# CHARACTERIZATION OF THE PREDUAL AND IDEAL STRUCTURE OF A JBW\*-TRIPLE

#### GÜNTHER HORN

In recent years, a certain category of normed Jordan triple systems called JB\*-triples has been an object of study in both complex analysis and functional analysis. A standard example of a JB\*-triple system is a norm-closed subspace of the space of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space which is also closed under the Jordan triple product  $\{xy^*z\}:=\frac{1}{2}(xy^*z+zy^*x)$ . Therefore, JB\*-triples generalize C\*-algebras. The importance of the category of JB\*-triples in complex analysis stems from its equivalence with the category of bounded symmetric domains with base point in complex Banach spaces [17]. A detailed presentation of this theory is contained in [29]. In the context of functional analysis, JB\*-triples arise naturally in the solution of the contractive projection problem for C\*-algebras in [9] (see also [18]).

In this paper, we study JBW\*-triples, i.e., JB\*-triples which are dual Banach spaces, in analogy to the theory of JBW\*-algebras [13]. After the presentation of preparatory material in section 1 and section 2 we will characterize the predual of a JBW\*-triple by various conditions and prove its uniqueness in section 3. The main result of section 4 relates the ideal structure of a JBW\*-triple to that of the JBW\*-algebra determined by a complete tripotent.

In a forthcoming paper, we will prove a coordinatization theorem for JBW\*-triples and we will use it to obtain a classification of JBW\*-triples of type I.

Since the completion of this study which is contained in the author's dissertation (1984), great progress has been made in the theory of JB\*-triples in [7], [2] (separate weak-\*-continuity of the product of a JBW\*-triple) and [11]. The results in [2] and [11], however, may not be used to simplify proofs given here as they in turn make use of results presented here and in the mentioned forthcoming paper.

Received May 6, 1986.

### 1. Jordan-\*-triples

Our standard reference for Jordan algebras is [15], for Jordan triple systems it is [22] and [23].

A Jordan-\*-triple is a complex vector space U with a sesquilinear map  $U \times U \to \operatorname{End}(U): (x, y) \to x \square y^*$  such that

- (1.1) the triple product  $\{xy^*z\} := x \square y^*(z)$  is symmetric in x and z,
- $(1.2) \quad \{uv^*\{xy^*z\}\} = \{\{uv^*x\}y^*z\} \{x\{vu^*y\}^*z\} + \{xy^*\{uv^*z\}\}$  for all  $u, v, x, y, z \in U$ .

Let  $Q(x, z)y := \{xy^*z\}$ , Q(x) := Q(x, x) for all  $x, y, z \in U$ . Every Jordan-\*-algebra is a Jordan-\*-triple in the product

$$(1.3) \quad \{xy^*z\} := (x \circ y^*) \circ z - (x \circ z) \circ y^* + (y^* \circ z) \circ x.$$

(1.4) A Jordan-\*-triple U is called abelian if  $x \square y^*$  and  $u \square v^*$  commute or equivalently, if  $\{xy^*\{uv^*w\}\} = \{\{xy^*u\}v^*w\}$  for all  $x, y, u, v, w \in U$ .

A subtriple generated by a single element is always abelian.

(1.5) A non-zero tripotent (i.e., an element e with Q(e)e = e) in a Jordan-\*-triple U induces a decomposition of U into the eigenspaces of  $e \square e^*$ , the Peirce decomposition  $U = U_1(e) \oplus U_{1/2}(e) \oplus U_0(e)$  where

$$U_k(e) := \{z \in U | \{ee^*z\} = kz\} \text{ for } k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1.$$

 $U_k := U_k(e)$  is called the Peirce-k-space of e.

For Peirce-k-spaces, the following multiplication rules hold:

$$(1.6) \quad \{U_1 U_0^* U\} = \{U_0 U_1^* U\} = 0,$$

(1.7) 
$$\{U_i U_j^* U_k\} \subset U_{i-j+k}$$
, where  $i, j, k \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$  and  $U_l :=$  for  $l \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ .

In particular, Peirce-k-spaces are subtriples.

(1.8) The projection  $p_k^e = p_k$  of U onto  $U_k$  with  $p_k(z) = 0$  for  $z \in U_j$ ,  $j \neq k$  is called the *Peirce-k-projection* of e.

 $p_k^e$  is a polynomial over the integers in  $e \square e^*$ . Furthermore,  $p_1^e = Q(e)^2$ . This and (1.7) yield  $U_1(e) = Q(e)U$ .

- (1.9) Two tripotents e and f are called *compatible* if  $p_j^e$  and  $p_k^f$  commute for all  $j,k \in \{0,\frac{1}{2},1\}$ .
- (1.10) If  $f \in U_k(e)$  for some  $k \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ , then e and f are compatible. This follows from (1.8) together with (1.2).

In particular, e and f are compatible if they are orthogonal, i.e., if  $\{ee^*f\}=0$  which implies  $e \Box f^*=f \Box e^*=0$ .

A finite compatible family  $e_1, ..., e_n$  of tripotents has a joint Peirce decomposition

$$U = \bigoplus_{k_1 = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}} U_{k_1}(f_1) \cap \cdots \cap U_{k_n}(f_n).$$

- (1.11) A tripotent e is called *complete* if  $U_0(e) = 0$ . e is called *unitary* if  $U = U_1(e)$ .
- (1.12) The Peirce spaces with respect to an orthogonal family  $\mathscr{E} = (e_i)_{i \in I}$  of tripotents are defined by

$$U_{ii} := U_1(e_i), \ U_{ij} := U_{1/2}(e_i) \cap U_{1/2}(e_j) \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$U_{i0} := U_{0i} := U_{1/2}(e_i) \cap \bigcap_{i \neq i} U_0(e_j), \ U_{00} := \bigcap_{i \in I} U_0(e_i).$$

 $\mathscr{E}$  is called *complete* if  $U_{00} = 0$ .

The sum P of the Peirce spaces is direct. If  $\mathscr{E}$  is finite then P = U.

- (1.13)  $\{U_{ij}U_{jk}^*U_{kl}\}\subset U_{il} \quad (i,j,k,l\in I \cup \{0\}).$ Products of Peirce spaces which cannot be written in this form vanish.
  - 1.14) LEMMA. Let e, f be tripotents in U.
- (1)  $f \in U_1(e)$  implies  $U_1(f) \subset U_1(e)$  and  $U_0(e) \subset U_0(f)$ .
- (2)  $f \in U_1(e)$  and  $e \in U_1(f)$  imply  $U_k(e) = U_k(f)$  for every  $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ .

PROOF. (1)  $U_1(f) = Q(f)U \subset U_1(e)$  by (1.7),  $(f \Box f^*)U_0(e) = 0$  by (1.6). (2) By (1),  $U_k(e) = U_k(f)$  for k = 0, 1. The compatibility of e and f (1.10) then yields  $U_{1/2}(e) = U_{1/2}(f)$ .

## 2. JB\*-triples.

A JB\*-triple is a Jordan-\*-triple U endowed with a complete norm such that the triple product is jointly continuous,  $z \square z^*$  is a hermitian operator

with positive spectrum and

- (2.1)  $||\{zz^*z\}|| = ||z||^3$  for all  $z \in U$ .
- (2.1) is equivalent to
- (2.2)  $||z \square z^*|| = ||z||^2$  for all  $z \in U$  (cf. [17, (5.3)]).

Closed subtriples of JB\*-triples and  $l^{\infty}$ -sums of JB\*-triples are again JB\*-triples.

Any JB\*-algebra (cf. [30], is a JB\*-triple in the product (1.3) ([29, 20.35]). So in particular, every C\*-algebra is a JB\*-triple in the product  $\{xy*z\} := \frac{1}{2}(xy*z+zy*x)$ .

- (2.3) Conversely, if e is a tripotent in a JB\*-triple U, then  $U_1(e)$  is a JB\*-algebra with product  $x \circ y := \{xe^*y\}$  and involution  $x^* := \{ex^*e\}$ . (Cf. [6, (2.2)] and [19, (3.7)]).
- (2.4) Proposition. The surjective isometries of JB\*-triples are precisely the algebraic isomorphisms.

PROOF. Let  $f: U_1 \to U_2$  be an algebraic isomorphism of the JB\*-triples  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  (no continuity assumed). Then  $\sigma(z \square z^*) = \sigma(f(z) \square f(z)^*)$  and so

$$||z||^2 = ||z \square z^*|| = \sup \sigma(z \square z^*) = \sup \sigma(f(z) \square f(z)^*) = \dots = ||f(z)||^2$$

for all  $z \in U_1$  because for hermitian operators norm and spectral radius coincide ([27, Proposition 2]). The converse follows from [17, (5.5)].

- (2.5) The complete tripotents of a JB\*-triple U coincide with the complex and the real extreme points of the closed unit ball of U (cf. [19, (3.5)] and [6, (14.1)]).
- (2.6) Let e be a tripotent in a JB\*-triple. Then the Peirce projections of e are contractive. If e is complete they are hermitian.

(Use the fact that  $\exp(it \ e \ \square \ e^*)$  is an isometry for all real t (cf. [10, 1.2]).)

(2.7) Let e and f be tripotents in U. f is said to be an e-projection if f is a projection in the Jordan-\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  (in the sense of (2.3)). If f is in the center of  $U_1(e)$  it is called a central e-projection.

# 3. JBW\*-triples - characterizations of the predual.

A JB\*-triple need not have any tripotents. However, if the JB\*-triple is a dual Banach space then it follows from (2.5) and the Krein-Milman theorem that there exist "many" tripotents (cf. (3.11)).

- (3.1) Definition. A JB\*-triple U is a JBW\*-triple if U (as a Banach space) has a predual  $U_{\star}$  such that
- (3.2) the triple product is separately  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -continuous.  $\sigma(U, U_*)$  will be also denoted by  $w^*$ .
- In (3.21) it will be shown that a JBW\*-triple has a unique predual in the following sense:
- (3.3) A Banach space E is said to have a *unique* predual  $F \subset E^*$ , if F is the only closed subspace of  $E^*$  which is a predual of E in the canonical duality. It should be noted, however, that a weaker notion of uniqueness of the predual is also used in the literature (see e.g. [12]).
- (3.4) If  $E_i$  are Banach spaces with unique preduals  $F_i$  (i = 1, 2) then every surjective isometry  $j: E_1 \to E_2$  is  $\sigma(E_1, F_1) \sigma(E_2, F_2)$ -continuous.
- (3.5) REMARK. Barton and Timoney have recently shown [2] that (3.2) is a consequence of (3.1). However, in their proof they use (3.20) so that (3.2) cannot be omitted at this stage.
- (3.6) A JBW\*-algebra (i.e., a JB\*-algebra with a predual) is a JBW\*-triple in the product (1.3) as follows from [26, Lemma 2.2] and [8, Corollary 3.3].

Further examples of JBW\*-triples can be obtained from

- (3.7) A  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -closed subtriple V of a JBW\*-triple U (with predual  $U_*$ ) is a JBW\*-triple with predual  $U_*/V^\circ$  (where  $V^\circ$  is the polar of V in  $U_*$ ), and from
- (3.8) If  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of JBW\*-triples then  $U := \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} U_i$  is a JBW\*-triple.
- (3.9) Lemma. If e is a tripotent in a JBW\*-triple U then the Peirce projections of e are  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -continuous.

PROOF. The Peirce projections of e are polynomials in  $e \square e^*$ . So (3.9) follows from (3.2).

(3.10) If e is a tripotent in a JBW\*-triple U then  $U_1(e)$  is a JBW\*-algebra (by means of (2.3)).

PROOF. This follows from (3.9).

With respect to the local properties of a JBW\*-triple one obtains the following lemma:

(3.11) Lemma. An abelian,  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -closed subtriple W of a JBW\*-triple U is isometrically isomorphic to a commutative W\*-algebra (endowed with the product (1.3)). In particular, the set of tripotents is norm-total in U.

PROOF. It follows from (2.5) and the Krein-Milman theorem that W contains a tripotent e which is complete in W. Because W is abelian one has

$$\{ee^*\{ee^*z\}\}\ = \{\{ee^*e\}e^*z\} = \{ee^*z\}$$
 for all  $z \in W$ ,

i.e.,  $e \square e^*|_W$  is an idempotent map and therefore  $W \cap U_{1/2}(e) = 0$ . So W is an associative  $w^*$ -closed \*-subalgebra of the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  (in the sense of (2.3)), i.e., W is a commutative W\*-algebra with unit e. The  $w^*$ -closed subtriple generated by a single element is abelian by (1.4) and (3.4). In W\*-algebras, the set of projections is norm-total ([24, 1.11.3]). This proves the second assertion.

- (3.12) LEMMA. If U is a JBW\*-triple then
- (1) for every  $z \in U$  there is a complete tripotent  $e \in U$  such that  $z \in U_1(e)$  and  $z = \{ez^*e\}$ ,
- (2) for every orthogonal family  $(f_j)_{j \in J}$  of tripotents in U there is a complete tripotent f in U such that  $f_i$  is a f-projection for all  $j \in J$ .

PROOF. The proofs of (1) and of (2) are parallel: The subtriple  $V_z$  (V respectively) generated by z (by  $\{f_j|j\in J\}$  respectively) is abelian. By Zorn's lemma there is an abelian subtriple  $W_z$  (W respectively) containing  $V_z$  (V respectively) which is maximal with respect to inclusion. By (3.2),  $W_z$  (W respectively) is  $W^*$ -closed.

By (3.11) we can assume that  $W_z$  and W are commutative W\*-algebras.

Let z = u|z| be the polar decomposition of z in the W\*-algebra  $W_z$ . Then one checks immediately that  $e := 1_{W_z} - uu^* + u$  is a tripotent which is unitary in  $W_z$  and satisfies  $z = \{ez^*e\}$ . Let

$$f := 1_W - \sum_{j \in J} f_j f_j^* + \sum_{j \in J} f_j.$$

The sums exists in the W\*-algebra W with respect to the  $w^*$ -topology. Using (3.4), it is easily checked that f is a tripotent which is unitary in W and that  $f_i$  is a f-projection for all  $j \in J$ .

We show finally that e and f are complete tripotents in U: Suppose this is false. Then there is a  $0 \neq x_z \in U_0(e)$  (a  $0 \neq x \in U_0(f)$  respectively). But by (1.4) and (1.6) the subtriple generated by  $\{x_z\} \subset W_z$  (by  $\{x\} \subset W$  respectively) is abelian. This contradicts the maximality of  $W_z$  (of W respectively).

(3.13) Corollary. An orthogonal family  $\mathscr{F} := (f_j)_{j \in J}$  of tripotents in a

JBW\*-triple U is summable with respect to  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ .  $g := \sum_{j \in J} f_j$  is a tripotent and  $f_i$  is a g-projection for all  $j \in J$ .

 $\mathcal{F}$  is a complete orthogonal family if and only if g is a complete tripotent.

PROOF. By (3.12) there is a tripotent f in U such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an orthogonal family of projections in the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(f)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is summable in the  $w^*$ -topology. The next two statements follow from (3.2).

Finally, if g is complete and  $z \in U_{00}$  (for the notations see (1.12)) then

$${gg*z} = \sum_{j \in J} {f_j f_j *z} = 0,$$

so z = 0.

Conversely, let  $\mathscr{F}$  be complete. Because  $f_j \in U_1(g)$  for all  $j \in J$  one has  $U_0(g) \subset \bigcap_{i \in J} U_0(f_i) = 0$  by (1.14)(1).

- (3.14) A bounded linear map p on a Banach space U is called a projection on U if  $p^2 = p$ . Two projections p and q on U are orthogonal if pq = qp = 0.
- (3.15) Lemma. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, let  $\mathscr{F} := (f_j)_{j \in J}$  be an orthogonal family of tripotents in U. Then the Peirce sum with respect to  $\mathscr{F}$  (see (1.12)) is  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -dense in U.

More precisely: There are unique weak-\*-continuous, pairwise orthogonal projections  $p_{ij}$  on U onto the Peirce spaces  $U_{ij}$   $(i,j) \in J \cup \{0\}$ ) which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kk} &= p_1^{f_k} = Q(f_k)^2, & \text{where } k, l \in J, \ k = 1, \\ p_{k1} &= p_{1/2}^{f_k} p_{1/2}^{f_l} = 4Q(f_k, f_l)^2, \ \text{and} \ f := \sum_{j \in J} f_j, \\ p_{k0} &= p_{1/2}^{f_k} p_{1/2}^{f_k}, \\ p_{00} &= p_0^f. \end{aligned}$$

Every  $z \in U$  lies in the w\*-closed subspace spanned by

$$\{p_{ij}(z)|i,j\in J\cup\{0\}\}.$$

PROOF. f exists by (3.13). Because  $\mathscr{F} \cup \{f\}$  is a compatible family (1.9),  $p_{ij}$  is a projection for all  $i, j \in J \cup \{0\}$  which is  $w^*$ -continuous by (3.9). Obviously,  $p_{kl}(U) = U_{kl}$  holds for all  $k, l \in J$ .

"
$$p_{10}(U) \subset U_{10}$$
": For  $z \in p_{10}(U)$ ,  $g := \sum_{\substack{k \in J \\ k \neq l}} f_k$  we have 
$$\{qq^*z\} = \{ff^*z\} - \{f_lf_l^*z\} = \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{1}{2}z = 0,$$

therefore  $z \in U_0(f_k)$  for all  $k \in J$ ,  $k \neq l$  by (1.14)(1).

$$U_{10} \subset p_{10}(U)''$$
:  $\{ff * z\} = \{f_i f_i * z\} = \frac{1}{2}z \text{ holds for all } z \in U_{10}.$ 

 $p_0(U) \subset U_{00}$ : Holds by (1.14)(1).

"
$$U_{00} \subset p_0(U)$$
":  $\{ff^*z\} = \sum_{j \in J} \{f_j f_j^*z\} = 0 \text{ by } (3.2).$ 

This shows that  $p_{kl}(U) = U_{kl}$  for all  $k, l \in J \cup \{0\}$ . The projections  $p_{ij}(i, j \in J \cup \{0\})$  commute and the Peirce-sum is direct, so the projections are pairwise orthogonal.

For the last assertion we may assume without loss of generality that  $z \in U_m(f)$  for some  $m \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ .

"m = 1": From (1.13) (for the orthogonal family  $\{f_i, f_j\}$ ) follows  $Q(f_i, f_j)U \subset U_{ij}$ , so  $Q(f_k, f_l)Q(f_i, f_j) = 0$  for  $\{k, l\} \neq \{i, j\}$  again by (1.13). Therefore

$$z = Q(f)^{2}z = \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} Q(f_{i}, f_{j})^{2}z = \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij}^{-1} p_{ij}(z)$$

where  $c_{ij} = 1$  for i = j and  $c_{ij} = 2$  for  $i \neq j$  (summation with respect to the  $w^*$ -topology).

" $m = \frac{1}{2}$ ":  $p_i^{f_i}(z) \in U_1(f_i) \cap U_{1/2}(f) = 0$  for all  $j \in J$  because f and  $f_j$  are compatible, so  $p_{j0}(z) = p_{1/2}^{f_j}(z) = 2\{f_j f_j^* z\}$ . By (3.2),

$$z = 2\{ff^*z\} = 2\sum_{j \in J} \{f_j f_j^*z\} = \sum_{j \in J} p_{j0}(z).$$

"m = 0": Here nothing remains to be shown.

The uniqueness of the projections follows from the weak-\*-density of the Peirce-sum.

In the following, U always is a JBW\*-triple with a predual  $U_*$  which satisfies (3.2).

(3.16) If e is a tripotent in U and if  $f \in U_*$  then  $f|_{U_1(e)} \in U_1(e)_*$  as a consequence of (3.9) and the uniqueness of the predual of the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  ([8, Corollary 3.7)].

The converse also holds:

(3.17) Proposition. If  $f \in U^*$  and if  $f|_{U_1(e)} \in U_1(e)_*$  for all complete tripotents e in U then  $f \in U_*$ .

PROOF. Let  $U^1$  be the closed unit ball of U. By the Krein-Šmulyan theorem it suffices to show that  $f|_{U^1}$  is continuous with respect to the topology induced by  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ .

Let  $(z_i)_{i \in I}$  be a w\*-convergent net in  $U^1$  with  $\lim_{i \in I} (z_i)_{i \in I} = : z_0$ . Let

 $A := \{g \in U^* | \text{ there exists } x \in U^1 \text{ such that } ||g|| = g(x)\}.$ 

By [3], A is norm-dense in  $U^*$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $g \in A$ ,  $x \in U^1$  and a complete tripotent e in U such that  $||f-g|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ , ||g|| = g(x) and  $x \in U_1(e)$  (3.12). For  $i \in I \cup \{0\}$  let  $z_i = z_i^1 + z_i^{1/2}$  where  $z_i^k \in U_k(e)$  for  $k = 1, \frac{1}{2}$ .

By (3.9) and the assumption for f there is an  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $|f(z_0^1 - z_i^1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $i \ge i_0$ . Furthermore we have  $||z_0^{1/2} - z_i^{1/2}|| \le 2$  for all  $i \in I$  by (2.6). By [10, Proposition 1a]),  $g(U_{1/2}(e)) = 0$ . Therefore  $|f(z_0^{1/2} - z_i^{1/2})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $i \in I$ . It follows that  $|f(z_0 - z_i)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $i \ge i_0$ .

Remark. (3.16) and (3.17) show that a JB\*-triple U has at most one predual  $U_*$  such that (3.2) is satisfied. It cannot be inferred from this, however, that U has a unique predual.

Using (3.17), it is possible to generalize to JBW\*-triples a known result about W\*-algebras ([28, III 3.11]). Let us first state the result for JBW\*-algebras.

(3.18) Proposition. Let A be a JBW\*-algebra, let  $f \in A^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (1)  $f \in A_{\star}$ ,
- (2)  $f\left(\sum_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} f(e_i)$  for every orthogonal family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of projections.

PROOF. By [8, 3.7] and [13, 4.4.15] there is a central projection e in  $A^{**}$  with  $(A_*)^\circ = (1-e)A^{**}$ . If one defines the normal part of a functional  $g \in A^*$  to be  $g_n := eg$  (where eg(z) := g(ez) for all  $z \in A$ ) and the singular part of g to be  $g_s := g - g_n$ , the proofs of [28, III Theorem 3.8, "(i) = > (ii)"] and [28, Theorem 3.11] carry over literally.

- (3.19) Proposition. Let  $f \in U^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent
- (1)  $f \in U_*$ ,
- (2)  $f\left(\sum_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} f(e_i)$  for every orthogonal family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of tripotents.

PROOF. (2) follows from (1) by (3.13). Conversely, by (3.17) it suffices to

show that  $f|_{U_1(g)} \in U_1(g)_*$  for every tripotent g in U. So (1) follows from (2) by (3.17) and (3.18).

We show next that a predual of a JBW\*-triple enjoys the property of being well-framed ("bien encadré") (cf. [12, Definition 14]) which will imply the uniqueness of the predual.

(3.20) Proposition. A predual  $U_{\star}$  of a JBW\*-triple is well-framed.

**PROOF.** By (3.19), for every  $f \in U^* \setminus U_*$  there is an orthogonal family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of tripotents with  $\sum_{i \in I} e_i = :e$  and  $\sum_{i \in I} f(e_i) \neq f(e)$ .

Let W be the norm closed subspace of U spanned by  $\{e, e_i | i \in I\}$ . By (3.13),  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is an orthogonal family of projections in the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$ , so W is (isometrically) isomorphic to a commutative C\*-algebra with unit e. We show that W does not contain a subspace isomorphic to  $l^1(N)$ : Because  $l^1(N)$  is separable such a subspace would be contained in a closed subspace W' of W spanned by a countable subset of  $\{e, e_i | i \in I\}$ . It is easily checked that a closed subset of a commutative C\*-algebra spanned by the unit and a countably infinite orthogonal family of projections is isomorphic to c(N) which in turn is isomorphic to c(N). But c(N) does not contain a subspace isomorphic to  $l^1(N)$  ([21, Theorem I 2.7]) so W' and hence W does not contain such a subspace.

This, together with the proof of [12, Proposition 3], shows that the closed unit ball of W is "\*-admissible" ([12, Definition 13]). Using [12, Proposition 17], we obtain the desired result.

(3.21) THEOREM. The predual of a JBW\*-triple is unique.

PROOF. (3.20) and [12, Theorem 15] show that  $U_*$  is unique in the sense of [12] and that every surjective isometry on U is  $\sigma(U, U_*)$ - $\sigma(U, U_*)$ -continuous which implies the uniqueness of  $U_*$  in the sense of (3.3).

(3.22) COROLLARY. An (algebraic) isomorphism of JBW\*-triples is weak-\*-continuous.

PROOF. By (2.4), every isomorphism of a JB\*-triple is isometric. So the result follows from (3.21) and (3.4).

Summing up, one obtains the following characterization of the predual of a JBW\*-triple:

(3.23) THEOREM. Let U be a JBW\*-triple with predual  $U_*$ , let  $f \in U^*$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent

(1)  $f \in U_*$ ,

- (2) there is a complete tripotent e in U such that  $f|_{U_1(e)} \in U_1(e)_*$  and  $f(U_{1/2}(e)) = 0$ .
- (3)  $f|_{U_1(e)} \in U_1(e)_*$  for every complete tripotent in U.
- (4)  $f\left(\sum_{i \in I} e_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} f(e_i)$  for every orthogonal family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of tripotents.
- (5)  $f|_{W}$  is weak-\*-continuous for every maximal abelian subtriple W.

PROOF. The equivalence of (1), (3), and (4) was shown in (3.16), (3.17), and (3.19).

- "(1) implies (2)": The closed unit ball  $U^1$  of U is  $w^*$ -compact. Therefore there is a  $w \in U^1$  with f(w) = ||f|| and by (3.12) there is a complete tripotent e with  $w \in U_1(e)$ . So  $f(U_{1/2}(e)) = 0$  by [10, 1.2].
- "(2) implies(1)": Because  $U_1(e)$  is  $w^*$ -closed and has a unique predual there is a  $g \in U_*$  such that  $f|_{U_1(e)} = g|_{U_1(e)}$ . Let p be the Peirce projection onto  $U_1(e)$ . Then  $f = f \circ p = g \circ p \in U_*$  by (3.9).

Obviously, (1) implies (5).

"(5) implies (4)": By (3.13) an orthogonal family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  of tripotents is summable in the weak-\*-topology. The subtriple V spanned by  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is abelian. Choose any maximal abelian (necessarily w\*-closed) subtriple W of U which contains V and apply (5).

The following proposition is, of course, a consequence of the above mentioned result of [2]. It is used in their proof, however, and is therefore not omitted.

(3.24) Proposition. If a JB\*-triple U has a unique predual  $U_*$  then the triple product is separately weak-\*-continuous.

PROOF.  $x \square x^*$  is a hermitian operator on U for all  $x \in U$ . By [31, 3.4] and the uniqueness of the predual,  $x \square x^*$  is  $w^*$ -continuous. By the polarization formula [16, (1.4)]  $x \square y^*$  is  $w^*$ -continuous for all  $x, y \in U$ . In particular, the Peirce projections are  $w^*$ -continuous (see (1.8)).

Let e be a tripotent in U. Then  $Q(e)^2$  is the Peirce projection onto  $U_1(e)$ ,  $Q(e)|_{U_1(e)}$  is the involution of the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  and is therefore  $w^*$ -continuous, so  $Q(e) = Q(e)^3$  is  $w^*$ -continuous.

Let  $x \in U$ . Q(x) is  $w^*$ -continuous if and only if  $f \circ Q(x) \in U_*$  for every  $f \in U_*$ . Let  $f \in U_*$ . By [10, Proposition 2] and the above argument, there is a tripotent e in U such that  $f = f \circ Q(e)^2$ . Hence it suffices to show that  $Q(e)^2Q(x)$  is  $w^*$ -continuous. We have

$$Q(y)Q(z) = 2(y \square z^*)^2 - y \square \{zy^*z\}^* \text{ for all } y, z \in U,$$

so Q(y)Q(z) is  $w^*$ -continuous for all  $y,z \in U$ . Hence,  $Q(e)^2Q(x) = Q(e)(Q(e)Q(x))$  is  $w^*$ -continuous.

## 4. Ideals in JBW\*-triples.

(4.1) A subspace J of a Jordan-\*-triple U is called an *ideal* if  $\{UU^*J\}+\{UJ^*U\}\subset J$ . Two ideals I and J are said to be *orthogonal* if  $I\cap J=0$ . In this case,  $I \square J^*=J \square I^*=0$ .

For a subset X of a JBW\*-triple U let U(X) denote the weak-\*-closed ideal in U generated by X. For  $x \in U$  we write U(x) instead of  $U(\{x\})$ . The weak-\*-closed linear span of the union of a family  $(X_k)_{k \in K}$  of subsets of U is denoted by  $\sum_{k \in K} X_k$ . We recall that for any tripotent e in U,  $U_1(e)$  naturally carries the structure of a JBW\*-algebra.

- (4.2) Theorem. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, e a complete tripotent in U. Then the map  $I \to U(I)$  is a bijection from the set  $\mathscr{I}_e$  of all weak-\*-closed \*-ideals of the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  onto the set  $\mathscr{I}$  of all weak-\*-closed ideals of U, with inverse  $J \to J \cap U_1(e)$ . One has the following properties
- $(1) \ \ U(I \cap J) = U(I) \cap U(J) \ \ (I,J \in \mathcal{I}_e)$

(2) 
$$U\left(\sum_{k \in K} I_k\right) = \sum_{k \in K} U(I_k) \quad (I_k \in \mathscr{I}_e)$$

- (3)  $U(z) = U_1(z) + U_{1/2}(z)$  for every central e-projection z, and every weak-\*-closed ideal in U can be uniquely written in this form.
- (4) To every  $J \in \mathcal{I}$  there is a unique complementary ideal  $J^{\perp} \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- (5)  $J = (J \cap I) \oplus (J \cap I^{\perp})$  for all  $I, J \in \mathscr{I}$ .

PROOF. We first show (3): Let z be a central e-projection, w := e - z. Consider the Peirce decomposition of U with respect to the orthogonal family  $\{z, w\}$ .  $U_{z, w} := U_{1/2}(z) \cap U_{1/2}(w) = 0$  because z is a central e-projection.  $U_{00} := U_0(z) \cap U_0(w) = 0$  because z + w is a complete tripotent. Let

$$U_{z,0} := U_{1/2}(z) \cap U_0(w) = U_{1/2}(z), \quad U_{w,0} := U_{1/2}(w) \cap U_0(z) = U_{1/2}(w).$$

We show that  $U_{z,0} \square U_{w,0}^* = U_{w,0} \square U_{z,0}^* = 0$ . It then follows from the

multiplication rules (1.13) that  $U_1(z) + U_{1/2}(z)$  is an ideal with complement  $U_0(z) = U_1(w) + U_{1/2}(w)$ . Suppose,  $a \in U_{z,0}$ ,  $b \in U_{w,0}$  and  $a \square b^* \neq 0$ . By (3.11), we can assume that a is a tripotent. We have  $a \perp w$ , so c := a + w is a tripotent. Let  $b = b_0 + b_{1/2} + b_1$ , where  $b_k \in U_k(a)$  for  $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ , 1. Then  $b_1 = Q(a)^2b = 0$  by (1.13),  $b_{1/2} \in U_{w,0} \cap U_1(c)$  (because a, z and w are compatible) and  $b_{1/2} \neq 0$  because  $a \square b^* \neq 0$ . So

$$0 \neq \{cb_{1/2}^*c\} = 2\{ab_{1/2}^*w\} \in U_{z,w}$$

by (1.13), a contradiction. Similarly, one shows  $U_{w,0} \square U_{z,0}^* = 0$ . Clearly,  $U_1(z) + U_{1/2}(z) \subset U(z)$ , so the first assertion of (3) follows.

Conversely, let J be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal in U, let  $I:=J\cap U_1(e)$ . I is a  $w^*$ -closed \*-ideal in the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$ , so  $I=U_1(z)$  for some central e-projection z (cf. [8,4.3]). Clearly  $U(z)\subset J$ . If  $U(z)\neq J$  then  $U_{1/2}(e-z)\cap J\neq 0$  because J is an ideal. This implies  $U_1(e-z)\cap J\neq 0$  by [10,1.5], a contradiction. If z' is a central e-projection with U(z)=U(z'), then  $U_1(z)=U_1(z')$ , so z=z'. This shows (3) and (4). (5) now follows from (3) and (4). If  $z_I$  is the unique central e-projection associated with  $I\in \mathscr{I}_e$  by [8,4.3], then  $U(I)=U(z_I)$ , so by (3),  $I\to U(I)$  is the composition of the two bijections  $I\to z_I$  and  $z_I\to U(z_I)$ . Its inverse is  $J\to J\cap U_1(e)$  as shown above.

Let  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}_{\rho}$ . Then

$$U_1(e) \cap (U(I) \cap U(J)) = (U_1(e) \cap U(I)) \cap (U_1(e) \cap U(J)) = I \cap J,$$

so (1) follows.

Let  $I_k \in \mathscr{I}_e(k \in K)$ . Then  $\sum_{k \in K} I_k$  and  $\sum_{k \in K} U(I_k)$  are ideals by (3.2),  $U(\sum_{k \in K} I_k) \supset U(I_j)$  for all  $j \in K$  and  $\sum_{k \in K} I_k \subset \sum_{k \in K} U(I_k)$ , so (2) follows.

(4.2)(4) has the following converse:

(4.3) Lemma. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, let I and J be ideals in U with  $I \oplus J = U$ . Then I and J are weak-\*-closed.

PROOF. Let e be a complete tripotent in U (2.5), let f+g=e with  $f \in I$ ,  $g \in J$ . Then f and g are tripotents with  $I=U_0(g)$  and  $J=U_0(f)$ . So (4.3) follows from (3.9).

(4.4) LEMMA. Let U be a JB\*-triple, let I and J be closed subtriples in U with  $I \oplus J = U$ . Then  $||z+w|| = \max(||z||, ||w||)$  for all  $z \in I$ ,  $w \in J$  if and only if I and J are ideals.

PROOF.  $I \oplus^{\infty} J$  is a JB\*-triple (operations defined componentwise). So (4.4) follows from the fact that the algebra isomorphisms of a JB\*-triple are precisely the surjective isometries (2.4).

(4.5) Lemma. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, let  $(U_k)_{k \in K}$  be an orthogonal family

of weak-\*-closed ideals in U. Then  $\sum_{k \in K} U_k$  is canonically isometrically isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{k \in K} U_k$ .

PROOF. Let  $p_k$  be the canonical projection of U onto  $U_k$   $(k \in K)$ .  $p_k$  is contrative by (4.2)(4)and (4.4), so  $\phi(x) = (p_k(x))_{k \in K}$  defines a map from  $\sum_{k \in K} U_k$  into  $\bigoplus^{\infty} {}_{k \in K} U_k$ . By (2.4), it suffices to show that  $\phi$  is an algebric triple isomorphism. Clearly,  $\phi$  is an injective triple homomorphism. To show that  $\phi$  is surjective, let  $(x_k)_{k \in K}$  be bounded,  $x_k \in U_k$  for every  $k \in K$ . Then the  $w^*$ -closed subtriple generated by  $(x_k)_{k \in K}$  is abelian, therefore it is isomorphic to a commutative  $W^*$ -algebra by (3.11). But a bounded family of elements of a  $W^*$ -algebra which lie in pairwise orthogonal  $w^*$ -closed ideals is summable in the  $w^*$ -topology. So  $\phi$  is surjective.

A Jordan-\*-triple U is called *indecomposable* if  $U = I \oplus J$  for ideals I and J in U implies I = 0 or J = 0.

- (4.6) Lemma. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, e a complete tripotent in U. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (1) U is indecomposable,
- (2) U and 0 are the only weak-\*-closed ideals in U,
- (3) the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  is a factor (i.e., has trivial center).

PROOF. (2) implies (1) by (4.3). The other implications follow from (4.2).

(4.7) DEFINITION. A JBW\*-triple which satisfies one of the conditions in (4.6) is called a JBW\*-triple factor.

If one is interested in classifying JBW\*-triples then one is naturally led to the following definitions:

(4.8) DEFINITION. Let U be a Jordan-\*-triple, p a tripotent in U. p is called abelian if  $U_1(p)$  is abelian in the sense of (1.4). p is called minimal if

$$U_1(p) = \mathbf{C} \cdot p.$$

(4.9) Lemma. An abelian tripotent p in a JBW\*-triple factor U is minimal.

**PROOF.** By (3.12), there is a-complete tripotent e in U such that p is an e-projection.  $U_1(e)$  is a JBW\*-algebra factor by (4.6), so p is minimal in  $U_1(e)$  ([13, 5.2.17]). Because  $U_1(p) \subset U_1(e)$  p is also minimal in U.

If Z is the center of a JBW\*-algebra A and if p is an abelian projection in A then  $U_1(p) = Zp$  by [13, 5.2.17]. For JBW\*-triples we have the following weak analogue:

(4.10) LEMMA. Let U be a JBW\*-triple, let p be an abelian tripotent in U with U(p) = U, let  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  be an orthogonal family of tripotents in U with  $\sum_{i \in I} p_i = p$ . Then  $U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} U(p_i)$ .

PROOF. By (3.12), there is a complete tripotent e in U such that p is an e-projection. The central carrier of p in the JBW\*-algebra  $(U_1(e), \circ, *)$  equals e and  $z \to z \circ p$  maps the center Z of  $(U_1(e), \circ, *)$  isomorphically onto  $U_1(p)$  by [13, 5.2.17]. So there is an orthogonal family  $(z_i)_{i \in I}$  of central e-projections with  $p_i = z_i \circ p$ . Clearly,  $Uz_i) = U(p_i)$  and by (4.2) and (4.5), the result follows.

A JBW\*-algebra is of type I if its self-adjoint part is a JBW-algebra of type I i.e., if there is an abelian projection with central carrier 1. This is equivalent to the existence of an abelian projection which generates the JBW\*-algebra as a w\*-closed ideal. In analogy to these notions one defines

- (4.11) DEFINITION. A JBW\*-triple U is of type I if there is an abelian tripotent p in U with U(p) = U.
- (4.12) Lemma. Every  $w^*$ -closed ideal J of a JBW\*-triple U of type I is of type I.

PROOF. Let p be an abelian tripotent in U with U(p) = U. Then the canonical projection of U onto J (4.2)(4) maps p onto an abelian tripotent q with J = J(q).

(4.13) Proposition. Let U be a JBW\*-triple. Then there is a unique decomposition  $U = U_I \oplus U_0$  where  $U_I$  and  $U_0$  are ideals in U such that  $U_I$  is of type I and  $U_0$  contains no non-zero abelian tripotents.

PROOF. By Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal family  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  of abelian tripotents in U such that the ideals  $U(p_i)$   $(i \in I)$  are pairwise orthogonal.

$$U_I := \sum_{i \in I} U(p_i) = U\left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i\right)$$

is of type I and by maximality,  $U_0 := U_I^{\perp}$  contains no abelian tripotents.

Suppose  $U = V \oplus W$  where V is of type I and W contains no non-zero abelian tripotents. Then  $U_0 = (U_0 \cap V) \oplus (U_0 \cap W)$  by (4.2)(5). By (4.12),  $U_0 \cap V = 0$ , that is,  $U_0 = W$ . By the uniqueness of the complement in (4.2)(4), also  $U_I = V$ .

REMARK.  $U_0$  is isomorphic to a JW\*-triple, i.e., an ultra-weakly closed J\*-algebra in the sense of [14]. It will follow from this, together with the classification of JBW\*-triples of type I, that U can be uniquely decomposed

into a special and an exceptional part. These facts cannot be proved at this stage, however, and will be shown in forthcoming papers.

- (4.14) Proposition. Let U be a JBW\*-triple. Then the following conditions are equivalent
- (1) U is of type I,
- (2) every non-zero, weak-\*-closed ideal of U contains a non-zero abelian tripotent,
- (3) there is a complete tripotent e in U such that  $U_1(e)$  is a JBW\*-algebra of type I.

PROOF. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from (4.13) and (4.12).

- "(1) implies (3)": Let p be an abelian tripotent in U with U(p) = U, let e be a complete tripotent in U such that p is an (abelian) e-projection (cf. (3.12)). By (4.2), the w\*-closed ideal generated by p in the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  is  $U_1(e)$ , so (3) follows.
- "(3) implies (1)": Let p be an abelian projection in the JBW\*-algebra  $U_1(e)$  with central carrier e. Then p is an abelian tripotent in U with U(p) = U by (4.2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author wishes to thank his Ph.D. supervisor Professor W. Kaup for his advice and encouragement and Professor R. B. Braun for useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- E. Alfsen, F. W. Shultz, and E. Størmer, A Gelfand-Neumark theorem for Jordan algebras, Adv. in Math. 28 (1978), 11-56.
- T. Barton and R. M. Timoney, On biduals, preduals and ideals of JB\*-triples, Math. Scand. 59 (1986), 177-191.
- 3. E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps, A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1901), 97-98.
- R. B. Braun, Ein Gelfand-Neumark-Theorem für C\*-alternative Algebren, Habilitationssschrift, Tübingen, 1980.
- R. B. Braun, A Gelfand-Neumark theorem for C\*-alternative algebras, Math. Z. 185 (1984), 225-242.
- R. B. Braun, W. Kaup, and H. Upmeier, A holomorphic characterization of Jordan-C\*-algebras, Math. Z. 161 (1978), 277-290.
- S. Dineen, The second dual of a JB\*-triple system, in Complex Analysis, Functional Analysis, and Approximation Theory, ed. J. Mujica, (North-Holland Math. Stud. 125), pp. 67-69. North-Holland, Amsterdam - New York, 1986.
- 8. C. M. Edwards, On Jordan W\*-algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1980), 393-403.
- 9. Y. Friedman and B. Russo, Solution of the contractive projection problem, J. Funct. Anal. 60 (1985), 56-79.

- Y. Friedman and B. Russo, Structure of the predual of a JBW\*-triple, J. Reine Angew. Math. 356 (1985), 67-89.
- Y. Friedman and B. Russo, The Gelfand-Naimark theorem for JB\*-triples, Duke Math. J. 53 (1986), 139-148.
- 12. G. Godefroy, Parties admissible d'un espace de Banach. Applications, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16 (1983), 109-122.
- H. Hanche-Olsen and E. Størmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, (Monographs Stud. Math. 21), Pitman, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1984.
- 14. L. A. Harris, A generalization of C\*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 42 (1981), 331-361.
- N. Jacobson, Structure and representations of Jordan algebras, (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 39), American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- W. Kaup, Über die Klassifikation der symmetrischen hermiteschen Mannigfaltigkeiten unendlicher Dimension. I, Math. Ann. 257 (1981), 463–486.
- 17. W. Kaup, A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, Math. Z. 183 (1983), 503-529.
- 18. W. Kaup, Contractive projections on Jordan C\*-algebras and generalizations, Math. Scand. 54 (1984), 95-100.
- 19. W. Kaup and H. Upmeier, Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in Banach spaces, Math. Z. 157 (1977), 179-200.
- J. L. Kelley, General Topology, D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, Toronto, London, 1955.
- J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces, (Lecture Notes in Math. 338), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1973.
- 22. O. Loos. Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs, Lecture Notes, Irvine, 1977.
- K. Meyberg, Lectures on Jordan algebras and triple systems, Lecture Notes, Charlottesville, 1972.
- S. Sakai, C\*-Algebras and W\*-Algebras, (Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 60), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1971.
- H. H. Schaefer, Topological Vector Spaces, (Graduate Texts in Math. 3), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1971.
- F. W. Shultz, On normed algebras which are Banach dual spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 31 (1979), 360-376.
- 27. A. M. Sinclair, The norm of a hermitian element in a Banach algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), 446-450.
- 28. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979.
- H. Upmeier, Symmetric Banach Manifolds and Jordan C\*-Algebras, (North-Holland Math. Stud., Notas de Mat. (104 No. 96)), North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1985.
- 30. J. D. M. Wright, Jordan C\*-algebras, Michigan Math. J. 24 (1977), 291-302.
- 31. R. Paya, J. Perez, and A. Rodriguez, *Noncommutative Jordan* C\*-algebras, Manuscripta Math. 37 (1982), 87-120.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN AUF DER MORGENSTELLE 10 D-7400 TÜBINGEN WEST GERMANY