\xi, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{f}(\eta) a_y^{(k,l)}(\xi, \eta) d\eta,$$

where

$$a_{y}^{(k,l)}(\xi,\eta) = \begin{cases} c(k,l) \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta-\xi}\right)^{k+2} \left(\frac{-\xi}{\eta-\xi}\right)^{l+2} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\psi}^{k}(-\xi y), & \text{for } \xi \leq 0, \ \eta \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

From (3.1), we know $h_b^{(k,l)}$ are vector-valued paracommutators (see [1]). Since $A_k \cong H^2$ and $\bar{A}_k \cong \bar{H}^2$, we can change these vector-paracommutators into usual paracommutators.

Let $\tilde{h}_b^{(k,\,l)}$ be operator from H^2 to \bar{H}^2 defined by

$$\tilde{h}_b^{(k,l)} = \bar{\tau}_k h_b^{(k,l)} T_l$$

where $\bar{\tau}_k$ and T_l are operators defined in §2. Then for $f \in H^2$,

$$(\tilde{h}_b^{(k,l)}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{b}(\xi - \eta) \tilde{f}(\eta) a^{(k,l)}(\xi, \eta) d\eta,$$

where

$$a^{(k,l)}(\xi,\eta) = \begin{cases} c(k,l) \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta - \xi}\right)^{k+2} \left(\frac{-\xi}{\eta - \xi}\right)^{l+2}, & \text{for } \xi \leq 0, \ \eta \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Thus by the theory of paracommutator and the fact that $a^{(k,l)}$ satisfies the conditions A_0 , A_1 , $A_3(\infty)$, A_4 , $A_{4\frac{1}{2}}$, we know that Theorem 2 is true (cf. [11], [19]).

§4. The operator $T_b^{(k, l)}$.

Recall $T_b^{(k,l)} = P_k M_b P_l$. For $F(x, y) = f * \psi_y^l(x) \in A_l$, as we did in §3, we know that $T_b^{(k,l)}$ are also vector-valued paracommutators:

(4.1)
$$(T_b^{(k,l)}F) \wedge (\xi,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{b}(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) A_y^{(k,l)}(\xi,\eta) d\eta,$$

and a similar calculation gives that

$$A_{y}^{(k,l)}(\xi,y) = \begin{cases} (k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(l+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{\psi}^{k}(\xi y)\frac{\xi}{\eta}c^{(k,l)}\left(\frac{\xi}{\eta}\right), & \text{for } 0 \leq \xi \leq \eta \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

where $c^{(k,l)}(t) = \int_0^\infty x e^{-x} L_k^{(1)}(tx) L_l^{(1)}(x) dx$, equaling to 0 for l > k and to $(k+1)!/(l!(k-l)!)(1-t)^{k-l}$ for $k \ge l$.

Thus if l > k, $T_b^{(k,l)}$ is the zero operator. For $k \ge l$, we also can change the above vector-valued paracommutators into usual paracommutators. Let $t_b^{(k,l)}$ be the operator from H^2 to itself defined by $t_b^{(k,l)} = \tau_k T_b^{(k,l)} T_k$, where τ_k and T_k are the operators defined in §2. Thus $t_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ if $T_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$. For $f \in H^2$, we have

$$(t_b^{(k,l)}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{f}(\eta) A^{(k,l)}(\xi, \eta) d\eta$$

where

$$A^{(k,l)} = \begin{cases} \left[\binom{k+1}{l+1} \binom{k}{l} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\xi}{\eta} \right)^{k+1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right)^{k-l}, & \text{for } 0 \leq \zeta \leq \eta \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

By the theory of paracommutator and the fact that $A^{(k,l)}$ satisfies the conditions A_0 , A_1 , $A_3(k-l)$, A_4 , $A_{4\frac{1}{2}}$ and that $A^{(k,k)}|_{\xi=\eta>0} \neq 0$, we get (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 3 (cf. [11], [19]). For (4) of Theorem 3, since $T_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p \subset S_2$, by (3) of

Theorem 3, $\overline{b(z)} \in B_2(U)$. We write $\overline{b(z)} = b * P_y(x)$, where $P_y(x)$ is the Poisson kernel and $\overline{b}(x)$ is the boundary value of $\overline{b(z)}$. We first prove that $\overline{b}(x)$ is a polynomial. If it is not, then there exists a $\theta \neq 0$, $\theta \in \text{supp } \hat{b}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\theta = -1$, then there exist two functions g and h such that

$$\left| \iint \int b(\xi - 1 - \eta) \hat{g}(\xi) h(\eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta \right| > c \neq 0$$

and $\|g\|_{L^2} = \|h\|_{L^2} = 1$, supp \hat{g} , supp $\hat{h} \subset B(0, \delta)$, here σ is a constant such that $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. We let $B_n = B(n, \delta)$, $\tilde{B}_n = B(n + 1, \delta)$ and set $\hat{g}_n(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi - n)$, $\hat{h}_n(\xi) = \hat{h}(\xi - n - 1)$, thus we have supp $\hat{g}_n \subset B_n$, supp $\hat{h}_n \subset \tilde{B}_n$ and $\|g_n\|_2 = \|h_n\|_2 = 1$. We have

and

$$||T_b^{(k,l)}||_{S_{\infty}(\widetilde{B}_n \times B_n)} = \sup |\langle T_b^{(k,l)}(\widetilde{\varphi}_n), \varphi_n \rangle|$$

the sup being taken over all functions φ_n , $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ such that $\|\varphi_n\|_2$, $\|\tilde{\varphi}_n\| \le 1$ and supp $\varphi_n \subset B_n$, supp $\tilde{\varphi}_n \subset \tilde{B}_n$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int \int \hat{b}(\xi - 1 - \eta) \hat{h}(\eta) \hat{g}(\xi) \, d\xi \, d\eta \right| \\ & = \left| \int \int \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{h}(\eta - n - 1) \hat{g}(\xi - n) \, d\xi \, d\eta \right| \\ & = c \left| \int \int \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{h}_{n}(\eta) \hat{g}_{n}(\xi) A^{(k,l)}(\xi, \eta) \left(\frac{\eta}{\xi} \right)^{l+1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right)^{l-k} \, d\xi \, \xi\eta \right| \\ & = c \left| \int \int \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{h}_{n}(\eta) \hat{g}_{n}(\xi) A^{(k,l)}(\xi, \eta) \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v + k - l - 1)!}{(k - l - 1)!} \left(\frac{\xi}{\eta} \right)^{v - l - 1} \, d\xi \, d\eta \right| \\ & \leq c \, \|T_{b}^{(k,l)}\|_{S_{\infty}(\tilde{B}_{n} \times B_{n})} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v + k - l - 1)!}{(k - l - 1)!} \, \|\xi^{v - 1 - 1} \hat{g}_{n}(\xi)\|_{2} \, \|\eta^{l - v + 1} \hat{h}_{n}(\eta)\|_{2} \\ & \leq c \, \|T_{b}^{(k,l)}\|_{S_{\infty}(\tilde{B}_{n} \times B_{n})} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v + k - l - 1)!}{(k - l - 1)!} \left(\frac{n + \delta}{n + 1 - \delta} \right)^{v} \\ & = c \, \|T_{b}^{(k,l)}\|_{S_{\infty}(\tilde{B}_{n} \times B_{n})} \left(\frac{n + 1 - \delta}{1 - 2\delta} \right)^{k - l} . \end{split}$$

Thus $||T_b^{(k,l)}||_{S_\infty(\tilde{B}_n \times B_n)} \ge cn^{l-k}$, and by (4.2)

$$||T_b^{(k,l)}||_{S_p}^p \ge c \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{(k-l)p}} = +\infty$$

this contradicts $T_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$. This contradiction shows that $\overline{b}(x)$ must be a polynomial.

If $\overline{b(z)} = \overline{b} * P_y$ is analytic on U and $\overline{b(x)}$ is a polynomial, then $\overline{b(z)}$ must be a constant. Hence $b(z) \equiv c$ and (4) of Theorem 3 is true.

§5. The operator $H_h^{(k,l)}$ for $p=\infty$.

Recall $H_b^{(k,l)} = (I - \sum_{v=0}^k P_v) M_b P_l = M_b P_l - \sum_{v=0}^k T_b^{(k,l)}$. By Theorem 3, if l > k, then $H_b^{(k,l)} = M_b P_l$ and $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_{\infty}$ iff $b \in L^{\infty}$. Thus from now on we assume $k \ge l$.

We define $T_1 \prec T_2$, if $T_1^*T_1 \leq T_2^*T_2$. We note that $h_b^{(k,l)} \prec H_b^{(k,l)}$, thus by Theorem 2, the converse part of (1) in Theorem 4 is true. And we note $H_b^{(k,l)} - H_b^{(k+1,l)} = T_b^{(k+1,l)} \prec H_b^{(k,l)}$, thus if $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ for $0 . Thus by Theorem 3, we know (2) of Theorem 4 is true. So that we only need prove the direct part of (1), i.e. if <math>1/(k-l+1) and <math>\overline{b(z)} \in B_p(U)$, then $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$. We will prove the case $p = \infty$ in this section and 1/(k+1-l) in §6.

Note that $H_b^{(k,l)} < (I - P_l)M_bP_l$, it suffices to prove that if $\overline{b(z)} \in B_\infty(U)$, then $(I - P_l)M_bP_l \in S_\infty$. For $F(z) \in A_l$,

(5.1)
$$(I - P_l)M_b P_l F(z) = \int_U (b(z) - b(w)) K^{(l)}(z, w) F(w) d\mu_{-2}(w)$$

where $d\mu_{-2}(w) = du \, dv/v^2$ and $K^{(l)}(z, w)$ is the reproducing kernel of A_l .

PROPOSITION 3. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $b(z) \in B_{\infty}(U)$ and $K(z,w) = |b(z) - b(w)| (yv)^{\frac{3}{2}}/|z-w|^3$, then the operators $\mu \to \int_U K(z,w)\mu(w) d\mu_{-2}(w)$ and $\mu \to \int_U K(z,w)\mu(z) d\mu_{-2}(z)$ are bounded from $L^q(U)$ to itself, where $L^q(U) = \{F(z): \int_U |F(z)|^q d\mu_{-2}(z) < \infty\}$.

If Proposition 3 is true, then by Proposition 2 in §1, Proposition 3 for q=2 and (5.1), we know $I-P_lM_bP_l\in S_{\infty}$. Thus the direct part of (1) for $p=\infty$ is true. So that it suffices to prove Proposition 3.

Proof of Proposition 3. We will prove

(5.2)
$$\int_{U} K(z, w) d\mu_{-2}(z) \leq c \|b\|_{B_{\infty}},$$

(5.3)
$$\int_{U} K(z, w) d\mu_{-2}(w) \le c \|b\|_{B_{\infty}},$$

then we get Proposition 3 for q = 1, $q = \infty$. By interpolation, we get the desired result.

We only need prove (5.2) and assume $||b||_{B_{\infty}} = 1$, i.e., $|yb'(z)| \le 1$ for all $z \in U$.

We also assume w = iv in (5.2) since for any constant $a \in R$, $b(a + \cdot) \in B_{\infty}(U)$ iff $b(\cdot) \in B_{\infty}(U)$ and they have the same B_{∞} norms.

Let
$$\int_{U} K(z, w) d\mu_{-2} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{U_{i}}$$
, where
$$U_{1} = \{(x, y): |x| \leq y < v\}, \ U_{2} = \{(x, y): |x| > y, y \leq v\}$$

$$U_{3} = \{(x, y): |x| \leq y, v \leq y\}, \ U_{4} = \{(x, y): |x| \geq y > v\}.$$

On U_1 ,

$$|b(z) - b(iv)|$$

$$\leq |b(x + iy) - b(iy)| + |b(iy) - b(iv)|$$

$$\leq \frac{|x|}{y} + \int_{y}^{v} |b'(is)| \, ds \leq 1 + \log \frac{v}{y}.$$

On U_2 , let $a = \max(|x|, v)$,

$$|b(z) - b(iv)| \le |b(x + iy) - b(x + ia)| + |b(x + ia) - b(ia)| + |b(ia) - b(iv)|$$

$$\le \int_{v}^{a} \frac{ds}{s} + \frac{|x|}{a} + \int_{v}^{a} \frac{ds}{s} \le \log \frac{a}{y} + \log \frac{a}{v} + 1$$

$$\le 2 \left(\log \frac{|x|}{v} + \log \frac{v}{v} \right) + 1.$$

Similarly, on U_3 ,

$$|b(z) - b(iv)| \le \log \frac{y}{v} + 1$$

and on U_4 ,

$$|b(z) - b(iv)| \le \log \frac{|x|}{y} + \log \frac{|x|}{v} + 1.$$

Thus

$$\int_{U_1} = \int_{0 < y < v} \int_{|x| \le y} \frac{v^{\frac{1}{2}} |b(x + iy) - b(iv)|}{|x + iy + iv|^3} dx dy/y^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\le 2v^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0 < y < v} \int_{0 \le x \le y} \left(1 + \log \frac{v}{y}\right) [x^2 + (y + v)^2]^{-\frac{3}{2}} dx dy/y^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The change of variables y = tv, x = tvs gives that

$$\int_{U_1} \le 2 \int_{0 < t < 1} \int_{0 \le s \le 1} (1 - \log t) t^{\frac{1}{2}} [s^2 t^2 + (t+1)^2]^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds dt$$

$$\le 2 \int_{0 < t < 1} \int_{0 \le s \le 1} (1 - \log t) t^{\frac{1}{2}} ds dt = c < \infty.$$

We can obtain similarly (omitting the details) for i = 2, 3, 4

$$\int_{U_i} \leq c.$$

Thus we have proved Proposition 3.

§6. The operators $H_b^{(k,l)}$ for 1/(k-l+1) .

If k > l, we will prove in this section the direct part of (1) in Theorem 4 for $1/(k-l+1) . Since we have proved for <math>p = \infty$ in §5, by interpolation, we get the desired result for all 1/(k-l+1) in the case <math>k > l. For the case k = l, we can consider the $H_b^{(k,l)}$ type operators between the spaces $L^{2,\beta}(U)$ and $L^{2,-2}(U)$, where $L^{2,\beta}(U) = \{F(z): \int_U |F(z)|^2 y^{\beta} dx dy < \infty\}$. Just copying the method in §7 of S. Janson's [10], we also can get the direct part of (1) in Theorem 4 for 1 . In this paper we consider the case <math>k > l.

Recall $H_b^{(k,l)} = (I - \sum_{v=0}^k P_v) M_b P_l = M_b P_l - \sum_{v=0}^k T_b^{(k,l)}$. Similarly for $F(x,y) = f * \psi_y^l \in A_l$, we calculate the Fourier transform of the first variable x of $(H_b^{(k,l)}F)(x,y)$:

$$(bP_{l}F)^{\wedge}(\xi,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{b}(\cdot + iy)(\xi - \eta)(P_{l}F)^{\wedge}(\eta,y) d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{b}(\xi - \eta) \hat{f}(\eta)(l+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(2\eta - \xi)y} y^{\frac{1}{2}}(2y\eta) L_{l}^{(1)}(2y\eta) d\eta.$$

Thus

$$(H_b^{(k,l)}F) \wedge (\xi,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{b}(\xi-\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) B_y^{(k,l)}(\xi,\eta) d\eta,$$

where

$$\begin{split} B_{y}^{(k,\,l)}(\xi,\eta) &= \begin{cases} D_{y}^{(l)}(\xi,\eta) - \sum\limits_{s=0}^{k} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(s+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\xi}{\eta} \, y^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\psi}^{s}(y\xi) c^{(s,\,l)} \bigg(\frac{\xi}{\eta}\bigg), & \text{for } 0 \leq \xi \leq \eta \\ D_{y}^{(l)}(\xi,\eta), & \text{for } \xi < 0, \, \eta \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where $D_y^{(l)}(\xi,\eta) = y^{\frac{1}{2}}/(l+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2y\eta)L_l^{(1)}(2y\eta)e^{-(2\eta-\xi)y}$. Thus we can consider $H_b^{(k,l)}$ as vector-valued paracommutators, but we can not change directly these vector-valued paracommutators into usual paracommutators as we did in §3 and §4. However, we can change them into multi-fold paracommutators, which were studied by Peng [20].

Let $S_b^{(k,l)}$ be operator from H^2 to $L^{2,-2}$ defined by $S_b^{(k,l)} = H_b^{(k,l)} T_l$, where T_l is the operator defined in §2. Let T^* be the adjoint operator of T, then $(S_b^{(k,l)}) * S_b^{(k,l)}$ become a two-fold paracommutator:

$$((S_b^{(k,l)})^* S_b^{(k,l)} f) \wedge (\eta_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int \hat{b}(\eta_1 - \eta_0) \hat{b}(\eta_2 - \eta_1) B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{f}(\eta_0) d\eta_1 d\eta_0$$

where

$$B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2) = \int_0^\infty B_y^{(k,l)}(\eta_1,\eta_0) B_y^{(k,l)}(\eta_1,\eta_2) \, dy/y^2.$$

We can calculate (omitting the details)

(6.1)
$$B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2) = \begin{cases} I_1 - I_2, & \text{for } 0 \leq \eta_1 \leq \min(\eta_0,\eta_2) \\ I_1, & \text{for } \eta_1 \leq 0, \eta_0, \eta_2 \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{l+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2(\eta_{0} + \eta_{2} - \eta_{1})} (2y\eta_{0}) L_{l}^{(1)} (2y\eta_{0}) (2y\eta_{2}) L_{l}^{(1)} (2y\eta_{2}) \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$= \frac{\eta_{0}\eta_{2}}{(\eta_{0} + \eta_{2} - \eta_{1})^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{l} {l \choose y} {l+1 \choose j+1} \frac{(\eta_{0}\eta_{2})^{j} (\eta_{0} - \eta_{1})^{l-j} (\eta_{2} - \eta_{1})^{l-j}}{(\eta_{0} + \eta_{2} - \eta_{1})^{2l}}$$

and

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \frac{1}{l+1} \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{1}{s+1} \frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_0 \eta_2} c^{(s,l)} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \binom{s}{l} \binom{s+1}{l+1} \left(\frac{\eta_1^2}{\eta_0 \eta_2} \right)^{s+1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_0} \right)^{s-l} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} \right)^{s-l}. \end{split}$$

We now consider $T_b^{(v,l)}$ (for $v \ge l$) similarly as $H_b^{(k,l)}$. Define $R_b^{(v,l)} = T_b^{(v,l)} T_l$ from H^2 onto A_k , then $(R_b^{(v,l)})^* R_b^{(v,l)}$ is also a two-fold paracommutator:

$$\begin{split} &((R_b^{(v,l)*}R_b^{(v,l)}f)^{\wedge}(\eta_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\!\!\int\!\! \hat{b}(\eta_1 - \eta_0) \hat{\bar{b}}(\eta_2 - \eta_1) A^{(v,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{f}(\eta_0) \, d\eta_1 \, d\eta_0 \end{split}$$

where supp $A^{(v,l)}$ is in the domain $\{(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2): 0 \le \eta_1 \le \min(\eta_0, \eta_2)\}$, on which it equals to $\binom{v}{l}\binom{v+1}{l+1}(\eta_1^2/\eta_0\eta_2)^{l+1}(1-\eta_1/\eta_0)^{v-l}(1-\eta_1/\eta_2)^{v-l}$. By the equality $T^{(v,l)}b=H_b^{(v-1,l)}-H_b^{(v,l)}$.

(6.2)
$$\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} T_b^{(v,l)} = H_b^{(k,l)} - C_b^{(0,l)}.$$

where

$$C_b^{(0,l)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} H_b^{(N,l)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(I - \sum_{v=0}^{N} P_v \right) M_b P_l = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_v M_b P_l.$$

Let $c_h^{(0,l)} = C_h^{(0,l)} T_l$, then $(c_h^{(0,l)})^* c_h^{(0,l)}$ is also a two-fold paracommutator:

$$\begin{aligned} &((c_b^{(0,l)})^*c_b^{(0,l)}f) \wedge (\eta_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int \hat{b}(\eta_1 - \eta_0) \hat{\bar{b}}(\eta_2 - \eta_1) A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \hat{f}(\eta_0) d\eta_1 d\eta_0 \end{aligned}$$

where supp $A_0^{(0,1)}$ is in the domain $\{(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2):\eta_1\leq 0,\eta_0,\eta_2\geq 0\}$, on which it equals I_1 . We can get easily (cf. [19]):

LEMMA 6.1. If $\bar{b} \in B_p(U)$, then $C_b^{(0,l)} \in S_p$ for 0 .

We now prove $H_b^{(k,l)} \in S_p$ if $\overline{b} \in B_p$ and 1/(k-l+1) .

Let $\psi, \psi' \in S(R)$ be functions such that supp $\hat{\psi}' \subset \{\frac{1}{4} \le |\xi| \le 4\}, \ \hat{\psi}'(\xi) = 1$ on $\{\frac{1}{2} \le |\xi| = 2\}$ and supp $\hat{\psi} \subset \{\frac{1}{8} \le |\xi| \le 8\}, \ \hat{\psi}(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } \xi \in \text{supp } \hat{\psi}'$. Let $\hat{\psi}'_i(\xi) = 1$ $\hat{\psi}'(2^{-j}\xi)$ and $\hat{\psi}_i(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi)$. Thus $b = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} b_j$, where $b_j(\xi) = \hat{b}(\xi)\hat{\psi}'_j(\xi) = \hat{b}(\xi)\hat{\psi}'_j(\xi)$ $\hat{b}(\xi)\hat{\psi}'_i(\xi)\cdot\hat{\psi}_i(\xi)$, and b is the boundary value of b(z). By the properties of " S_p -norm",

(6.3)
$$||T_b||_{S_p}^p \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} ||T_{b_j}||_{S_p}^p$$

here $T_h = \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} R_h^{(v,l)}$.

Let $(b_i)_e$ denote the periodic extension of b_i with the period $2\pi \cdot 2^{j+2}$, for $2^{j-1} \le |\xi - \eta| \le 2^{j+2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{b}_{j}(\xi - \eta) &= (\hat{b}_{j})_{e}(\xi - \eta)\hat{\psi}_{j}(\xi - \eta) \\ &= \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{k}e^{ik2^{-(j+2)}(\xi - \eta)}\hat{\psi}_{j}(\xi - \eta) \\ &= \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{k}e^{ik2^{-(j+2)}\xi}\hat{\psi}_{j}(\xi - \eta)e^{-ik2^{-(j+2)}\eta}. \end{split}$$

Thus $T_{b_j} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k U_k T_{\psi_j} V_k$, where U_k and V_k are unitary operators, and by Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 in [19], we have

(6.4)
$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \approx 2^{j(1-p)} \|b_j\|_p^p.$$

Thus

Now we estimate the " S_p -norm" of T_{ψ_i} . Note that (cf. [19]) for 0 < q

By the orthogonality of projections P_v , we have

$$\begin{split} \|T_{\psi_{j}}\|_{S_{p}}^{p} &= \|T_{\psi_{j}}^{*}T_{\psi_{j}}\|_{S_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= \left\|\left(\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} R_{\psi_{j}}^{(v,l)}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} R_{\psi_{j}}^{(v,l)}\right)\right\|_{S_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= \left\|\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} (R_{\psi_{j}}^{(v,l)})^{*}(R_{\psi_{j}}^{(v,l)})\right\|_{S_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= c \left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{1} - \eta_{0})\hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{2} - \eta_{1})\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} A^{(v,l)}(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}) d\eta_{1}\right\|_{S_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{p-2}. \end{split}$$

Let I_i^j be interval with center $(i+\frac{1}{2})2^{j+3}$ and length 2^{j+3} , then $R_+=[0,\infty)=\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty I_i^j$. If $\eta_2\in I_i^j$ and $\hat{\psi}_j(\eta_1-\eta_0)\hat{\psi}_j(\eta_2-\eta_1)\neq 0$, then $\eta_1\in 2I_i^j$ and $\eta_0\in 4I_i^j$. Thus

$$\begin{split} \|T_{\psi_{j}}\|_{S_{p}}^{p} &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\| \int_{2I_{i}^{j}} \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{1} - \eta_{0}) \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{2} - \eta_{1}) \times \right. \\ &\times \left. \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} A^{(v,l)}(\eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}) d\eta_{1} \right\|_{S_{\underline{p}}(4I_{i}^{j} \times I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}} \\ &= \sum_{i>8} \sum_{i\leq 8} = A + B \\ &\leq \sum_{i>8} \sum_{v=k+1} a_{iv} + B, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_{iv} &= \left\| \int_{2I_{i}^{j}} \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{1} - \eta_{0}) \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{2} - \eta_{1}) \times \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{\eta_{0}\eta_{2}} \right)^{l+1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{0}} \right)^{v-l} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}} \right)^{v-l} d\eta_{1} \left\|_{S_{\underline{p}}(4I_{i}^{j} \times I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}_{2}} \right. \\ &\leq cv^{lp} \left\| \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{1} - \eta_{0}) \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{0}} \right)^{l+1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{0}} \right)^{v-l} \left\|_{S_{\infty}(4I_{i}^{j} \times 2I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}_{2}} \times \\ &\times \left\| \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{2} - \eta_{1}) \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}} \right)^{l+1} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_{1}}{\eta_{2}} \right)^{v-l} \left\|_{S_{\underline{p}}(2I_{i}^{j} \times I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}_{2}} \right. \\ &\leq cv^{lp} i^{(l-v)p} \left\| \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{1} - \eta_{0}) \right\|_{S_{\infty}(4I_{i}^{j} \times 2I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}_{2}} \left\| \hat{\psi}_{j}(\eta_{2} - \eta_{1}) \right\|_{S_{\underline{p}}(2I_{i}^{j} \times I_{i}^{j})}^{\underline{p}_{2}} \\ &\leq cv^{lp} i^{(l-v)p} 2^{jp}, \end{split}$$

the last inequality is obtained by (6.6). Thus

$$A \leq \sum_{i>8} \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} a_{iv} \leq c 2^{jp} \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} v^{lp} \sum_{i>8} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{p(v-l)}.$$

The series $\sum_{i>8} (1/i)^{p(v-l)}$ converges iff p(v-l) > 1, i.e. 1/(v-l) < p for all $v \ge k+1$. This is just the condition that p satisfies in (1) of Theorem 4. Thus

$$A \le c^{2jp} \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{v^{lp}}{8^{vp}} = c2^{jp}.$$

About B, by the equality (6.2), we have

$$\sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} A^{(v,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2) = B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2) - A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} B &= \sum_{i \leq 8} \left\| \int \hat{\psi}_j(\eta_1 - \eta_0) \hat{\psi}_j(\eta_2 - \eta_1) \times \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \left(B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) - A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right) \right\|_{S_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}}^{(4I_i^j \times I_i^j)}}^{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} = \sum_{i \leq 8} b_i. \end{split}$$

Let us prove that $B \le c2^{jp}$. We need consider one term b_i in the sum B. Let $\Delta_k = \{\xi: 2^{-k} \le |\xi| \le 2^{-k+1}\}$. Then for $i \le 8$, there exists a fixed integer j_0 such that

$$4I_i^j \subset \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{j+j_0} \Delta_k.$$

By the similar method in [19], we can show that

$$\begin{split} & \|B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2)\|_{V_{\frac{p}{2}}(\Delta_{k_0}\times\Delta_{k_1}\times\Delta_{k_2})} \leq c, \\ & \|A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0,\eta_1,\eta_2)\|_{V_{\frac{p}{2}}(\Delta_{k_0}\times\Delta_{k_1}\times\Delta_{k_2})} \leq c. \end{split}$$

for all $k_0, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} b_i &\leq \left\| \int_{\bigcup_{k_1 = -\infty}^{j+j_0} \mathcal{A}_{k_1}} \psi_j(\eta_0 - \eta_1) \hat{\psi}_j(\eta_1 - \eta_2) (B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) - \right. \\ &\left. - A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right) d\eta_1 \right\|_{S_{\underline{P}}(\bigcup_{k_0 = -\infty}^{j+j_0} \mathcal{A}_{k_0} \times \bigcup_{k_2 = -\infty}^{j+j_0} \mathcal{A}_{k_2})} \\ &\leq \sum_{\{k_i \leq j+j_0: i=1, 2, 3\}} \left\| B^{(k,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) - \right. \\ &\left. - A_0^{(0,l)}(\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right\|_{\underline{V}_{\underline{P}}(\mathcal{A}_{k_0} \times \mathcal{A}_{k_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{k_2})} (|\mathcal{A}_{k_0}| \times |\mathcal{A}_{k_1}|^2 \times |\mathcal{A}_{k_2}|)^{\underline{P}} \\ &\leq c \sum_{\{k_i \leq j+j_0: i=1, 2, 3\}} 2^{-\frac{\underline{P}}{4}(k_0 + 2k_1 + k_2)} = c 2^{j\underline{P}}. \end{split}$$

The second inequality is obtained by (6.6). Thus we have proved $||T_{\psi_j}||_{S_p}^p \le c2^{jp}$, where c is a constant independent of j. By (6.3) and (6.5),

(6.7)
$$||T_b||_{S_p}^p \le c \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^j ||b_j||_p^p = c ||b||_{B_p}^p.$$

By (6.2), (6.7) and Lemma 6.1,

$$\|H_b^{(k,\,l)}\|_{S_p} \le c \, \|b\|_{B_p}.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- J. Arazy, S. Fisher and J. Peetre, Hankel operators in Bergman spaces, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 989-504.
- A. Bijaoui, G. Mars and E. Slezak, Identification of structure from galaxy counts: use of the wavelet transform, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 227 (1990), 301-316.

- I. Daubechies, The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 36 (1990), 961-1005.
- I. Daubechies, Orthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 909-996.
- 5. I. Daubechies and T. Paul, Time frequency location operators a geometric space approach II, the use of dilations, Inverse Problems 4 (1988), 661–680.
- M. Farge and G. Rabreau, Wavelet transform to detect and analyze coherent structures in two-dimensional turbulent flows, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série II 307 (1988), 1479-1486.
- M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, The φ-transform and decomposition of distribution, Lect. Notes Math., Springer-Verlag 1302, 1986.
- 8. M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, Decomposition of Besov space, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 777-799.
- 9. A. Grossmann and J. Morlet, Dcomposition of Hardy functions into square integrable wavelets of constant shape, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), 723-736.
- 10. S. Janson, Hankel operators between weighted Bergman spaces, Ark. Mat. 26 (1988), 205-219.
- S. Janson and J. Peetre, Paracommutators-boundedness and Schatten-von Neumann properties, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 305 (1988), 467-504.
- Q. Jiang and L. Peng, Toeplitz and Hankel type operators on the upper half-plane, to appear in Integral Equations Operator Theory.
- 13. Y. Meyer, Wavelets and Application, preprint.
- 14. Y. Meyer, Ondelettes et opérateurs, Hermann Vol. 1, 2, 3 1990.
- 15. N. K. Nikol'skil, Ha-plitz operators: A survey of some recent results, in Operators and Function Spaces, S. C. Power ed. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985, 87-137.
- 16. K. Nowak and R. Rochberg, personal communication.
- 17. T. Paul, Functions analytic on the half-plane as quantum mechanical states, J. Math. Phys. 25 (1985), 3252-3263.
- 18. J. Peetre, New thoughts on Besov spaces, Duke University, Curham, N. C., 1976.
- 19. L. Peng, Paracommutator of Schatten-von Neumann class S_p , 0 , Math. Scand 61 (1987), 68–92.
- L. Peng, Multilinear singular integrals of Schatten-von Neumann class S_p, Report No. 7, Mittag-Leffler, 1986.
- 21. G. Szegö, Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 23 (1939).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS PEKING UNIVERSITY BEIJING 100871 P.R. CHINA