A CONSTRUCTION OF ANALOGS OF THE BLOCH-WIGNER FUNCTION

ZDZISŁAW WOJTKOWIAK1

0. Introduction.

D. Wigner has constructed a function

$$D(z) = (\log|z|)\arg(1-z) - \operatorname{Im} \int_0^z \log(1-t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

(see [1]). D(z) is a single valued function from C to R. Notice that $\int_0^z \log(1-t) \frac{dt}{t}$ as well as $\text{Im} \int_0^z \log(1-t) \frac{dt}{t}$ are multivalued functions. Let us define $L_1(z) = \log(1-z)$ and $L_n(z) = \int_0^z L_{n-1}(t) \frac{dt}{t}$ for n > 1. D. Ramakrishnan has constructed some analogs of the Bloch-Wigner function for functions $L_n(z)$ (see [3]). In this note we construct also some analogs of the Bloch-Wigner function. We mention that $L_n(z) = -Li_n(z)$ in the notation used in [2] Chapter 7, which is the standard reference on the subject.

1. Analogs of the Bloch-Wigner function.

Let Re(z) (resp. Im(z)) be the real (resp. imaginary) part of a complex number z. Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1.

i)

$$L_{2k+1}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{2k} \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} (\operatorname{Re} L_{2k+1-s}(z)) (\log|z|)^s - \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} (\log|1-z|) (\log|z|)^{2k}$$

Received March 9, 1988.

¹ Whilst writing this paper we were supported by a grant from the Ministry of Education and Science of Spain.

for k>0 defines a single valued, real analytic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$ to \mathbb{R} . This function extends to a continuous functions from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} if we set $L_{2k+k}(0)=0$ and $L_{2k+1}(1)=\mathbb{Re}\,L_{2k+1}(1)$.

$$L_{2k}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{2k-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} (\operatorname{Im} L_{2k-s}(z)) (\log |z|)^s$$

for k > 0 defines a single valued, real analytic function from $C \setminus \{0, 1\}$ to R. This function extends to a continuous function from $C \cup \infty$ to R if we set $L_{2k}(0) = L_{2k}(1) = L_{2k}(\infty) = 0$.

2. Proof.

Let us set [x] = n if x is a real number, $n \le x < n + 1$ and n is an integer. Let $\binom{s}{r}$ (resp. $\binom{s}{r}$) denote the function $\binom{s}{r}$ after the monodromy transformation around 0 (resp. 1). The monodromy transformation of $L_n(z)$ is given by $L_n(z)^s = L_n(z)$ and $(L_n(z))^T = L_n(z) + \frac{2\pi i}{(n-1)!} (\log z)^{n-1}$. This implies the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. The monodromy of the functions $\operatorname{Re}(L_n(z))$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L_n(z))$ is given by the following formulas:

$$(\operatorname{Re} L_n(z))^S = \operatorname{Re} L_n(z), (\operatorname{Im} L_n(z))^S = \operatorname{Im} L_n(z),$$

$$(\operatorname{Re} L_n(z))^T = \operatorname{Re} L_n(z) + (-2\pi) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+1)!(n-2l-2)!} (\log|z|)^{n-2l-2}$$

$$(\operatorname{arg} z)^{2l+1}$$

and

$$(\operatorname{Im} L_n(z))^T = \operatorname{Im} L_n(z) + 2\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l)! (n-2l-1)!} (\log|z|)^{n-2l-1} (\arg z)^{2l}$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that after the monodromy transformation of $L_{2k+1}(z)$ (resp. $L_{2k}(z)$) around 1 the coefficient at $(\log |z|)^{2k-2i-1} (\arg z)^{2i+1}$ (resp. $(\log |z|)^{2k-2i-1} (\arg z)^{2i}$) is equal to

$$(-2\pi)^{2k-2i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{2k-2i-1} \frac{(-1)^s(-1)^i}{s!(2i+1)!(2k-2i-s-1)!} \left(\text{resp. } 2\pi \sum_{s=0}^{2k-2i-1} \frac{(-1)^s(-1)^i}{s!(2i)!(2k-2i-s-1)!} \right).$$

These sums are binomial expansions of $(-1+1)^{2k-2i-1}$ up to a multiplicative constant $\frac{(-2\pi)(-1)^i}{(2k-2i-1)!(2i+1)!}$ (resp. $\frac{2\pi(-1)^i}{(2k-2i-1)!(2i)!}$). Hence they are equal to zero. The functions clearly have trivial monodromy around 0. It remains to show that $\lim_{z\to 0} \mathbb{L}_{2k}(z) = 0$. The arguments given below are due to the referee. For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$

write $z = re^{i\theta}$ and note that $L_n(z) = \int_0^r L_{n-1}(te^{i\theta}) \frac{dt}{t}$. Now differentiating the above expression for $L_{2k}(re^{i\theta})$ most of the terms cancel out and we are left with

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_{2k}(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} = -\frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \left(\frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} L_1(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \right) (\log r)^{2k-1}$$
$$= \frac{\sin \theta}{(2k-1)!(r^2+1-2r\cos\theta)} (\log r)^{2k-1}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial L_{2k}(r^{-1}e^{i\theta})}{\partial r} = \frac{-\sin\theta}{(2k-1)!(r^{-2}+1-2r^{-1}\cos\theta)r^2}(-\log r)^{2k-1}$$
$$= \frac{\sin\theta}{(2k-1)!(r^2+1-2r\cos\theta)}(\log r)^{2k-1}.$$

Thus $\mathbb{L}_{2k}(r^{-1}e^{i\theta})$ and $\mathbb{L}_{2k}(re^{i\theta})$ have the same derivative and hence are equal since they agree for r=1. It follows that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{L}_{2k}(re^{i\theta}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbb{L}_{2k}(r^{-1}e^{i\theta}) = 0$.

We have got the functions $L_n(z)$ investigating behaviour of $L_k(z)$'s at ∞ . Using more advanced methods we can show that $\lim_{z \to +1} L_{2k+1}(z)$ is finite.

REFERENCES

- S. Bloch, Algebraic K-theory and Zeta Functions of Elliptic Curves, in "Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Helsinki", 1978, 511-515.
- 2. L. Lewin, Polylogarithms and Associated Functions, Elsevier North Holland, 1981.
- D. Ramakrishnan, Analogs of the Bloch-Wigner functions for higher polylogarithms, in "Applications of Algebraic K-theory to Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory", Contemp. Math. 55 vol I." AMS, 1986, 371-376.

UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA SECCIÓ DE MATEMÀTIQUES BELLATERRA (BARCELONA) SPAIN