

WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES INDUCED BY DOUBLING WEIGHTS

JUNTAO DU, SONGXIAO LI and YECHENG SHI

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the boundedness, compactness, essential norm and the Schatten class of weighted composition operators uC_φ on Bergman type spaces A_ω^p induced by a doubling weight ω . Let $X = \{u \in H(\mathbb{D}) : uC_\varphi : A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p \text{ is bounded}\}$. For some regular weights ω , we obtain that $X = H^\infty$ if and only if φ is a finite Blaschke product.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane, and $H(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all functions analytic on \mathbb{D} . Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$. The weighted composition operator, denoted by uC_φ , is defined by

$$(uC_\varphi f)(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

For $0 < p < \infty$, H^p denotes the Hardy space, which consists of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

As usual, H^∞ is the space of all bounded analytic functions in \mathbb{D} .

We say that μ is a weight, when μ is radial and positive on \mathbb{D} . Suppose that ω is an integrable weight on $(0, 1)$. Let $\hat{\omega}(r) = \int_r^1 \omega(s) ds$ for $r \in (0, 1)$. We say that ω is regular, denoted by $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, if there are constants $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ depending on ω , such that

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)\omega(r)} < C, \quad \text{when } \delta < r < 1.$$

Corresponding author: Songxiao Li.

Received 30 December 2018. Accepted 13 August 2019.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-119741>

We say that ω is rapidly increasing, denoted by $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$, if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)\omega(r)} = \infty.$$

Let

$$v_{\alpha,\beta}(r) = (1-r)^\alpha \left(\log \frac{e}{1-r} \right)^\beta.$$

After a calculation, we have the following typical examples of regular and rapidly increasing weights, see [9], for example.

- (i) When $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{R}$.
- (ii) When $\alpha = -1$ and $\beta < -1$, $v_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{I}$ and $|\sin(-\log(1-r))|v_{\alpha,\beta}(r) + 1 \in \mathcal{I}$.

In [8], Peláez introduced the set of doubling weights, denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$, which includes the set $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$. We say that $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\hat{\omega}(r) < C\hat{\omega}((1+r)/2)$, when $0 < r < 1$. We should remark that most of the results in [9], presented in the context of regular and rapidly increasing weights, continue to hold for the wider class $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$. More details about \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{R} and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ can be found in [8], [9], [11].

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, the weighted Bergman space A_ω^p is the space of $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty,$$

where dA is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . When $\omega(t) = (1-t)^\alpha$ ($\alpha > -1$), the space A_ω^p becomes the classical weighted Bergman space A_α^p . For classical Bergman space A_α^p , we refer to [3], [7], [18] and references therein. In many respects, the Hardy space H^p is the limit of A_α^p as $\alpha \rightarrow -1$. But it is a rough estimate since some of the finer function-theoretic properties of A_α^p do not carry over to H^p . As we know, A_ω^p induced by regular weights have similar properties to A_α^p . But many results in [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [14] show that spaces A_ω^p induced by rapidly increasing weights, lie “closer” to H^p than any A_α^p .

In [4], Čučkovič and Zhao characterized the boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators on A_α^p by using the Berezin transform. In [5], they investigated weighted composition operators between different Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces. In [11], Peláez and Rättyä characterized the Schatten class of Toeplitz operators induced by a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} and the reproducing kernel of the Bergman space A_ω^2 when $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Let

$\Omega = \{u \in H(\mathbb{D}) : uC_\varphi: A_\alpha^p \rightarrow A_\alpha^p \text{ is bounded}\}$. In [16], Zhao and Hou proved that $\Omega = H^\infty$ if and only if φ is a finite Blaschke product. The similar result for Hardy space H^p can be seen in [2].

Motivated by [4], [5], [11], under the assumption that $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and μ is a positive Borel measure, we investigate the boundedness, compactness and essential norm of $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ and the Schatten class of $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^2 \rightarrow A_\omega^2$. Motivated by [16], we get that, for some $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, $X = H^\infty$ if and only if φ is a finite Blaschke product. Here

$$X = \{u : u \in H(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote constants which may differ from one occurrence to another. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section we formulate and prove several auxiliary results which will be used in the proofs of main results in this paper.

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and*

$$\omega_*(r) = \int_r^1 s\omega(s) \log(s/r) ds.$$

Then the following statements hold.

- (i) $\omega_* \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\omega_*(r) \approx (1-r)\hat{\omega}(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.
- (ii) *There are $1 < a < b < +\infty$ and $\delta \in [0, 1)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} &\text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0, \\ \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b} &\text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) $\omega_*(r)$ is decreasing on $[\delta, 1)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \omega_*(r) = 0$.

PROOF. By [11, Lemmas A and 9] and (1.19) in [9], (i) and (ii) hold. (iii) follows from (ii) and $\omega_*(r) = \frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^a} (1-r)^a$.

REMARK 2.2. We observe that $z = 0$ is the logarithmic singular point of ω_* . So, for any fixed $r_0 \in (0, 1)$, we have $\omega_*(r) \approx (1-r)\hat{\omega}(r)$ for $r_0 \leq r < 1$. For simplicity, suppose ω_* and $\hat{\omega}$ are radial, that is, $\omega_*(z) = \omega_*(|z|)$ and $\hat{\omega}(z) = \hat{\omega}(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Suppose \mathbb{T} is the boundary of \mathbb{D} and $I \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an interval. The Carleson square $S(I)$ can be defined as

$$S(I) = \{re^{it} : e^{it} \in I, 1 - |I| \leq r < 1\},$$

where $|I|$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure of I . For convenience, for each $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$I_a = \left\{ e^{i\theta} : |\arg(ae^{-i\theta})| \leq \frac{1 - |a|}{2} \right\}$$

and write $S(a) = S(I_a)$. By (26) in [8], when $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, we have

$$\omega(S(a)) \approx \omega_*(a), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } |a| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

The following lemma is a straightforward result of [8, Lemma 3.1] (or [9, Lemma 2.4]).

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then there exists $\gamma_0 > 0$, such that, for all $\gamma > \gamma_0$,*

$$|F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)| \approx \frac{1}{\omega(S(a))^{1/p}}, \quad \|F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{A_\omega^p} \approx 1, \quad \text{when } a \in \mathbb{D}, z \in S(a),$$

and $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{|z| \leq r} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)| = 0$, when $r \in (0, 1)$. Here and henceforth,

$$F_{a,p,\gamma}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^{(\gamma+1)/p} \frac{1}{(\omega(S(a)))^{1/p}}.$$

For simplicity, in the rest of this paper, we always assume that γ is large enough so that Lemma 2.3 holds whenever we mention the function $F_{a,p,\gamma}$.

For a given Banach space X of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} , a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is called a q -Carleson measure for X , if the identity operator $\text{Id}: X \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded. By [8, Theorem 3.3], when $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} is a q -Carleson measure for A_ω^p if and only if

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{q/p}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, $\|\text{Id}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{q/p}}$. Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$. Suppose $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then for some (equivalently for all) large enough γ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Id}\|_{A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\mu}^q}^q &\approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}} \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(a)} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\ &\approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{\mu(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}} \approx \int_{S(a)} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z), \quad (2.2)$$

when $a \in \mathbb{D}$. So,

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}} \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{S(a)} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

By Lemma 2.3 and [8, Theorem 3.3] (also see [9, Theorem 2.1]), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \|\text{Id}\|_{A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow L_{\mu}^q}^q \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}}.$$

The proof is complete.

LEMMA 2.5. Suppose $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} , and γ is large enough. Let $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$ and

$$N_r^* = \sup_{|a| > r} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

If μ is a q -Carleson measure for A_{ω}^p , then $\mu_r = \mu|_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}}$ is also a q -Carleson measure for A_{ω}^p , where $r\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < r\}$. Moreover, there is a $C > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu_r(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{q/p}} \leq C N_r^*. \quad (2.3)$$

PROOF. It is obvious that μ_r is a q -Carleson measure for A_{ω}^p . Let

$$N_r = \sup_{|a| \geq r} \frac{\mu(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{q/p}}.$$

When $|a| \geq r$, $\frac{\mu_r(S(a))}{(\omega(S(a)))^{q/p}} < N_r$ is obvious. When $|a| < r$, letting $k = \text{int}(\frac{1-|a|}{1-r}) + 1$, there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $S(a) \cap \mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k S(a_i)$ and $|a_i| = r$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. By Lemma 2.1 and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r(S(a)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(S(a_i)) \leq N_r \sum_{i=1}^k (\omega(S(a_i)))^{q/p} \\ &\lesssim N_r \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1-r} + 1 \right) \omega_*(r)^{q/p} \\ &\approx N_r \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1-r} + 1 \right) (1-r)^{q/p} \hat{\omega}(r)^{q/p} \\ &\leq N_r \left(\left(\frac{1-r}{1-|a|} \right)^{(q/p)-1} + \left(\frac{1-r}{1-|a|} \right)^{q/p} \right) (1-|a|)^{q/p} \hat{\omega}(a)^{q/p} \\ &\lesssim N_r \omega(S(a))^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

So, there exists $C > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\mu_r(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}} \leq C N_r.$$

By (2.2), we have $N_r \lesssim N_r^*$. Therefore, (2.3) holds. The proof is complete.

The following lemma can be proved in a standard way (see, for example, Theorem 3.11 in [3]).

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $0 < p, q < \infty$, $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure. If $T: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is linear and bounded, then T is compact if and only if whenever $\{f_k\}$ is bounded in A_ω^p and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tf_k\|_{L_\mu^q} = 0$.*

The following lemma can be found in [16] without a proof. For the benefit of the readers, we will prove it here.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Then φ is a finite Blaschke product if and only if $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} |\varphi(w)| = 1$.*

PROOF. The sufficiency of the statement is obvious. Next we prove the necessity.

Suppose $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} |\varphi(w)| = 1$. Let $E \subset \mathbb{D}$ be compact. Then there exists a constant $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $E \subset r\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, where $r\overline{\mathbb{D}} = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| \leq r\}$. Since $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1} |\varphi(w)| = 1$, there is a constant $t \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $|z| > t$, we have $|\varphi(z)| > r$. Therefore, $\varphi^{-1}(E) \subset t\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By the continuity of φ , $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ is

closed. So, $\varphi^{-1}(E)$ is compact. By subsection 7.1.3 of [15], φ is proper. By subsection 7.3.1 of [15], φ is a finite Blaschke product. The proof is complete.

3. Main results and proofs

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , and μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then*

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z) \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q.$$

Let $\nu(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} |u(z)|^q d\mu(z)$ for all Borel sets E . For all $f \in A_\omega^p$, letting $w = \varphi(z)$, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi f\|_{L_\mu^q}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^q d\nu(w) \\ &= \|f\|_{L_\nu^q}^q \leq \|\text{Id}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\nu^q}^q \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(w)|^q d\nu(w). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Making the change of variable $w = \varphi(z)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(w)|^q d\nu(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

The proof is complete.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Recall that the essential norm of a linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = \inf\{\|T - K\|_{X \rightarrow Y} : K \text{ is compact from } X \text{ to } Y\}.$$

Obviously $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = 0$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , and μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . If $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded, then*

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \approx \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

PROOF. Since $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded, $u \in L_\mu^q$ and $\|u\|_{L_\mu^q} \lesssim \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}$.

Upper estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}$. Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \hat{f}_k z^k \in H(\mathbb{D})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$K_n f(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{f}_k z^k, & p > 1; \\ \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) \hat{f}_k z^k, & p = 1, \end{cases}$$

and $R_n = \text{Id} - K_n$. By [17, Proposition 1 and Corollary 3], when $1 < p < \infty$, K_n is bounded uniformly on H^p . By [6], $\|K_n\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \leq 1$. So, when $p \geq 1$, there is a $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\|K_n f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p \leq C \int_0^1 \omega(s) s \, ds \int_0^{2\pi} |f(se^{i\theta})|^p \, d\theta \leq C \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^p,$$

and

$$\|R_n\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p} = \|\text{Id} - K_n\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p} \leq 1 + \|K_n\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p} \leq C^{1/p} + 1. \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2.6 and Cauchy's estimate, we see that $K_n: A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p$ is compact. So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q} &= \|uC_\varphi(K_n + R_n)\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q} \leq \|uC_\varphi R_n\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q} \\ &\leq \|uC_\varphi R_n\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

For any fixed $r \in (0, 1)$, by (3.1) we have

$$\|uC_\varphi R_n f\|_{L_\mu^q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}} |R_n f(w)|^q \, d\nu(w) + \int_{r\mathbb{D}} |R_n f(w)|^q \, d\nu(w),$$

where ν is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let $\omega_n = \int_0^1 s^n \omega(s) \, ds$. Since $B_z^\omega(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\zeta \bar{z})^n}{2\omega_{2n+1}}$ is the reproducing kernel of A_ω^p (see [12], [14]), we have

$$|R_n f(w)| = |\langle R_n f, B_w^\omega \rangle_{A_\omega^2}| = |\langle f, R_n B_w^\omega \rangle_{A_\omega^2}| \lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p} \|R_n B_w^\omega\|_{H^\infty}.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{A_\omega^2}$ is the inner product induced by $\|\cdot\|_{A_\omega^2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^\infty}$ denotes the norm of a function in H^∞ . When $|w| \leq r$, we obtain

$$\|R_n B_w^\omega\|_{H^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{kr^{k-1}}{2\omega_{2k+1}} + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{r^k}{2\omega_{2k+1}}.$$

By [14, Lemma 6], $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kr^{k-1}}{2\omega_{2k+1}}$ is convergent and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{2\omega_{2k+1}} = 0$. So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $N = N(\varepsilon, \omega, r)$, such that

$$|R_n B_w^\omega| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } |w| \leq r \text{ and } n > N.$$

So, for all $n > N$,

$$\int_{r\mathbb{D}} |R_n f(w)|^q d\nu(w) \leq \varepsilon^q \|u\|_{L_\mu^q}^q \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q.$$

Let $\nu_r = \nu|_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}}$. By (3.2), Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus r\mathbb{D}} |R_n f(w)|^q d\nu(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |R_n f(w)|^q d\nu_r(w) \lesssim \|R_n f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\nu_r(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))^{q/p}} \\ &\lesssim \|R_n f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \sup_{|a| > r} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{A_\omega^p}^q \sup_{|a| > r} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (3.3)-(3.4), we get

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \lesssim \sup_{|a| > r} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z) + \varepsilon^q \|u\|_{L_\mu^q}^q.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, by letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \lesssim \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

Lower estimate of $\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}$. Assume that $K: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is compact. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6,

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{L_\mu^q} = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi - K\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q} &\gtrsim \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|(uC_\varphi - K)F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{L_\mu^q} \\ &\geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|uC_\varphi F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{L_\mu^q} - \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{L_\mu^q} \\ &= \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|uC_\varphi F_{a,p,\gamma}\|_{L_\mu^q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{e, A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \gtrsim \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^q |u(z)|^q d\mu(z),$$

as desired. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, $0 < q < p < \infty$, $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function, φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , and μ is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded;
- (ii) $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is compact;
- (iii) $\Psi_{u,\varphi}^\gamma(a) \in L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}$ for all γ large enough;
- (iv) $\Psi_{u,\varphi}^\gamma(a) \in L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}$ for some γ large enough.

Moreover, if γ is fixed,

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \approx \|\Psi_{u,\varphi}^\gamma\|_{L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}}^q. \quad (3.5)$$

Here,

$$\Psi_{u,\varphi}^\gamma(a) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,p,\gamma}(\varphi(z))|^p |u(z)|^q d\mu(z).$$

PROOF. Let $v(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} |u(z)|^q d\mu(z)$ for all Borel set E . By (3.1), we have

$$\|uC_\varphi f\|_{L_\mu^q}^q = \|f\|_{L_v^q}^q.$$

So, $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$ is bounded (compact) if and only if $\text{Id}: A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_v^q$ is bounded (compact). By (26) in [8] and Theorem 3 in [13], we have (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) and (3.5). Since $1 - |a| \leq |1 - \bar{a}z|$ holds for all $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). The proof is complete.

REMARK 3.4. Suppose all of the $p, q, \mu, \omega, u, \varphi$ meet the conditions of the Theorem 3.3 and v is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.3. For all $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, let

$$\Gamma(a) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : |\arg z - \arg a| < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{|z|}{|a|} \right) \right\},$$

$$T(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : a \in \Gamma(z)\}, \quad \Delta(a, r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : \left| \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right| < r \right\},$$

and let

$$Q(z) = \int_{\Gamma(z)} \frac{dv(\xi)}{\omega(T(\xi))}, \quad M_\omega(v)(z) = \sup_{z \in S(a)} \frac{v(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))},$$

$$\Phi_r(z) = \int_{\Gamma(z)} \frac{v(\Delta(a, r))}{\omega(T(z))} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|)^2}.$$

By [13, Theorem 3], for any fixed γ and r , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q &\approx \|\text{Id}\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q}^q \approx \|\Psi_{u,\varphi}^\gamma\|_{L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}} \approx \|M_\omega(v)\|_{L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}} \\ &\approx \|Q\|_{L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}} + v(\{0\}) \approx \|\Phi_r\|_{L_\omega^{p/(p-q)}} + v(\{0\}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Using (26) in [8], we know that all the $\omega(S(a))$ and $\omega(T(a))$ in (3.5) and (3.6) can be exchanged.

THEOREM 3.5. *Assume $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfying $\int_0^1 (\log(e/(1-t)))^2 \omega(t) dt < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, and φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . If $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^2 \rightarrow A_\omega^2$ is compact, then $uC_\varphi \in S_p(A_\omega^2)$ if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta(z, r))}{\omega_*(z)} \right)^{p/2} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty$$

for some (equivalently for all) $0 < r < 1$. Moreover, we have

$$|uC_\varphi|_p^p \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta(z, r))}{\omega_*(z)} \right)^{p/2} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Here $\sigma(E) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E)} |u(z)|^2 \omega(z) dA(z)$ for all Borel sets $E \subset \mathbb{D}$, and $|\cdot|_p$ is the norm of Schatten p -class of A_ω^2 .

PROOF. For all $f, g \in A_\omega^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (uC_\varphi)^* uC_\varphi f, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2} &= \langle uC_\varphi f, uC_\varphi g \rangle_{A_\omega^2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\varphi(z)) \overline{g(\varphi(z))} |u(z)|^2 \omega(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{g(\zeta)} d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose $B_z^\omega(\zeta)$ is the reproducing kernel of A_ω^2 , that is,

$$f(z) = \langle f, B_z^\omega \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_z^\omega(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta).$$

Consider the Toeplitz operator as follows.

$$T_\sigma f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\eta) \overline{B_z^\omega(\eta)} d\sigma(\eta).$$

Since ω is radial, by [9, §4.1], polynomials are dense in A_ω^p for all $0 < p < \infty$. So, if $f, g \in A_\omega^2$, then there are two polynomial sequences $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_{A_\omega^2} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g - g_n\|_{A_\omega^2} = 0.$$

Since $uC_\varphi: A_\omega^2 \rightarrow A_\omega^2$ is compact, by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^2 \rightarrow A_\omega^2}^2 \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F_{a,2,\gamma}(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) \approx \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\sigma(S(a))}{\omega(S(a))} < \infty.$$

Then, $\text{Id}: A_\omega^2 \rightarrow A_\omega^2$ and $\text{Id}: A_\omega^1 \rightarrow A_\sigma^1$ are bounded by Lemma 2.4. So, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g - g_k\|_{A_\sigma^1} = 0$.

For any $h \in H^\infty \subset A_\omega^1$, by [14, Theorem C] (see also [12, Theorem 1]), there exists a constant $C = C(h, u, \varphi, \omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\sigma h(z)| &\leq \|h\|_{H^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_z^\omega(\eta)| d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\leq \|h\|_{H^\infty} \|\text{Id}\|_{A_\omega^1 \rightarrow A_\sigma^1} \|B_z^\omega\|_{A_\omega^1} \leq C \log \frac{e}{1 - |z|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|T_\sigma h\|_{A_\omega^2}^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\log \frac{e}{1 - |z|} \right)^2 \omega(z) dA(z) < \infty.$$

That is to say $T_\sigma h \in A_\omega^2$.

Since $g_n \in A_\omega^2 = (A_\omega^2)^*$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 11 of [14],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\sigma f_n, g \rangle_{A_\omega^2} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_\sigma f_n, g_k \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, g_k \rangle_{A_\sigma^2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_n(\eta) \overline{g(\eta)} d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since g is arbitrary, by (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$T_\sigma f_n = (uC_\varphi)^*(uC_\varphi) f_n. \tag{3.9}$$

For any fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, when $|z - z_0| < (1 - |z_0|)/2$, by Hölder's inequality and [14, Lemma 6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\sigma f(z) - T_\sigma f_n(z)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\eta) - f_n(\eta)| |\overline{B_z^\omega(\eta)}| d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{|z| < (|z_0|+1)/2} \frac{1}{\omega(S(z))} \sqrt{\sigma(\mathbb{D})} \|f - f_n\|_{A_\sigma^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_\sigma f_n(z) = T_\sigma f(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Using (3.9), we have

$$T_\sigma = (uC_\varphi)^* uC_\varphi.$$

By [18, Theorem 1.26], when $p > 0$, $uC_\varphi \in S_p(A_\omega^2)$ if and only if $(uC_\varphi)^* uC_\varphi \in S_{\frac{p}{2}}(A_\omega^2)$. By [14, Theorem 3] (or [11, Theorem 1]), $T_\sigma \in S_{\frac{p}{2}}(A_\omega^2)$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta(z, r))}{\omega_*(z)} \right)^{p/2} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} < \infty,$$

for some (equivalently for all) $r \in (0, 1)$. Moreover,

$$|uC_\varphi|_p^p = |T_\sigma|_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\sigma(\Delta(z, r))}{\omega_*(z)} \right)^{p/2} \frac{dA(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, φ is a finite Blaschke product. If uC_φ is bounded on A_ω^p , then $u \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

PROOF. By [12, Corollary 7], we have $(A_\omega^p)^* \simeq A_\omega^{p'}$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Let B_z^ω be the reproducing kernel of A_ω^2 . By [14, Lemma 6], $B_z^\omega \in H^\infty \subset A_\omega^{p'}$. Since uC_φ is bounded on A_ω^p , so is $(uC_\varphi)^*$ on $A_\omega^{p'}$. For all $f \in A_\omega^p$, we have,

$$\langle (uC_\varphi)^* B_z^\omega, f \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \langle B_z^\omega, uC_\varphi f \rangle_{A_\omega^2} = \overline{u(z)} f(\varphi(z)) = \overline{u(z)} \langle B_{\varphi(z)}^\omega, f \rangle_{A_\omega^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(uC_\varphi)^* B_z^\omega = \overline{u(z)} B_{\varphi(z)}^\omega.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)| \|B_{\varphi(z)}^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}} &= \|\overline{u(z)} B_{\varphi(z)}^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}} = \|(uC_\varphi)^* B_z^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}} \\ &\leq \|(uC_\varphi)^*\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^{p'}} \|B_z^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \|(uC_\varphi)^*\|_{A_\omega^{p'} \rightarrow A_\omega^{p'}}$. By [14, Theorem C], we have

$$|u(z)|^{p'} \leq M^{p'} \left(\frac{\|B_z^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}}}{\|B_{\varphi(z)}^\omega\|_{A_\omega^{p'}}} \right)^{p'} \approx M^{p'} \left(\frac{\omega(S(\varphi(z)))}{\omega(S(z))} \right)^{p'-1}. \quad (3.10)$$

Suppose $\varphi(z) = z^m \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{|a_k|}{a_k} \frac{a_k - z}{1 - \overline{a_k}z}$. Let

$$c = \max\{|a_k| : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad d = \min\{|a_k| : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

As in the proof of [2, Lemma 2.1], for $c < |z| < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \leq m + 2n \frac{1+d}{1-d}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there are $1 < a < b < \infty$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, such that $\frac{\omega_*(r)}{(1-r)^b}$ is increasing on $[\delta, 1)$. Let

$$r_0 = \inf\{r : r > \max\{c, \delta\} \text{ and } |\varphi(z)| \geq \delta \text{ for all } |z| = r\}.$$

Then $0 < r_0 < 1$. Obviously, we have

$$\sup_{|z| \leq r_0} \frac{\omega(S(\varphi(z)))}{\omega(S(z))} < \infty.$$

When $|z| > r_0$, by (2.1), we have

$$\frac{\omega(S(\varphi(z)))}{\omega(S(z))} \approx \frac{\omega_*(\varphi(z))}{\omega_*(z)}.$$

So, if $|\varphi(z)| < |z|$, we obtain

$$\frac{\omega_*(\varphi(z))}{\omega_*(z)} = \frac{\frac{\omega_*(\varphi(z))}{(1-|\varphi(z)|)^b} (1-|\varphi(z)|)^b}{\frac{\omega_*(z)}{(1-|z|)^b} (1-|z|)^b} \lesssim \left(m + 2n \frac{1+d}{1-d} \right)^b.$$

If $|z| \leq |\varphi(z)| < 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\frac{\omega_*(\varphi(z))}{\omega_*(z)} \approx \frac{(1-|\varphi(z)|) \int_{|\varphi(z)|}^1 \omega(t) dt}{(1-|z|) \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(t) dt} \lesssim m + 2n \frac{1+d}{1-d}. \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, by (3.10)–(3.11), we obtain that $u \in H^\infty$. The proof is complete.

To state and prove the next result, we introduce a set. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ and let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . We define

$$X := \{u \in H(\mathbb{D}) : uC_\varphi(A_\omega^p) \subset A_\omega^p\}.$$

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, $1 < p < \infty$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Suppose*

- (i) $\hat{\omega}(\varphi_t(r))\hat{\omega}(r) \lesssim \hat{\omega}(t)$, for all $0 \leq r \leq t < 1$, here $\varphi_t(r) = \frac{t-r}{1-tr}$;
- (ii) $2A + AB - B > 0$, where

$$A = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_t^1 \omega(s) ds}{(1-t)\omega(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_t^1 \omega(s) ds}{(1-t)\omega(t)}.$$

If $X = H^\infty$, then φ is a finite Blaschke product.

PROOF. By [11, Proposition 18], we see that $C_\varphi: A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p$ is bounded. So, for any $u \in X$, we can define $\|u\|_X = \|uC_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p}$. Next, we will prove that X is complete under the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$.

Let $\{u_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Then $\{u_n C_\varphi\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $B(A_\omega^p)$, which denotes the set of bounded operators on A_ω^p . So, there exists a $T \in B(A_\omega^p)$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n C_\varphi = T$. Since $h(z) = 1 \in A_\omega^p$,

$$u := T(1) \in A_\omega^p, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{A_\omega^p} = 0.$$

Therefore, for all $f \in A_\omega^p$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(z) f(\varphi(z)) = u(z) f(\varphi(z)).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n C_\varphi f - T f\|_{A_\omega^p} = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(z) f(\varphi(z)) = (T f)(z).$$

So, we have $T f = u C_\varphi f$ for all $f \in A_\omega^p$. Therefore, we get $u \in X$, as desired.

Since $X = H^\infty$ and $C_\varphi \in B(A_\omega^p)$, for all $u \in X$, we get

$$\|u\|_X \leq \|u\|_{H^\infty} \|C_\varphi\|_{A_\omega^p \rightarrow A_\omega^p}.$$

By the Inverse Mapping Theorem, $\|u\|_X \approx \|u\|_{H^\infty}$.

By $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $AB > 0$. Therefore $\frac{2}{B} + 1 > \frac{1}{A}$. So, there exists a constant $\varepsilon \in (0, A)$ such that $\frac{2}{B+\varepsilon} + 1 - \frac{1}{A-\varepsilon} > 0$.

Let $a = \frac{1}{B+\varepsilon} - 1$ and $b = \frac{1}{A-\varepsilon} - 1$. Then $2a + 2 - b > 0$. By the proof of [9, Lemma 1.1], there is a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^a} \text{ is essential decreasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0;$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^b} \text{ is essential increasing on } [\delta, 1) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty.$$

Let

$$\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \omega(z), & \delta \leq |z| < 1; \\ \frac{\omega(\delta)(1-|z|)^a}{(1-\delta)^a}, & |z| < \delta. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that the following statements hold:

- (i) $\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^a}$ is essential decreasing on $[0, 1)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^a} = 0$;
- (ii) $\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^b}$ is essential increasing on $[0, 1)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^b} = \infty$;
- (iii) $\mu \in \mathcal{R}$, $A = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_t^1 \mu(s) ds}{(1-t)\mu(t)}$ and $B = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{\int_t^1 \mu(s) ds}{(1-t)\mu(t)}$;
- (iv) $\|f\|_{A_\mu^p} \approx \|f\|_{A_w^p}$ and $\hat{\mu}(\varphi_t(r))\hat{\mu}(r) \lesssim \hat{\mu}(t)$, for all $0 \leq r \leq t < 1$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, let $\delta = 0$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(\varphi_w(z))}{\omega(z)} &= \frac{\frac{\omega(\varphi_w(z))}{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^a} (1-|\varphi_w(z)|)^a}{\frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^a} (1-|z|)^a} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right)^a, \end{aligned}$$

when $|\varphi(z)| > |z|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(\varphi_w(z))}{\omega(z)} &= \frac{\frac{\omega(\varphi_w(z))}{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^b} (1-|\varphi_w(z)|)^b}{\frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^b} (1-|z|)^b} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right)^b, \end{aligned}$$

when $|\varphi(z)| \leq |z|$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(\varphi_w(z))}{\omega(z)} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right)^a + \left(\frac{1-|\varphi_w(z)|^2}{1-|z|^2} \right)^b \\ &= \left(\frac{1-|w|^2}{|1-\bar{w}z|^2} \right)^a + \left(\frac{1-|w|^2}{|1-\bar{w}z|^2} \right)^b. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Let $\alpha = 2(a+2)/p$ and $u_w(z) = (1/(1-\bar{w}z))^\alpha$. Then $\|u_w\|_\infty^p = 1/(1-$

$|w|)^{2a+4}$). For all $f \in A_{\omega}^p$, by (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|u_w C_{\varphi} f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^{p\alpha}} |f \circ \varphi(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{w}\varphi_w(z)|^{p\alpha}} |f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi_w(z)|^p |\varphi'_w(z)|^2 \omega(\varphi_w(z)) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{a+2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi_w(z)|^p \left(1 + \left(\frac{1 - |w|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^2}\right)^{b-a}\right) \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{b+2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi_w(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{A_{\omega}^p}^p}{(1 - |w|^2)^{b+2} (1 - |\varphi(w)|) \hat{\omega}(\varphi(w))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality can be deduced as in [11, Proposition 18]. By $\|u_w\|_{\infty} \approx \|u_w C_{\varphi}\|_{A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow A_{\omega}^p}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{2a+2-b}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|) \hat{\omega}(\varphi(w))}.$$

By $2a + 2 - b > 0$, we have $|\varphi(w)| \rightarrow 1$ as $|w| \rightarrow 1$. By Lemma 2.7, we see that φ is a finite Blaschke product. The proof is complete.

By Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, for some regular weight ω , $X = H^{\infty}$ if and only if φ is a finite Blaschke product. Here, we give two examples.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Suppose ω is either (a) or (b):*

- (a) $\omega(r) = (1 - r)^{\alpha} (\log(e/(1 - r)))^{\beta}$, $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \leq 0$;
- (b) $\omega(r) = \exp(-\beta(\log(e/(1 - r)))^{\alpha})$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > 0$.

Then, $X = H^{\infty}$ if and only if φ is a finite Blaschke product.

PROOF. By [1, (4.4) and (4.5)], the weights in (a) and (b) are regular.

Suppose φ is a finite Blaschke product. By [11, Proposition 18], $C_{\varphi}: A_{\omega}^p \rightarrow A_{\omega}^p$ is bounded. So, $H^{\infty} \subset X$. By Theorem 3.6, $X \subset H^{\infty}$. Therefore, $X = H^{\infty}$.

Suppose $X = H^{\infty}$. By Bernoulli-l'Hôpital theorem, both (a) and (b) meet the condition (ii) of Theorem 3.7. So, if we can prove that (a) and (b) meet the condition (i) of Theorem 3.7, then φ is a finite Blaschke product.

Condition (a). When $0 \leq r \leq t < 1$, let $\theta = r/t$ and

$$f(\theta, t) = \log \frac{e(1 - \theta t^2)}{(1 - t)(1 + \theta t)} \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t}, \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 1, 0 < t < 1).$$

Then

$$f'_\theta(\theta, t) = -\left(\frac{t^2}{1 - \theta t^2} + \frac{t}{1 + \theta t}\right) \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t} + \frac{t}{1 - \theta t} \log \frac{e(1 - \theta t^2)}{(1 - t)(1 + \theta t)}.$$

Suppose $t > 0$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta, t) &= \frac{1 - \theta t}{t} f'_\theta(\theta, t) \\ &= \log \frac{e(1 - \theta t^2)}{(1 - t)(1 + \theta t)} - \left(\frac{t(1 - \theta t)}{1 - \theta t^2} + \frac{1 - \theta t}{1 + \theta t}\right) \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g'_\theta(\theta, t) &= -\frac{2t^2}{1 - \theta t^2} - \frac{2t}{1 + \theta t} - \left(\frac{t^3 - t^2}{(1 - \theta t^2)^2} - \frac{2t}{(1 + \theta t)^2}\right) \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + \theta t)^2} \left(\frac{(1 + \theta t)^2}{(1 - \theta t^2)^2} h(\theta, t) + 2tk(\theta, t)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\theta, t) = (t^2 - t^3) \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t} - 2t^2(1 - \theta t^2)$$

and

$$k(\theta, t) = \log \frac{e}{1 - \theta t} - (1 + \theta t).$$

Since

$$h'_\theta(\theta, t) = \frac{t^3 + t^4 - 2\theta t^5}{1 - \theta t} > 0, \quad k'_\theta(\theta, t) = t \left(-1 + \frac{1}{1 - \theta t}\right) > 0,$$

we have

$$G(\theta, t) := (1 + \theta t)^2 g'_\theta(\theta, t)$$

is increasing on $[0, 1]$ about θ . Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} G(1, t) = +\infty$, there exists a $\tau \in (0, 1)$ such that $G(1, t) > 0$, for all $t \in (\tau, 1)$. If $t \in (\tau, 1)$, by $G(0, t) < 0$, there is a $v(t) \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$G(\theta, t) < 0, \quad \text{when } \theta \in [0, v(t)),$$

and

$$G(\theta, t) > 0, \quad \text{when } \theta \in (v(t), 1].$$

Since $g'_\theta(\theta, t) = \frac{G(\theta, t)}{(1+\theta t)^2}$, when $t \in (\tau, 1)$, $g(\theta, t)$ is decreasing on $[0, v(t))$ and increasing on $(v(t), 1]$. Since

$$g(0, t) = \log \frac{e}{1-t} - (t+1) > 0,$$

and

$$g(1, t) = \frac{1}{t+1} \left(t+1 - \log \frac{e}{1-t} \right) < 0,$$

So there is a $\mu(t) \in (0, 1)$ for every $t \in (\tau, 1)$, such that, $f(\theta, t)$ is increasing on $[0, \mu(t))$ and decreasing on $(\mu(t), 1]$. Since $f(0, t) = f(1, t) = \log(e/(1-t))$,

$$\frac{f(\theta, t)}{\log(e/(1-t))} \geq 1, \quad \text{when } t \in (\tau, 1) \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 1].$$

It is obvious that

$$\inf_{t \in [0, \tau], \theta \in [0, 1]} \frac{f(\theta, t)}{\log(e/(1-t))} > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$C_1 := \inf_{0 \leq r \leq t < 1} \frac{\log \frac{e(1-rt)}{(1-t)(1+r)} \log \frac{e}{(1-r)}}{\log \frac{e}{1-t}} > 0.$$

So, when $\alpha > -1$, $\beta \leq 0$ and $\omega(r) = (1-r)^\alpha (\log(e/(1-r)))^\beta$, we have

$$\frac{\omega(\varphi_t(r))\omega(r)}{\omega(t)} \approx \left(\frac{\log \frac{e(1-rt)}{(1-t)(1+r)} \log \frac{e}{(1-r)}}{\log \frac{e}{1-t}} \right)^\beta \leq C_1^\beta.$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, we get

$$\frac{\hat{\omega}(\varphi_t(r))\hat{\omega}(r)}{\hat{\omega}(t)} \approx \frac{\omega(\varphi_t(r))\omega(r)}{\omega(t)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{\omega}(\varphi_t(r))\hat{\omega}(r) \lesssim \hat{\omega}(t), \quad \text{when } 0 \leq r \leq t < 1.$$

Condition (b). Suppose $0 \leq r \leq t < 1$. Since $\frac{e(1-rt)}{1-r^2} \geq \frac{e(1-r)}{1-r^2} > 1$, when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\log \frac{e(1-rt)}{(1-t)(1+r)} \right)^\alpha + \left(\log \frac{e}{(1-r)} \right)^\alpha &\geq \left(\log \frac{e^2(1-rt)}{(1-t)(1-r^2)} \right)^\alpha \\ &\geq \left(\log \frac{e}{1-t} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So, when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\beta > 0$ and $\omega(r) = \exp(-\beta(\log(e/(1-r)))^\alpha)$, we have

$$\omega(\varphi_t(r))\omega(r) \lesssim \omega(t).$$

By (3.13), we get

$$\hat{\omega}(\varphi_t(r))\hat{\omega}(r) \lesssim \hat{\omega}(t), \quad \text{when } 0 \leq r \leq t < 1.$$

The proof is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank the referee for his (or her) several helpful remarks and comments that led to the improvement of this paper. Yecheng Shi was supported by NNSF of China (No. 11901271). The corresponding author was supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (No. 186/2017/A3) and NNSF of China (No. 11720101003).

REFERENCES

1. Aleman, A., and Siskakis, A. G., *Integration operators on Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997), no. 2, 337–356.
2. Contreras, M. D., and Hernández-Díaz, A. G., *Weighted composition operators between different Hardy spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 46 (2003), no. 2, 165–188.
3. Cowen, C. C., and MacCluer, B. D., *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
4. Čučković, Ž., and Zhao, R., *Weighted composition operators on the Bergman space*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 70 (2004), no. 2, 499–511.
5. Čučković, Ž., and Zhao, R., *Weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces and different Hardy spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007), no. 2, 479–498.
6. Demazeux, R., *Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Hardy spaces H^p and H^q for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$* , Studia Math. 206 (2011), no. 3, 191–209.
7. Duren, P., and Schuster, A., *Bergman spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
8. Peláez, J. A., *Small weighted Bergman spaces*, in “Proceedings of the Summer School in Complex and Harmonic Analysis, and Related Topics”, Publ. Univ. East. Finl. Rep. Stud. For. Nat. Sci., vol. 22, Univ. East. Finl., Fac. Sci. For., Joensuu, 2016, pp. 29–98.
9. Peláez, J. A. and Rättyä, J., *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 227 (2014), no. 1066, vi+124.
10. Peláez, J. A., and Rättyä, J., *Embedding theorems for Bergman spaces via harmonic analysis*, Math. Ann. 362 (2015), no. 1-2, 205–239.
11. Peláez, J. A., and Rättyä, J., *Trace class criteria for Toeplitz and composition operators on small Bergman spaces*, Adv. Math. 293 (2016), 606–643.
12. Peláez, J. A., and Rättyä, J., *Two weight inequality for Bergman projection*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 105 (2016), no. 1, 102–130.
13. Peláez, J. A., Rättyä, J., and Sierra, K., *Embedding Bergman spaces into tent spaces*, Math. Z. 281 (2015), no. 3-4, 1215–1237.
14. Peláez, J. A., Rättyä, J., and Sierra, K., *Berezin transform and Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces induced by regular weights*, J. Geom. Anal. 28 (2018), no. 1, 656–687.
15. Rudin, W., *Function theory in polydiscs*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

16. Zhao, L. K., and Hou, S. Z., *A note on weighted composition operators on the weighted Bergman space*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 31 (2015), no. 6, 947–952.
17. Zhu, K., *Duality of Bloch spaces and norm convergence of Taylor series*, Michigan Math. J. 38 (1991), no. 1, 89–101.
18. Zhu, K., *Operator theory in function spaces*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
MACAU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY
AVENIDA WAI LONG, MACAU
P. R. CHINA
E-mail: jtdu007@163.com

INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL AND FRONTIER SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRONIC SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
610054, CHENGDU, SICHUAN
P. R. CHINA
E-mail: jyulsx@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
LINGNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
ZHANJIANG 524048, GUANGDONG
P. R. CHINA
E-mail: 09ycshi@sina.cn