ON THE EQUATION
$$a(x^{m}-1)/(x-1) = b(y^{n}-1)/(y-1)$$

R. BALASUBRAMANIAN and T. N. SHOREY

1.

The equation

$$\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1} = \frac{y^n - 1}{y - 1}$$

has finitely many solutions in integers m>1, n>1, x>1, y>1 with $x \neq y$ if

- (a) x, y fixed (Thue [10], Siegel [9], Baker [1])
- (b) x, n fixed (Siegel [8], Schinzel [7], Coates [3])
- (c) m, n fixed and (m-1, n-1) > 2 (Schinzel [6]).
- (d) m, n fixed (Davenport, Lewis and Schinzel [4]).

Let $P \ge 2$. Denote by S the set of all positive integers composed of primes not exceeding P. Then we shall generalise (a) as follows:

THEOREM 1. The equation

(1)
$$a\frac{x^m-1}{x-1} = b\frac{y^n-1}{y-1}$$

has finitely many solutions in integers m > 1, n > 1, x > 1, y > 1, $a \ge 1$, $b \ge 1$ with x, y, a, b in S, (a,b)=1 and $a(y-1) \ne b(x-1)$. Further bounds for m, n, x, y, a and b can be determined explicitly in terms of P.

Thus there are only finitely many integers with all the digits equal to a in their x-adic expansions and all the digits equal to b in their y-adic expansions. For integers with digits equal to 0 and 1 that occur periodically in their g-adic expansions, theorem 1 gives the following:

COROLLARY. Let g > 1 and $g_1 > 1$ be multiplicatively independent integers. Then the equation

$$\frac{g^{uv}-1}{g^u-1}=\frac{g_1^{u_1v_1}-1}{g_1^{u_1}-1}$$

Received October 18, 1979.

has finitely many solutions in integers $u \ge 1$, $u_1 \ge 1$, v > 1, $v_1 > 1$. Further bounds for u, u_1, v, v_1 can be given explicitly in terms of the greatest prime factor of gg_1 .

2.

The proof of theorem 1 depends on linear forms in logarithms. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be non zero rational numbers of heights not exceeding A_1, \ldots, A_n respectively, where we assume that $A_j \ge 3$ for $1 \le j \le n$. (The height of a rational number m/n with (m,n)=1 is defined as max (|m|,|n|)). Put

$$\Omega' = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \log A_j$$
 and $\Omega = \Omega' \log A_n$.

THEOREM A (Baker [2]). There exist effectively computable absolute constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that the inequalities

$$0 < |\alpha_1^{b_1} \ldots \alpha_n^{b_n} - 1| < \exp\left(-(c_1 n)^{c_2 n} \Omega \log \Omega' \log B\right)$$

have no solution in rational integers b_1, \ldots, b_n with absolute values at most B (≥ 2) .

Theorem B (van der Poorten [5]). Let p>0 be a prime number. There exist effectively computable absolute constants $c_3>0$ and $c_4>0$ such that the inequalities

$$\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \dots \alpha_{n}^{b_{n}} - 1) > (c_{3}n)^{c_{4}n}\Omega (\log B)^{2} \frac{p}{\log p}$$

have no solution in rational integers b_1, \ldots, b_n with absolute values at most $B \ (\geq 2)$.

We note that we could have used older results in place of theorem A and B. In fact we shall apply theorem A with n, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1} fixed and theorem B with n, p, A_1, \ldots, A_n fixed. Further we remark that we shall use theorem A thrice and theorem B twice in the proof of theorem 1.

3.

In this section, we shall prove theorem 1. Let m, n, x, y, a, b be as in the theorem. Assume that they satisfy (1). Write

$$x = p_1^{x_1} \dots p_s^{x_s}, \quad y = p_1^{y_1} \dots p_s^{y_s},$$

 $a = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_s^{a_s}, \quad b = p_1^{b_1} \dots p_s^{b_s}.$

Here p_1, \ldots, p_s are primes $\leq P$ and the exponents of p_1, \ldots, p_s in the factorisation of x, y, a, b are non negative integers not exceeding $2 \log x$, $2 \log y$, $2 \log a$, $2 \log b$ respectively. It is no loss of generality to assume that $m \geq n$. Further the equation (1) gives

(2)
$$ax^{m-1} < nby^{n-1}, by^{n-1} < max^{m-1}$$
.

Denote by c_5, c_6, \ldots effectively computable positive constants depending only on P. Then we have:

LEMMA 1. max
$$(\log a, \log b) \le c_5 (\log (m \log x))^2$$
.

PROOF. First we prove the inequality for $\log b$. Suppose that a prime p divides b. Then, by (1), we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(a\frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1}\right) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p}(x^{m}-1)$$
$$= \operatorname{ord}_{p}(p_{1}^{mx_{1}}\dots p_{s}^{mx_{s}}-1).$$

Now we apply theorem B with $n=s \le P$, $A_1 = A_2 = \ldots = A_s = P$ and $B = 2m \log x$ to the right hand side of the above inequality. We obtain

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p}(b) \leq c_{6}(\log (m \log x))^{2},$$

and hence

$$\log b = \sum_{p \mid b} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(b) \log p \leq c_{7} (\log (m \log x))^{2}.$$

Similarly

$$\log a \le c_8 (\log (n \log y))^2.$$

In view of (2), the lemma follows immediately.

LEMMA 2.

(3)
$$\min(\log x, \log y) \le c_9 \log m$$
.

PROOF. We prove the lemma when $x \le y$. The proof is similar for the case $x \ge y$. Let δ be the smallest positive integer such that $ax^{m-\delta} + by^{n-\delta}$. Observe that $\delta \le n$, since (a, b) = 1. Now it follows from (1) and (2) that

$$0 < |ax^{m-\delta} - by^{n-\delta}| \le max^{m-1-\delta} + nby^{n-1-\delta} \le 2m^2ax^{m-1-\delta}.$$

Thus we have

$$(4) 0 < |p_1^{u_1} \dots p_s^{u_s} - 1| \le 2m^2 x^{-1}$$

where $u_i = b_i - a_i + (n - \delta)y_i - (m - \delta)x_i$ for i = 1, ..., s. By lemma 1 and (2), we find that the integers $|u_i|$ do not exceed $c_{10}m \log x$. Now apply theorem A with $n = s \le P$, $A_1 = A_2 = ... = A_s = P$ and $B = c_{10}m \log x$ to obtain

$$|p_1^{u_1} \dots p_s^{u_s} - 1| > (m \log x)^{-c_{11}}.$$

Now by combining (5) and (4), we get (3). This completes the proof of lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. max $(\log x, \log y) \le c_{1,2} (\log m)^2$.

PROOF. We prove the lemma when $x \le y$. The proof is similar for the case $x \ge y$. From (1), lemma 1 and (2), we have

$$0 \neq \frac{ax^{m}}{x-1} - by^{n-1} = \frac{a}{x-1} + b(y^{n-2} + \dots + 1)$$

$$\leq a + nby^{n-2} \leq y^{n-2} \exp\left(c_{13}(\log(n\log y))^{2}\right).$$

Thus

(6)
$$0 + (p_1^{v_1} \dots p_s^{v_s}(x-1)^{-1} - 1) \le y^{-1} \exp(c_{13}(\log(n\log y))^2),$$

where $v_i = a_i - b_i + mx_i - (n-1)y_i$ for i = 1, ... s. From lemma 1 and (2), we observe that the absolute values of v_i with i = 1, ..., s do not exceed $c_{14}m \log x$ which, in view of lemma 2, is less than $c_{15}m \log m$. Now apply theorem A with $n = s + 1 \le P + 1$, $A_1 = A_2 = ... = A_s = P$, $A_{s+1} = x \le m^{c_9}$ and $B = c_{15}m \log m$ to conclude that

(7)
$$(p_1^{v_1} \dots p_s^{v_s} (x-1)^{-1} - 1) \ge \exp(-c_{16} (\log m)^2).$$

Observe that we have used lemma 2 for a bound for A_{s+1} . Now the lemma follows immediately from (6) and (7).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. From (1) and lemma 1, we have

$$0 \neq \left| \frac{ax^m}{x-1} - \frac{by^n}{y-1} \right| = \left| \frac{a}{x-1} - \frac{b}{y-1} \right| \leq \exp\left(c_{17}(\log (m \log x))^2\right).$$

Thus

(8)
$$0 < \left| p_1^{w_1} \dots p_s^{w_s} \frac{x-1}{y-1} - 1 \right| \le c_{18} x^{-m+\frac{3}{2}},$$

where $w_i = b_i - a_i + ny_i - mx_i$. Observe that the absolute values of the integers w_i do not exceed $c_{19}m \log m$. Now apply theorem A with $n = s + 1 \le P + 1$, $A_1 = A_2 = \ldots = A_s = P$, $B = c_{19}m \log m$ and $A_{s+1} = \max(x-1, y-1) \le \exp(c_{12}(\log m)^2)$.

The last inequality follows from lemma 3. We obtain

(9)
$$\left| p_1^{w_1} \dots p_s^{w_s} \frac{x-1}{y-1} - 1 \right| > \exp\left(-c_{20} (\log m)^3 \right).$$

Combining (9) and (8), we find that $m \le c_{21}$. Now the proof of the theorem is complete in view of lemma 2 and lemma 1.

REMARK. The proof of theorem 1 depends on three approximations that the equation (1) provides. If a=1, b=1, it is sufficient to use only one approximation. Rewriting the equation

$$x\frac{x^{m-1}-1}{x-1}=y\frac{y^{n-1}-1}{y-1},$$

observe that $\operatorname{ord}_p(x) = \operatorname{ord}_p(y)$ for every prime p dividing (x, y). Thus we can write $x = dx_1$, $y = dy_1$ with $(x_1, y_1) = (d, x_1) = (d, y_1) = 1$. From the equation

$$x^{m-1} + \ldots + x^n = (y-x) \left(\frac{y^{n-1} - x^{n-1}}{y-x} + \ldots + 1 \right)$$

and theorem B, we show that $\log d \le c_{22} (\log \log y)^2$. Now using the equation

$$x_1 \frac{x^{m-1} - 1}{x - 1} = y_1 \frac{y^{n-1} - 1}{y - 1}$$

and theorem B along with the above bound for $\log d$, we find that $\log y \le c_{23}(\log m)^2$. This is lemma 3. Now use an approximation, as in theorem 1, to complete the proof.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Baker, Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 65 (1969), 439-444.
- A. Baker, The theory of Linear Forms in Logarithms, in Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications (Eds. A. Baker and D. W. Masser), pp. 1-27, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1977.
- 3. J. Coates, An effective p-adic analogue of a theorem of Thue II: The greatest prime factor of a binary form, Acta Arith. 16 (1970), 399-412.
- 4. H. Davenport, D. J. Lewis and A. Schinzel, Equations of the form f(x) = g(x), Quart J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 12 (1961), 304-312.
- A. J. van der Poorten, Linear Forms in Logarithms in the p-adic Case, in Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications (Eds. A. Baker and D. W. Masser), pp. 29-57, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1977.
- A. Schinzel, An improvement of Runge's theorem on diophantine equations, Comment. Pontificia Acad. Sci. 2 (1969), No. 20, 1-9.
- A. Schinzel, On two theorems of Gel fond and some of their applications, Acta Arith. 13 (1967), 177-236.

- 8. C. L. Siegel, Approximation algebraischer Zahlen, Math. Z. 10 (1921), 173-213.
- 9. C. L. Siegel, The integer solutions of the equation $y^2 = ax^n + bx^{n-1} + ... + k$ (Under the pseudonym X), J. London Math. Soc. 1 (1926), 66-68.
- A. Thue, Über Annäherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 135 (1909), 284– 305

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH
BOMBAY 400 005
INDIA