GENERALIZED ADJOINTS AND APPLICATIONS TO COMPOSITION OPERATORS

GERALDO BOTELHO and LEODAN A. TORRES

Abstract

We generalize the classical notion of adjoint of a linear operator and the Aron-Schottenloher notion of adjoint of a homogeneous polynomial. The general (nonlinear) notion is shown to enjoy several properties enjoyed by the classical (linear) ones, nevertheless new interesting phenomena arise in the nonlinear theory. The proofs are not always simple adaptations of the linear cases, actually nonlinear arguments are often required. Applications of the generalized adjoints to Lindström-Schlüchtermann type theorems for composition operators are provided.

Introduction and background

The adjoint (dual, conjugate or transpose) $u^*: F^* \to E^*$ of a bounded linear operator $u: E \to F$ between Banach spaces is a central notion in Linear Functional Analysis. Extending this notion to the nonlinear setting, Aron and Schottenloher [2] defined the adjoint P^* of a continuous homogeneous polynomial P, which instantly became a basic tool in Nonlinear Functional Analysis and in Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy. Recent applications of the adjoint of a homogeneous polynomial can be found, e.g., in [9], [14], [21].

In this paper we show that these adjoints are particular cases of a much more general notion, which we call generalized adjoints (cf. Definition 1.1). Contrary to the cases of u^* and P^* , these new adjoints are not linear operators, which gives a strong nonlinear flavor to the new theory. Our purpose is to develop the first steps of the new nonlinear theory and to give some applications.

In §1 we develop the first properties of these generalized adjoints. On the one hand, as expected, many features of the classical theories are actually particular cases of the general theory. Sometimes standard classical arguments apply, but new nonlinear arguments are often required. On the other hand, the nonlinear setting discloses new phenomena that could not be discovered in the classical theory, such as the existence of weak*-weak*-continuous polynomials that are not adjoints (cf. Proposition 1.14 and Example 1.15) and the fact that the adjoint

Received 13 December 2018. Accepted 22 May 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-119684

G. Botelho supported by CNPq Grant 304262/2018-8 and Fapemig Grant PPM-00450-17. L. A. Torres supported by a CNPq scholarship.

of a finite rank polynomial is a polynomial of finite type (cf. Proposition 1.16). These new phenomena make clear that there is room for further research in the general theory.

In §2 we show how the generalized adjoints can be useful by proving nonlinear versions of some linear results due to Lindström and Schlüchtermann [15] on composition operators, which, in particular, recover the original results as particular cases.

By E and F we denote real or complex Banach spaces, E^* denotes the topological dual of E, B_E stands for the closed unit ball of E and $J_E: E \to E^{**}$ is the canonical embedding. The symbols $\mathscr{L}(E; F)$ and $\mathscr{P}(^mE; F)$ denote the Banach spaces of continuous linear operators and continuous m-homogeneous polynomials from E to F, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, endowed with the usual supremum norm. When F is the scalar field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} we simply write $\mathscr{P}(^mE)$. The Aron-Schottenloher adjoint of a continuous m-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathscr{P}(^mE; F)$ is the following linear operator:

$$P^*: F^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(^m E), \quad P^*(y^*) = y^* \circ P.$$

By \check{P} we denote the (unique) continuous symmetric m-linear operator from E^m to F that generates the polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$. The following well known formula shall be used several times: for $x \in E$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$||x||^m = \sup_{q \in B_{\mathscr{P}(m_E)}} |q(x)|.$$
 (1)

For the general theory of (spaces of) homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces we refer to [11], [16].

1. Generalized adjoints

We start by defining the generalized adjoints.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let m, n, k be given natural numbers. Given a continuous m-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, define

$$\Delta_k^n P: \mathcal{P}(^k F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(^{mnk} E), \quad \Delta_k^n P(q)(x) = q(P(x))^n.$$

This concept recovers the classical adjoint of a linear operator and the Aron-Schottenloher adjoint of a homogeneous polynomial as follows:

- for $u \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$, $u^* = \Delta_1^1 u$;
- for $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^mE; F)$, $P^* = \Delta_1^1 P$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. (a) $\Delta_k^n P$ is a well defined continuous n-homogeneous polynomial, that is, $\Delta_k^n P \in \mathcal{P}(^n\mathcal{P}(^kF), \mathcal{P}(^{mnk}E))$, and $\|\Delta_k^n P\| = \|P\|^{kn}$.

(b) Δ_k^n is a continuous kn-homogeneous polynomial, that is,

$$\Delta_k^n \in \mathcal{P}({}^{kn}\mathcal{P}({}^{m}E;F);\mathcal{P}({}^{n}\mathcal{P}({}^{k}F);\mathcal{P}({}^{mkn}E))),$$

and $\|\Delta_k^n\| = 1$.

This result generalizes the following facts: $u^* \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$, $P^* \in \mathcal{L}(F^*; \mathcal{P}(^mE))$, $||u^*|| = ||u||$, $||P^*|| = ||P||$, and the correspondences $u \mapsto u^*$ and $P \mapsto P^*$ are norm 1 linear operators.

PROOF. (a) We just prove the norm equality. Calling on (1) for the first time,

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_k^n P\| &= \sup_{\|q\| \le 1} \|\Delta_k^n P(q)\| = \sup_{\|q\| \le 1} \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} |\Delta_k^n P(q)(x)| \\ &= \sup_{\|q\| \le 1} \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} |q(P(x))|^n = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \sup_{\|q\| \le 1} |q(P(x))|^n \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \|P(x)\|^{kn} = \|P\|^{kn}. \end{split}$$

(b) Use (a) and note that the map $A: \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)^{kn} \to \mathcal{P}(^n\mathcal{P}(^kF); \mathcal{P}(^{mkn}E))$ given by

$$A(P_1, ..., P_k, ..., P_{nk})(q)(x)$$

= $\check{q}(P_1(x), ..., P_k(x)) \cdots \check{q}(P_{(n-1)k+1}(x), ..., P_{kn}(x)),$

is a continuous kn-linear operator that generates Δ_k^n .

To describe the behavior of Δ_k^n with respect to the algebraic operations, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.3. Given a polynomial $R \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, there is a polynomial $W_R \in \mathcal{P}(^m(E \times E); F)$ such that:

- (a) $R(x + y) = R(x) + R(y) + W_R(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in E$;
- (b) $W_R = 0$ if and only if m = 1.

PROOF. Assume, without loss of generality, that $R \neq 0$. For m = 1 simply take $W_R = 0$. For m > 1, from [11, Lemma 1.9] we have

$$R(x+y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} {m \choose j} \check{R}(x^{(j)}, y^{(m-j)})$$

= $R(x) + R(y) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} {m \choose j} \check{R}(x^{(j)}, y^{(m-j)}),$

where $\check{R}(x^{(j)}, y^{(m-j)}) = \check{R}(x, \stackrel{(j)}{\dots}, x, y, \stackrel{(m-j)}{\dots}, y)$. The first statement follows from the fact that the map

$$W_R: E \times E \longrightarrow F, \quad W_R(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} {m \choose j} \check{R}(x^{(j)}, y^{(m-j)}),$$

is a continuous *m*-homogeneous polynomial. Indeed, W_R is generated by the continuous *m*-linear operator $A: (E \times E)^m \to F$ given by

$$A((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_m, y_m))$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} {m \choose j} \check{R}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j, y_{j+1}, y_{j+2}, \dots, y_m).$$

It is clear that $W_R = 0$ if m = 1. Now suppose that $W_R = 0$. In this case we have R(x + y) = R(x) + R(y) for all $x, y \in E$. Let $x_0 \in E$ be such that $R(x_0) \neq 0$. Since R is an m-homogeneous polynomial, $2^m R(x_0) = R(2x_0) = 2R(x_0)$, from which it follows that m = 1.

The next result, which follows from Lemma 1.3 and Proposition 1.2, generalizes the formulas $(u + \lambda v)^* = u^* + \lambda v^*$, $(P + \lambda Q)^* = P^* + \lambda Q^*$ and shows that the classical correspondences $u \mapsto u^*$ and $P \mapsto P^*$ are the only ones that are linear.

PROPOSITION 1.4. (a) There is a kn-homogeneous polynomial $W_{\Delta_k^n}$ from $\mathcal{P}(^mE; F) \times \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ to $\mathcal{P}(^n\mathcal{P}(^kF); \mathcal{P}(^{mkn}E))$ such that

$$\Delta_k^n(P+Q) = \Delta_k^n P + \Delta_k^n Q + W_{\Delta_k^n}(P,Q)$$

for all $P, O \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$.

(b) $\Delta_k^n(P+Q) = \Delta_k^n P + \Delta_k^n Q$ for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ if and only if k = n = 1

(c)
$$\Delta_k^n(\lambda P) = \lambda^{kn} \Delta_k^n P$$
 for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$.

The correspondences $u \mapsto u^*$ and $P \mapsto P^*$ are injective. To investigate the injectivity of the general correspondence $P \mapsto \Delta_k^n P$, since it is a homogeneous polynomial, we have first to recall when a homogeneous polynomial can be injective. The following is well known:

LEMMA 1.5. If there exists an injective polynomial in $\mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, then either m = 1 or m is odd and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$.

Bearing the lemma above in mind, the next result shows that the correspondence $P \mapsto \Delta_k^n P$ is injective whenever it can be injective.

PROPOSITION 1.6. If either k = n = 1 or kn is odd and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then the correspondence $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F) \mapsto \Delta_k^n P \in \mathcal{P}(^n\mathcal{P}(^kF); \mathcal{P}(^{mkn}E))$ is injective.

PROOF. The case k = n = 1 is well known (alternatively, it follows from Proposition 1.2). Let kn be odd, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $P_1 \neq P_2$. Take $x_0 \in E$ such that $P_1(x_0) \neq P_2(x_0)$ and, by Hahn-Banach, let $y^* \in F^*$ be such that $y^*(P_1(x_0)) \neq y^*(P_2(x_0))$. Therefore $(y^*)^k \in \mathcal{P}({}^kF)$ and

$$(\Delta_k^n P_1)((y^*)^k)(x_0) = y^*(P_1(x_0))^{kn} \neq y^*(P_2(x_0))^{kn} = (\Delta_k^n P_2)((y^*)^k)(x_0).$$

Now we show that the formulas $(u \circ v)^* = v^* \circ u^*$ and $(u \circ P)^* = P^* \circ u^*$ are particular instances of a much more general formula.

PROPOSITION 1.7. Let $m, n, k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^rF; G)$, then

$$\Delta_k^{ns}(Q \circ P) = \Delta_{rnk}^s P \circ \Delta_k^n Q.$$

PROOF. For $q \in \mathcal{P}({}^kG)$ and $x \in E$,

$$(\Delta_{rnk}^s P \circ \Delta_k^n Q)(q)(x) = (\Delta_{rnk}^s P)(\Delta_k^n Q(q))(x) = [\Delta_k^n Q(q)(P(x))]^s$$
$$= q(Q(P(x)))^{ns} = q((Q \circ P)(x))^{ns}$$
$$= \Delta_k^{ns}(Q \circ P)(q)(x).$$

If a linear operator u is surjective (respectively, an isomorphism), then its adjoint u^* is injective (respectively, an isomorphism). Now we give more general versions of these facts. The reader should keep in mind the restrictions given in Lemma 1.5 for a homogeneous polynomial to be injective.

PROPOSITION 1.8. (a) Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ be a surjective polynomial. If either n = 1 or n is odd and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\Delta_k^n P$ is injective for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (b) If $j: G \twoheadrightarrow E$ is a metric surjection, then $\Delta_k^1 j$ is a metric injection for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) If $u \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ is an (isometric) isomorphism, then $\Delta_k^1 u$ is an (isometric) isomorphism and $(\Delta_k^1 u)^{-1} = \Delta_k^1 (u^{-1})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. (a) The case n=1 is easy and we omit it. In the case n odd and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$, $\Delta_k^n P$ is a continuous n-homogeneous polynomial between real Banach spaces. Let $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{P}({}^k F)$ be such that $\Delta_k^n P(q_1) = \Delta_k^n P(q_2)$. Then $q_1(P(x))^n = q_2(P(x))^n$, hence $q_1(P(x)) = q_2(P(x))$ for every $x \in E$. Since P is surjective we have $q_1 = q_2$.

(b) Denoting by \mathring{B}_E the open unit ball of E, since $j(\mathring{B}_G) = \mathring{B}_E$ [18, B.3.6],

$$\|\Delta_k^1 j(q)\| = \|q \circ j\| = \sup_{\|x\| < 1} |q(j(x))| = \sup_{\|y\| < 1} |q(y)| = \|q\|$$

for every $q \in \mathcal{P}({}^kE)$.

(c) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (a) we know that $\Delta_k^1 u$ is injective. Given $R \in \mathcal{P}({}^k E)$, $S := R \circ u^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}({}^k F)$ and

$$\Delta_k^1 u(S)(x) = S(u(x)) = (R \circ u^{-1})(u(x)) = R(x)$$

for every $x \in E$, proving that $\Delta_k^1 u$ is bijective. Since $\Delta_k^1 u$ is a continuous linear operator between Banach spaces, it follows from the open mapping theorem that $\Delta_k^1 u$ is an isomorphism. Now suppose that u is an isometric isomorphism. Then $||x|| \le 1 \Leftrightarrow ||u(x)|| \le 1$, from which it follows that

$$\|\Delta_k^1 u(q)\| = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} |q(u(x))| = \sup_{\|u(x)\| \le 1} |q(u(x))| = \|q\|$$

for every $q \in \mathcal{P}({}^kF)$. Considering the chains of operators

$$E \xrightarrow{u} F \xrightarrow{u^{-1}} E \xrightarrow{u} F$$

and

$$\mathscr{P}({}^k\!F) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k^1 u} \mathscr{P}({}^k\!E) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k^1 (u^{-1})} \mathscr{P}({}^k\!F) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k^1 u} \mathscr{P}({}^k\!E),$$

from

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{1}(u^{-1} \circ u) = \Delta_{\nu}^{1} \operatorname{id}_{E} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{P}(k_{E})}$$
 and $\Delta_{\nu}^{1}(u \circ u^{-1}) = \Delta_{\nu}^{1} \operatorname{id}_{E} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathscr{P}(k_{E})}$

it follows that

$$\Delta_k^1 u \circ \Delta_k^1 (u^{-1}) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{P}(k_E)}$$
 and $\Delta_k^1 (u^{-1}) \circ \Delta_k^1 u = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{P}(k_F)}$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ and let $J_E: E \to E^{**}$ be the canonical embedding. Our next purpose is to show that the well known commutative diagram

$$E \xrightarrow{u} F$$

$$J_{E} \downarrow J_{F}$$

$$E^{**} \xrightarrow{u^{**}} F^{**}$$

holds true at a very high level of generality. First we need the following generalization of the canonical embedding J_E .

LEMMA 1.9. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map

$$J_E^{m,n}: E \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(^m \mathcal{P}(^n E)), \quad J_E^{m,n}(x)(q) = q(x)^m,$$

is a continuous mn-homogeneous polynomial and $||J_E^{m,n}(x)|| = ||x||^{mn}$ for every $x \in E$.

It is clear that $J_E^{1,1} = J_E$.

PROOF. For $x_1, \ldots, x_{mn} \in E$, the map $B: \mathcal{P}(^nE)^m \to \mathbb{K}$,

$$B(x_1, \ldots, x_{mn})(q_1, \ldots, q_m) = \check{q}_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \cdots \check{q}_m(x_{(m-1)n+1}, \ldots, x_{mn}),$$

is a continuous *m*-linear operator, so the map $A: E^{mn} \to \mathcal{P}(^m\mathcal{P}(^nE))$ given by

$$A(x_1,...,x_{mn})(q) = \check{q}(x_1,...,x_n)\check{q}(x_{n+1},...,x_{2n})\cdots\check{q}(x_{(m-1)n+1},...,x_{mn}),$$

is a continuous mn-linear operator, and $J_E^{m,n}(x)(q) = A(x^{mn})(q)$ for all $x \in E$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(^nE)$. For $x \in E$, from (1) we get

$$||J_E^{m,n}(x)|| = \sup_{\|a\| \le 1} |J_E^{m,n}(x)(q)| = \sup_{\|a\| \le 1} |q(x)^m| = ||x||^{mn}.$$

The classical linear commutative diagram is a very particular case of the next one.

PROPOSITION 1.10. For any $m, n, k, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$egin{aligned} E & \longrightarrow & F \ J_E^{r,mnk} & & & & \downarrow J_F^{nrs,k} \ & \mathcal{P}(r\mathcal{P}(^{mnk}E)) & \stackrel{\Delta_r^s(\Delta_k^nP)}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{P}(^{nrs}\mathcal{P}(^kF)). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For $x \in E$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}({}^kF)$,

$$\begin{split} \left(\Delta_r^s(\Delta_k^n P) \circ J_E^{r,mnk}\right)(x)(q) \\ &= \Delta_r^s(\Delta_k^n P) \left(J_E^{r,mnk}(x)\right)(q) = \left[J_E^{r,mnk}(x)(\Delta_k^n P(q))\right]^s \\ &= \left[\Delta_k^n P(q)(x)\right]^{rs} = q(p(x))^{nrs} = J_E^{nrs,k}(P(x))(q) \\ &= \left(J_F^{nrs,k} \circ P\right)(x)(q). \end{split}$$

Adjoints of linear operators are always weak*-weak*-continuous. To generalize this fact we must say what we mean by the weak* topology on $\mathcal{P}({}^kE)$.

DEFINITION 1.11. By the *weak* topology* on $\mathcal{P}({}^kE)$ we mean the topology on $\mathcal{P}({}^kE)$ induced by the weak* topology of $(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}E)^*$ via the topological isomorphism

$$L_k^E : \mathcal{P}(^k E) \longrightarrow (\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s} E)^*, \quad L_k^E(q) = q_L,$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s} E$ is the (completed) k-fold projective symmetric tensor product of E and q_L is the linearization of the polynomial q (see [12], [19]). As usual, for $x \in E$ we write $\bigotimes^k x = x \bigotimes^{(k)} \bigotimes x$.

PROPOSITION 1.12. For all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, the polynomial $\Delta^n_k P \colon \mathcal{P}(^kF) \to \mathcal{P}(^{mnk}E)$ is weak*-weak*-continuous.

PROOF. Let $(q_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ be a net in $\mathscr{P}({}^{k}F)$ such that $q_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} q \in \mathscr{P}({}^{k}F)$. By Definition 1.11, $(q_{\lambda})_{L} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} q_{L}$ in $(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}F)^{*}$, that is,

$$(q_{\lambda})_L(z) \longrightarrow q_L(z)$$
, for every $z \in \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s} F$.

It follows that, if $w = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j \otimes^{mnk} x_j \in \otimes_{\pi}^{mnk,s} E$, then

$$[q_{\lambda}(P(x_j))]^n = [(q_{\lambda})_L(\otimes^k P(x_j))]^n \longrightarrow [q_L(\otimes^k P(x_j))]^n = [q(P(x_j))]^n,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, r$, hence

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{n} P(q_{\lambda})(x_{i}) \longrightarrow \Delta_{\nu}^{n} P(q)(x_{i}), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (2)

So,

$$\begin{split} [\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L(w) &= [\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \otimes^{mnk} x_j \bigg) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j [\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L (\otimes^{mnk} x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)(x_j) \\ &\xrightarrow{(2)} \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \Delta_k^n P(q)(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j [\Delta_k^n P(q)]_L (\otimes^{mnk} x_j) \\ &= [\Delta_k^n P(q)]_L \bigg(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \otimes^{mnk} x_j \bigg) = [\Delta_k^n P(q)]_L(w). \end{split}$$

Since the net $(q_{\lambda})_L$ is bounded and

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L\| &= \|[\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]^\vee\| \\ &\leq \frac{(mnk)^{mnk}}{(mnk)!} \|\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)\| \leq \frac{(mnk)^{mnk}}{(mnk)!} \|\Delta_k^n P\| \cdot \|q_\lambda\|^n \end{aligned}$$

for every λ , we have that the net $([\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L)_\lambda$ is bounded as well. Combining this boundedness with the convergence $[\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L(w) \to [\Delta_k^n P(q)]_L(w)$ for every $w \in \bigotimes_{\pi}^{mnk,s} E$, a standard approximation argument gives $[\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda)]_L \to [\Delta_k^n P(q)]_L$ in $(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{\pi}^{mnk,s} E)^*$, that is, $\Delta_k^n P(q_\lambda) \xrightarrow{w^*} \Delta_k^n P(q)$ in $\mathcal{P}(^{mnk}E)$.

It is well known that the converse of the result above holds in the linear case, that is, every weak*-weak*-continuous linear operator from F^* to E^* is the adjoint of some operator from E to F. This is also true for the Aron-Schottenloher adjoint of a homogeneous polynomial: if T is a weak*-weak*-continuous linear operator from F^* to $\mathcal{P}(^mE)$, then there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ such that $\Delta_1^1 P = P^* = T$ (see the proof of [6, Corollary 2.3]). Our next purpose is to show that this converse is no longer true in the generalized case.

LEMMA 1.13. If $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, then $L^E_{mk} \circ \Delta^1_k P \circ (L^F_k)^{-1} = [(\delta^k_F \circ P)_L]^*$, that is, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\mathcal{P}({}^{k}F) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{k}^{1}P} \mathcal{P}({}^{mk}E)$$

$$\downarrow^{L_{mk}^{E}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{L_{mk}^{E}}$$

$$\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}F\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{\left[(\delta_{F}^{k}\circ P)_{L}\right]^{*}} \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{mk,s}E\right)^{*}.$$

PROOF. Note that, since $\delta_F^k \circ P \in \mathscr{P}({}^{mk}E; \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}F)$, we have $(\delta_F^k \circ P)_L \in \mathscr{L}(\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{mk,s}E; \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}F)$. So, for every $\varphi \in (\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}F)^*$,

$$\begin{split} \left[L_{mk}^{E} \circ \Delta_{k}^{1} P \circ (L_{k}^{F})^{-1}\right] (\varphi) &= L_{mk}^{E} (\Delta_{k}^{1} P ((L_{k}^{F})^{-1} (\varphi))) = L_{mk}^{E} (\Delta_{k}^{1} P (\varphi \circ \delta_{F}^{k})) \\ &= L_{mk}^{E} (\varphi \circ \delta_{F}^{k} \circ P) = (\varphi \circ \delta_{F}^{k} \circ P)_{L} \\ &= \varphi \circ (\delta_{F}^{k} \circ P)_{L} = \left[(\delta_{F}^{k} \circ P)_{L}\right]^{*} (\varphi). \end{split}$$

Now we are in the position to show that, even in the case n=1, the converse of Proposition 1.12 does not hold. This establishes that, not only regarding proofs, but also regarding results, the generalized and the classical theories are not identical.

PROPOSITION 1.14. Let k > 1 and suppose that there exists a surjective polynomial $R \in \mathcal{P}(^{mk}E; \ell_1)$. Then there exists a weak*-weak*-continuous operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(^k\ell_1); \mathcal{P}(^{mk}E))$ such that $T \neq \Delta_t^1 P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; \ell_1)$.

PROOF. As $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}\ell_1$ is topologically isomorphic to $\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k}\ell_1$ [1, Lemma 5.2] and the latter space is topologically isomorphic to ℓ_1 , we can consider a topological isomorphism $I:\ell_1\to\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}\ell_1$. Since $R\in \mathcal{P}(^{mk}E;\ell_1)$ is a surjective polynomial, $Q:=I\circ R$ is surjective as well. Define

$$T := (L_{mk}^E)^{-1} \circ (Q_L)^* \circ L_k^F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(^kF); \mathcal{P}(^{mk}E)),$$

and note that T is weak*-weak*-continuous. Suppose that there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; \ell_1)$ such that $T = \Delta_k^1 P$. In this case, Lemma 1.13 gives $(Q_L)^* = [(\delta_F^k \circ P)_L]^*$, hence $Q = \delta_F^k \circ P$, that is, $Q(x) = \bigotimes^k P(x)$ for every $x \in E$. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}\ell_1 = Q(E) \subseteq \bigotimes_{\pi}^{k,s}\ell_1 \subseteq \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi}^{k,s}\ell_1,$$

from which we would conclude that the incomplete space $\otimes_{\pi}^{k,s} \ell_1$ is complete. This contradiction completes the proof.

The next example completes the failure of the converse of Proposition 1.12.

EXAMPLE 1.15. Let $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that mk is odd and k > 1. The map

$$R: \ell_{mk} \longrightarrow \ell_1, \quad R((\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) = (\lambda_j^{mk})_{j \in \mathbb{N}},$$

is a surjective continuous mk-homogeneous polynomial in both the real and complex cases. By Proposition 1.14 there exists a weak*-weak*-continuous operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}({}^k\ell_1); \mathcal{P}({}^{mk}\ell_{mk}))$ such that $T \neq \Delta_k^1 P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^m\ell_{mk}; \ell_1)$.

Now that we know, as expected, that linear results may fail in the general theory, we proceed in the opposite direction, namely, our next aim is to show that results that are unsuspected in the linear case hold in the generalized theory.

Given $x^* \in E^*$ and $y \in F$, by $(x^*)^m \otimes b$ we mean the *m*-homogeneous polynomial defined by

$$((x^*)^m \otimes b)(x) = x^*(x)^m b$$
, for every $x \in E$.

Linear combinations of polynomials of this kind are called *m*-homogeneous polynomials of finite type (see [11]). According to [11, page 42], a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ is of finite type if and only if P is a linear combination of polynomials of the type

$$x \in E \mapsto x_1^*(x) \cdots x_m^*(x)b,$$

where $x_1^*, \ldots, x_m^* \in E^*$ and $b \in F$.

A homogeneous polynomial, like any other nonlinear map between linear spaces, has *finite rank* if the subspace generated by its range in the target space is finite dimensional (see [17]). It is well known that a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ has finite rank if and only if P is a linear combination of polynomials of the type $x \in E \mapsto q(x)b$, where $q \in \mathcal{P}(^mE)$ and $b \in F$. Of course, polynomials of finite type have finite rank.

According to what happens with the adjoint of a linear operator and with the Aron-Schottenloher adjoint of a homogeneous polynomial (see [6, Lemma 2.1]), it is expected that if the polynomial P is of finite type (has finite rank, respectively), then $\Delta_k^n P$ is of finite type (has finite rank, respectively) as well. For linear operators, being of finite type is the same of being of finite rank, and this is the reason why the following more general property has been disclosed only in our generalized setting.

PROPOSITION 1.16. If the polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$ has finite rank, then $\Delta^n_k P$ is of finite type for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_1, \ldots, P_\ell \in \mathcal{P}(^mE)$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_\ell \in F$ be such that $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P_j(x)b_j$ for every $x \in E$. For $q \in \mathcal{P}(^kF)$ and $x \in E$, from the Leibniz Formula [16, Theorem 1.8] and the Multinomial Formula [3, page 33], we have

$$\begin{split} [\Delta_{k}^{n}P](q)(x) &= q(P(x))^{n} \\ &= \left[q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P_{j}(x)b_{j} \right) \right]^{n} = \left[\check{q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} P_{j}(x)b_{j} \right)^{(k)} \right]^{n} \\ &= \left[\sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{\ell}=k} \frac{k!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} k_{i}!} P_{1}(x)^{k_{1}} \cdots P_{\ell}(x)^{k_{\ell}} \check{q} \left(b_{1}^{(k_{1})}, \dots, b_{\ell}^{(k_{\ell})} \right) \right]^{n} \\ &= \sum_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{\ell}=k} \alpha_{k_{1},\dots,k_{\ell}} \left(\frac{n!}{\prod_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{\ell}=k} \alpha_{k_{1},\dots,k_{\ell}}!} \right) \prod_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{\ell}=k} C_{\alpha,k_{1},\dots,k_{\ell}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$C_{\alpha,k_{1},...,k_{\ell}} = \left[\left(k! / \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} k_{i}! \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i}(x)^{k_{i}} \right) \check{q} \left(b_{1}^{(k_{1})}, \ldots, b_{\ell}^{(k_{\ell})} \right) \right]^{\alpha_{k_{1},...,k_{\ell}}}.$$

Then

$$\prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\ell} = k} C_{\alpha, k_1, \dots, k_{\ell}}
= \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\ell} = k} \left[\frac{k!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i(x)^{k_i} \right) \check{q} \left(b_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, b_{\ell}^{(k_{\ell})} \right) \right]^{\alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell}}}
= \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\ell} = k} \left[\left(\frac{k!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i!} \right)^{\alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} P_i(x)^{k_i \alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell}}} \right) \right.
\times \check{q} \left(b_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, b_{\ell}^{(k_{\ell})} \right)^{\alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell}}} \right]
= (k!)^n K_{\alpha, k} P_{\alpha, k}(x) Q_{\alpha, k}(q),$$

where

$$K_{\alpha,k} = \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k} \left(1 / \left(\prod_{i=1}^\ell k_i! \right)^{\alpha_{k_1,\dots,k_\ell}} \right),$$

$$P_{\alpha,k}(x) = \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^\ell P_i(x)^{k_i \alpha_{k_1,\dots,k_\ell}} \right),$$

$$Q_{\alpha,k}(q) = \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k} \left[\check{q} \left(b_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, b_\ell^{(k_\ell)} \right)^{\alpha_{k_1,\dots,k_\ell}} \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} [\Delta_k^n P](q)(x) &= \sum_{\substack{\sum \\ k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k}} \alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_\ell} = n} \Theta_{\alpha, k} P_{\alpha, k}(x) Q_{\alpha, k}(q) \\ &= \bigg(\sum_{\substack{\sum \\ k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k}} \alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_\ell} = n} \Theta_{\alpha, k} P_{\alpha, k} Q_{\alpha, k} \bigg)(q)(x), \end{split}$$

where

$$\Theta_{\alpha,k} = \left(\frac{n!(k!)^n}{\prod_{k_1+\dots+k_\ell=k} \alpha_{k_1,\dots,k_\ell}!}\right) K_{\alpha,k}.$$

Note that $P_{\alpha,k} \in \mathcal{P}(^{mnk}E)$ and the polynomial $Q_{\alpha,k} \in \mathcal{P}(^{n}\mathcal{P}(^{k}F))$ is of finite type because

$$Q_{\alpha,k} = \prod_{k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = k} \left[\Psi_{(b_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, b_\ell^{(k_\ell)})} \right]^{\alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_\ell}},$$

where

$$\Psi_{(b_1^{(k_1)},...,b_\ell^{(k_\ell)})} \colon \mathscr{P}({}^k\!F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \Psi_{(b_1^{(k_1)},...,b_\ell^{(k_\ell)})}(q) = \check{q}\big(b_1^{(k_1)},\dots,b_\ell^{(k_\ell)}\big)$$

is a continuous linear functional. It follows that $\Delta_k^n P$ is of finite type.

We finish this section with a partial converse of the proposition above. The proof is illustrative of the interplay between linear and nonlinear arguments.

PROPOSITION 1.17. The following are equivalent for a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$:

- (a) P has finite rank;
- (b) $\Delta_k^1 P$ is a finite rank operator for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\Delta_k^1 P$ is a finite rank operator for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows from Proposition 1.16 and (b) \Rightarrow (c) is obvious. To prove (c) \Rightarrow (a), assume that $\Delta_k^1 P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(^kF); \mathcal{P}(^{mk}E))$ has finite rank. Since the class of finite rank operators is an operator ideal, calling on Lemma 1.13 once again we conclude that the linear operator $\left[(\delta_F^k \circ P)_L\right]^* \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(\widehat{\otimes}_\pi^{k,s}F\right)^*; \left(\widehat{\otimes}_\pi^{mk,s}E\right)^*\right)$ has finite rank. But the ideal of finite rank operators is completely symmetric [18, Proposition 4.4.7], so $(\delta_F^k \circ P)_L \in \mathcal{L}\left(\widehat{\otimes}_\pi^{mk,s}E; \widehat{\otimes}_\pi^{k,s}F\right)$ has finite rank. Now, combining [5, Proposition 3.2(b)] and [17, Proposition 3.1(b)] we get that the polynomial $\delta_F^k \circ P$ has finite rank, from which we conclude that the range of $\delta_F^k \circ P$ does not contain infinitely many linearly independent vectors.

Suppose that P has not finite rank, that is, there are $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq E$ such that the set $\{P(x_1), P(x_2), P(x_3), \ldots\}$ is linearly independent in F. By [20, Proposition 1.1] it follows that the set $\{P(x_{i_1}) \otimes P(x_{i_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes P(x_{i_k}) : i_1, i_2, \ldots i_k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is linearly independent in $\otimes^k F$, so its subset $\{\otimes^k P(x_1), \otimes^k P(x_2), \otimes^k P(x_3), \ldots\}$ is linearly independent in the range of $\delta_F^k \circ P$. This contradiction shows that P has finite rank.

Many other results related to the ones proved in this section can be obtained. We refrain from going further because we believe that thus far the reader is convinced that the $\Delta_k^n P$'s are genuine generalizations of u^* and P^* and that the general theory deserves to be investigated.

2. Applications to composition operators

In this section we show how our generalized adjoints can be used to take several results on composition operators due to Lindström and Schlüchtermann [15] beyond their original scope.

Operator ideals will be taken in the sense of Pietsch [10], [18], ideals of homogeneous polynomials (polynomial ideals) in the sense of García and Floret [13], two-sided polynomial ideals in the sense of [8] and polynomial hyper-ideals in the sense of [7]. For the sake of the reader, we recall these concepts next.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathcal{Q} be a subclass of the class of homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces such that, for every m and any Banach spaces E and F, the component

$$\mathcal{Q}(^{m}E; F) := \mathcal{P}(^{m}E; F) \cap \mathcal{Q}$$

is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{P}(^{m}E; F)$ containing the polynomials of finite type. The class \mathcal{Q} is said to be:

- (a) a polynomial ideal if $t \circ P \circ u \in \mathcal{Q}({}^mE; H)$ whenever $t \in \mathcal{L}(G; H)$, $P \in \mathcal{Q}({}^mF; G)$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$;
- (b) a polynomial hyper-ideal if $t \circ P \circ Q \in \mathcal{Q}(^{mn}E; H)$ whenever $t \in \mathcal{L}(G; H), P \in \mathcal{Q}(^{m}F; G)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^{n}E; F)$;
- (c) a polynomial two-sided ideal if $R \circ P \circ Q \in \mathcal{Q}(^{mnk}E; H)$ whenever $R \in \mathcal{P}(^kG; H), P \in \mathcal{Q}(^mF; G)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$.

Suppose that there is a function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}} \colon \mathscr{Q} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose restriction to each component $\mathscr{Q}(^mE; F)$ is a complete norm and such that $\|\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \mapsto \lambda^m\|_{\mathscr{Q}} = 1$ for every m. $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ is said to be:

- (a') A Banach polynomial ideal if, in (a), $||t \circ P \circ u||_{\mathscr{D}} \leq ||t|| \cdot ||P||_{\mathscr{D}} \cdot ||u||$;
- (b') A Banach polynomial hyper-ideal if, in (b), $||t \circ P \circ Q||_{\mathscr{Q}} \leq ||t|| \cdot ||P||_{\mathscr{Q}} \cdot ||Q||^m$;
- (c') A Banach polynomial two-sided ideal if, in (c), $||R \circ P \circ Q||_{2} \leq ||R|| \cdot ||P||_{2}^{k} \cdot ||Q||^{mk}$.

Just to illustrate that the three concepts above are worthy of consideration, we mention that: (i) the class of nuclear polynomials is a polynomial ideal that fails to be a hyper-ideal; (ii) the class of weakly compact polynomials is a hyper-ideal that fails to be a two-sided ideal; (iii) the class of compact polynomials is a two-sided ideal.

The proof of the following lemma is easy and we omit it.

LEMMA 2.2. Let E, F, E_1, F_1 be Banach spaces and $m, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $R \in \mathcal{P}(^rE; F)$, $B \in \mathcal{P}(^sE_1; F_1)$ are non-zero polynomials and $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a two-sided polynomial Banach ideal, then the map

$$S_{RB}: \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^{mrs}E_1; F), \quad S_{RB}(P) = R \circ P \circ B,$$

is a well defined continuous r-homogeneous polynomial.

The dual of an operator ideal \mathcal{I} is the operator ideal defined by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{dual}}(E; F) = \{ u \in \mathcal{L}(E; F) : u^* \in \mathcal{I}(F^*; E^*) \},$$

and the polynomial dual of an operator ideal \mathcal{I} is the polynomial ideal defined by (see [4])

$$\mathscr{I}^{\mathscr{P}\text{-dual}}({}^{m}E;F) = \{P \in \mathscr{P}({}^{m}E;F) : P^{*} \in \mathscr{I}(F^{*};\mathscr{P}({}^{m}E))\}.$$

Similarly, given a polynomial ideal \mathcal{Q} and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\Delta_k^n \mathcal{Q}(^m E; F) = \{ P \in \mathcal{P}(^m E; F) : \Delta_k^n P \in \mathcal{Q}(^n \mathcal{P}(^k F); \mathcal{P}(^{mnk} E)) \}.$$

To recover the original concepts, note that the linear component \mathcal{Q}_1 of a polynomial ideal \mathcal{Q} is an operator ideal and

$$\Delta_1^1 \mathcal{Q}(E; F) = \Delta_1^1 \mathcal{Q}(^1 E; F) = \mathcal{Q}_1^{\text{dual}}(E; F),$$

$$\Delta_1^1 \mathcal{Q}(^m E; F) = \mathcal{Q}_1^{\mathcal{P}\text{-dual}}(^m E; F).$$

Remark 2.3. The algebraic structure of the class of polynomials $\Delta_n^k \mathcal{Q}$ shall be investigated in a forthcoming paper. For the moment we just mention that, from Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.17, we have the following: (i) for every polynomial ideal \mathcal{Q} , $\Delta_k^n \mathcal{Q}$ contains the polynomials of finite rank for all k and n; (ii) if $\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{F}}$ stands for the ideal of finite rank polynomials, then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{F}} = \Delta_k^1 \mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{F}}$ for every k.

The next result is a polynomial version of [15, Proposition 2.1].

THEOREM 2.4. Let E, F, E_1, F_1 be Banach spaces, $m, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $R \in \mathcal{P}(^rE; F)$ and $B \in \mathcal{P}(^sE_1; F_1)$ be non-zero polynomials. If \mathcal{R} is a polynomial hyper-ideal and $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a two-sided polynomial Banach ideal such that the polynomial

$$S_{RB}: \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^{mrs}E_1; F), \quad S_{RB}(P) = R \circ P \circ B,$$

belongs to \mathcal{R} , then:

- (a) $R \in \mathcal{R}(^{r}E; F)$;
- (b) $B \in \Delta_1^{mr} \mathcal{R}({}^sE_1; F_1)$.

PROOF. (a) Choose $\varphi \in F_1^*$ and $z \in E_1$ such that $\varphi(B(z)) = 1$ and define

$$u_{\varphi}: E \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}({}^{m}F_{1}; E), \quad u_{\varphi}(x) = \varphi^{m} \otimes x,$$

(meaning that $u_{\varphi}(x)(y) = \varphi(y)^m x$),

$$t_z$$
: $\mathcal{Q}(^{mrs}E_1; F) \longrightarrow F$, $t_z(P) = P(z)$.

Using the properties of the ideal norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ we get $\|u_{\varphi}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \|\varphi^m \otimes x\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \|\varphi\|^m \|x\|$ and

$$||t_z(P)|| = ||P(z)|| \le ||P|| \cdot ||z||^{mrs} \le ||P||_{\mathscr{Q}} \cdot ||z||^{mrs},$$

from which we conclude that $u_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}(E; \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E))$ and $t_z \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q}(^{mrs}E_1; F); F)$. For every $x \in E$,

$$(t_z \circ S_{RB} \circ u_{\varphi})(x) = R([\varphi(B(z))]^m x) = R(x),$$

proving that $R = t_z \circ S_{RB} \circ u_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{R}(^rE; F)$ by the ideal property of \mathcal{R} .

(b) Choose $z \in E$ and $\psi \in F^*$ such that $\psi(R(z)) = 1$ and define

$$w_z: F_1^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^m F_1; E), \quad w_z(\varphi) = \varphi^m \otimes z,$$

$$v_{\psi}: \mathcal{Q}(^{mrs} E_1; F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(^{mrs} E_1), v_{\psi}(P) = \psi \circ P.$$

Similarly to the proof of (a) we have that w_z is a continuous *m*-homogeneous polynomial and v_{φ} is a continuous linear operator. For every $\varphi \in F_1^*$,

$$(v_{\psi} \circ S_{RB} \circ w_{z})(\varphi) = \psi(R(z))\Delta_{1}^{mr}B(\varphi) = \Delta_{1}^{mr}B(\varphi),$$

and therefore $\Delta_1^{mr}B \in \mathcal{R}(^{mr}F_1^*; \mathcal{P}(^{mrs}E_1))$.

Our next purpose is to give another polynomial version of [15, Proposition 2.1], with a weaker assumption on the polynomial ideal \mathcal{Q} . A short preparation is needed.

Given $q \in \mathcal{P}(^mE)$ and $y \in F$, by $q \otimes y$ we denote the rank 1 *m*-homogeneous polynomial given by

$$(q \otimes y)(x) = q(x)y$$
, for every $x \in E$.

We say that Banach polynomial ideal $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ contains the finite rank polynomials strongly if for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant K_m such that for any Banach spaces E and $F, q \in \mathcal{P}(^mE)$ and $y \in F$, we have $q \otimes y \in \mathcal{Q}(^mE; F)$ and $\|q \otimes y\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq K_m \|q\| \cdot \|y\|$.

Banach polynomial hyper-ideals contain the finite rank polynomials strongly [8].

Similarly to the definition of $\Delta_k^n \mathcal{Q}$, given an operator ideal \mathcal{A} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\Delta_k^1 \mathcal{A}(^m E; F) = \{ P \in \mathcal{P}(^m E; F) : \Delta_k^1 P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}(^k F); \mathcal{P}(^{mk} E)) \}.$$

As well as Theorem 2.4, the linear case of the next result recovers [15, Proposition 2.1].

THEOREM 2.5. Let E, E_1, F, F_1 be Banach spaces, $R \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(E_1; F_1)$ be non-zero operators. If \mathcal{A} is an operator ideal and $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a Banach polynomial ideal such that the bounded linear operator

$$S_{RB}: \mathcal{Q}(^{m}F_{1}; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^{m}E_{1}; F), \quad S_{RB}(P) = R \circ P \circ B,$$

belongs to \mathcal{A} , then:

- (a) $R \in \mathcal{A}(E; F)$;
- (b) $B \in \Delta_m^1 \mathcal{A}(E_1; F_1)$ if $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ contains the finite rank polynomials strongly.

PROOF. The proof of (a) is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4(a). To prove (b), take $z \in E$ and $\varphi \in F^*$ such that $\varphi(R(z)) = 1$. Since $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a Banach polynomial ideal containing the finite rank polynomials strongly, the maps

$$w_z: \mathcal{P}(^m F_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^m F_1; E), \quad w_z(q) = q \otimes z,$$

and

$$v_{\varphi} \colon \mathscr{Q}(^{m}E_{1}; F) \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(^{m}E_{1}), \quad v_{\varphi}(P) = \varphi \circ P,$$

are well defined linear operators. Their continuity follow from the inequalities

$$||w_z(q)|| = ||q \otimes z||_{\mathscr{Q}} \le K_m ||q|| \cdot ||z||,$$

$$||v_{\varphi}(P)|| = ||\varphi \circ P|| \le ||\varphi|| \cdot ||P|| \le ||\varphi|| \cdot ||P||_{\mathscr{Q}}.$$

It is immediate that $v_{\varphi} \circ S_{RB} \circ w_z = \Delta_m^1 B$, hence $\Delta_m^1 B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}(^m F_1); \mathcal{P}(^m E_1))$.

To give one last application of our generalized adjoints, we extend the classes of operators introduced in [15, Proposition 2.2].

DEFINITION 2.6. Let \mathscr{A} be an operator ideal and $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ be a Banach polynomial ideal containing the finite rank polynomials strongly. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that an operator $R \in \mathscr{L}(E; F)$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}$ if for any Banach spaces E_1 and F_1 and any operator $B \in \Delta^1_m \mathscr{A}(E_1; F_1)$, the operator

$$S_R: \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; F), \quad S_R(P) = R \circ P \circ B,$$

belongs to \mathcal{A} .

According to the terminology of [15], we have $\mathcal{A}_{1,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}$, so the next result recovers [15, Proposition 2.2] as a particular case.

The definition of injective Banach polynomial ideal is the obvious one, namely: a polynomial ideal \mathcal{Q} is *injective* if $P \in \mathcal{Q}(^mE; F)$ whenever $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mE; F)$, $I: F \to G$ is a metric injection and $I \circ P \in \mathcal{Q}(^mE; G)$. And a normed polynomial ideal $(\mathcal{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}})$ is *injective*, if, in addition, $\|P\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \|I \circ P\|_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let \mathcal{A} be a closed operator ideal and $(2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be a Banach polynomial ideal containing the finite rank polynomials strongly. Then:

- (a) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A}_{m \text{ left}}^{\text{comp}}$ is a closed operator ideal contained in \mathcal{A} ;
- (b) If \mathscr{A} and $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ are injective ideals, then $\mathscr{A}_{m, \text{left}}^{\text{comp}}$ is injective as well for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. We skip the proof that $\mathscr{A}_{m,\mathrm{left}}^{\mathrm{comp}}(E;F)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathscr{L}(E;F)$. Let us prove that $\mathscr{A}_{m,\mathrm{left}}^{\mathrm{comp}}(E;F)$ contains the operators of finite type. Let $\varphi \in E^*$, $b \in F$, E_1 , F_1 be Banach space and $B \in \Delta_m^1 \mathscr{A}(E_1;F_1)$. The assumptions on $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ guarantee that the maps

$$\delta_{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{Q}(^{m}F_{1}; E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(^{m}F_{1}), \quad \delta_{\varphi}(P) = \varphi \circ P,$$

$$M_{h} \colon \mathcal{P}(^{m}E_{1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^{m}E_{1}; F), \quad M_{h}(q) = q \otimes b,$$

are well defined continuous linear operators. For all $P \in \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E)$ and $x \in E_1$,

$$S_{\varphi \otimes b}(P)(x) = [(\varphi \otimes b) \circ P \circ B](x) = [(\varphi \circ P \circ B) \otimes b](x)$$
$$= (\varphi \circ P \circ B)(x)b = (M_b \circ \Delta_m^1 B \circ \delta_{\varphi})(P)(x),$$

proving that $S_{\varphi \otimes b} = (M_b \circ \Delta_m^1 B \circ \delta_{\varphi})$ belongs to \mathscr{A} , that is, $\varphi \otimes b$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}$.

To prove the ideal property, G, H be Banach spaces, $A \in \mathcal{L}(G; E)$, $R \in \mathcal{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}(E; F)$ and $C \in \mathcal{L}(F; H)$. Also, let E_1 , F_1 be Banach spaces and $B \in \Delta_m^1 \mathcal{A}(E_1; F_1)$. As we have done before, the maps

$$\delta_A: \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E), \quad \delta_A(P) = A \circ P,$$

 $\delta_C: \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; H), \quad \delta_C(O) = C \circ O,$

are well defined continuous linear operators and

$$S_{C \circ R \circ A}(P) = C \circ R \circ A \circ P \circ B = (\delta_C \circ S_R \circ \delta_A)(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{Q}({}^mF_1; G)$, which proves that $S_{C \circ R \circ A}$ belongs to \mathscr{A} .

Now we check that $\mathcal{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}(E; F)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$. To do so, let $R \in \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ and $(R_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}(E; F)$ such that

 $R_n \to R$ in the usual supremum norm. Then each S_{R_n} belongs to \mathscr{A} and, for every n,

$$||S_{R_n} - S_R|| = \sup_{\|P\|_{\mathscr{Q}} \le 1} ||(R_n - R) \circ P \circ B||_{\mathscr{Q}}$$

$$\le \sup_{\|P\|_{\mathscr{Q}} \le 1} ||R_n - R|| \cdot ||P||_{\mathscr{Q}} \cdot ||B||^m = ||R_n - R|| \cdot ||B||^m,$$

so $S_{Rn} \to S_R \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{Q}(^mF_1; E); \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; F))$ because the ideal \mathcal{A} is closed. Therefore, $R \in \mathcal{A}_{m, \mathrm{left}}^{\mathrm{comp}}(E; F)$.

In order to prove that $\mathscr{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}$ is contained in \mathscr{A} , let $R \in \mathscr{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}(E; F)$ be given. Choose $E_1 = F_1 = \mathbb{K}$, $B = \text{id}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and consider the continuous linear operators

$$\delta: E \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}({}^m F_1; E), \quad \delta(x) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}}^m \otimes x,$$

 $\gamma: \mathcal{Q}({}^m E_1; F) \longrightarrow F, \quad \gamma(P) = P(1).$

Then $R = \gamma \circ S_R \circ \delta$, and, since S_R belongs to \mathscr{A} , it follows that $R \in \mathscr{A}(E; F)$. Finally, assume that the operator ideal \mathscr{A} and the Banach polynomial ideal $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ are injective. Let $R \in \mathscr{L}(E; F)$ and let $I: F \to G$ be a metric injection such that $I \circ R \in \mathscr{A}_{m,\text{left}}^{\text{comp}}(E; G)$. By definition, for all Banach spaces E_1 , F_1 and any $B \in \Delta_m^1 \mathscr{A}(E_1; F_1)$, we have $S_{I \circ R} \in \mathscr{A}(\mathscr{Q}(^mF_1; E); \mathscr{Q}(^mE_1; G))$. By the injectivity of $(\mathscr{Q}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{Q}})$ we know that the operator

$$\delta_I: \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(^mE_1; G), \quad \delta_I(P) = I \circ P,$$

is metric injection, hence the injectivity of \mathscr{A} and $S_{I \circ R} = \delta_I \circ S_R$ yield that S_R belongs to \mathscr{A} , and therefore $R \in \mathscr{A}_{m, \mathrm{left}}^{\mathrm{comp}}(E; F)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arias, A., and Farmer, J. D., On the structure of tensor products of l_p -spaces, Pacific J. Math. 175 (1996), no. 1, 13–37.
- Aron, R. M., and Schottenloher, M., Compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces and the approximation property, J. Functional Analysis 21 (1976), no. 1, 7–30.
- Berge, C., Principles of combinatorics, Translated from the French. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 72, Academic Press, New York-London, 1971.
- Botelho, G., Çalış kan, E., and Moraes, G., The polynomial dual of an operator ideal, Monatsh. Math. 173 (2014), no. 2, 161–174.
- Botelho, G., Pellegrino, D., and Rueda, P., On composition ideals of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 43 (2007), no. 4, 1139–1155.
- Botelho, G., and Polac, L., A polynomial Hutton theorem with applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 415 (2014), no. 1, 294–301.
- Botelho, G., and Torres, E. R., Hyper-ideals of multilinear operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 482 (2015), 1–20.

- 8. Botelho, G., and Torres, E. R., Two-sided polynomial ideals on Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 462 (2018), no. 1, 900–914.
- 9. Çalış kan, E., and Rueda, P., Compactness and s-numbers for polynomials, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 29 (2018), no. 1, 93–107.
- 10. Defant, A., and Floret, K., Tensor norms and operator ideals, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 176, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- 11. Dineen, S., Complex analysis on infinite-dimensional spaces, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999.
- 12. Floret, K., Natural norms on symmetric tensor products of normed spaces, in "Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Functional Analysis (Trier, 1997)", vol. 17, 1997, pp. 153-188.
- 13. Floret, K. and García, D., On ideals of polynomials and multilinear mappings between Banach spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 81 (2003), no. 3, 300–308.
- 14. Lassalle, S., and Turco, P., Polynomials and holomorphic functions on A-compact sets in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 463 (2018), no. 2, 1092–1108.
- 15. Lindström, M., and Schlüchtermann, G., Composition of operator ideals, Math. Scand. 84 (1999), no. 2, 284–296.
- 16. Mujica, J., Complex analysis in Banach spaces: Holomorphic functions and domains of holomorphy in finite and infinite dimensions, Notas de Matemática, 107, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1986.
- 17. Mujica, J., Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991), no. 2, 867–887.
- 18. Pietsch, A., Operator ideals, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 20, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980.
- 19. Ryan, R. A., Applications of topological tensor products to infinite dimensional holomorphy, Ph.D. thesis, Trinity College Dublin, 1980.
- 20. Ryan, R. A., Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002.
- 21. Turco, P., A-compact mappings, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 110 (2016), no. 2, 863-880.

FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA 38.400-902 – UBERLÂNDIA BRAZII. E-mail: botelho@ufu.br

IMECC-UNICAMP 13.083-859 - CAMPINAS BRAZII.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

E-mail: leodan.ac.t@gmail.com