# ON $L_p$ FOURIER MULTIPLIERS ON CERTAIN SYMMETRIC SPACES

### LARS VRETARE

### 1. Introduction

Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with finite centre and K a maximal compact subgroup of G. We consider the spherical Fourier transform on the symmetric space G/K where G either is complex or has real rank one. A function F is said to be a  $L_n$  Fourier multiplier if

$$(1.1) ||F||_{m_p} = \sup_{0 \neq f \in L_p} ||\check{F} * f||_{L_p} / ||f||_{L_p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

 $\check{F}$  being the inverse Fourier transform of F. We wish to give sufficient conditions for F to be a multiplier (cf. [8]). As an example of our results we mention that the  $m_p$  norm of  $(1+\mu/N^2)^{-\beta}\cos(\mu/N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is uniformly bounded in  $N \geq N_0$  if  $\beta > (n/2)|1/p - \frac{1}{2}|$ . Here  $-\mu$  is the eigenvalue of the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on G/K,  $n = \dim G/K$  and  $N_0$  some constant. (Cf. the classical case of the Fourier series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta} e^{in^{\alpha}} e^{inx} .$$

See [12, p. 201].) Our method (cf. [7], [10]) makes heavy use of a recurrence formula for the elementary spherical functions (Lemma 2.1).

I want to thank my teacher prof. Jaak Peetre for valuable advice and great interest in my work.

# 2. Preliminaries on semisimple Lie groups.

General references for this section are [5], [6] and [11].

Let G = KAN be an Iwasawa decomposition of G and let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the Lie algebras of G, A and N respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in A^+} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  where  $\Delta^+$  is a positive root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$  the root subspace corresponding to  $\alpha$ . Put  $m_{\alpha} = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ ,  $m = \sum_{\alpha \in A^+} m_{\alpha}$ ,  $l = \dim \mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{rank} G/K$  and  $n = \dim G/K$ . Then n = m + l. We regard  $\mathfrak{a}$  as a Euclidean space with the norm  $|h| = (\langle h, h \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  being the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

A function f on G is called spherical if  $f(k_1gk_2) = f(g)$  for all  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ 

and  $g \in G$ . For such functions we formally define the spherical Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(g) \varphi_{-\lambda}(g) dg$$
.

Here  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  is the (real) dual of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\varphi_{\lambda}(g)$  is the elementary spherical function

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(g) = \int_{K} e^{(i\lambda - \varrho)(H(gk))} dk, \quad \varrho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} m_{\alpha} \alpha, \quad g = k \exp H(g) n$$

defined for any  $\lambda$  in  $a_c^* = a^* + ia^*$ . Then holds

$$(f_1 * f_2)^{\hat{}} = \hat{f}_1 \hat{f}_2$$
.

We also define the inverse of the spherical Fourier transform

$$\check{F}(g) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} F(\lambda) \varphi_{\lambda}(g) |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda ,$$

where  $c(\lambda)$  is the c-function of Harish-Chandra which was completely determined by Gindikin and Karpelevič [4]:

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in A^+} \beta(m_\alpha/2, m_{\alpha/2}/4 + \langle i\lambda, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)}{\prod_{\alpha \in A^+} \beta(m_\alpha/2, m_{\alpha/2}/4 + \langle \varrho, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)},$$

where  $\beta(x,y)$  is the Euler beta function. From this formula an estimate for  $(c(\lambda))^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  may be deduced (see [11, vol. II p. 357])

$$|c(\lambda)|^{-1} \le C(1+|\lambda|)^{m/2}, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

Putting

$$D(h) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\sinh \alpha(h))^{m_{\alpha}},$$

the following integral formula holds for spherical functions

$$\int_{G} f(g) dg = C \int_{a} f(\exp h) D(h) dh.$$

C is here a normalizing constant.

We now come to the recurrence formula for  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  which is the point where we have to make restrictions on G. Let W be the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ . We define the spherical function  $\omega$  on A by

$$\omega(\exp h) = \sum_{S \in W} (e^{S\sigma(h)} - 1), \quad h \in \mathfrak{a}$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  is some linear form such that  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  is spanned by the set  $\{S\sigma \; ; \; S \in W\}$  and there exists a  $S \in W$  with  $S\sigma = -\sigma$ . Clearly  $\omega$  may also be written as

$$\omega = 2 \sum_{S \in W} \sinh^2 \frac{1}{2} S \sigma.$$

LEMMA 2.1. If G is complex or of real rank one it is possible to choose  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  such that the following recurrence formula holds for the spherical functions  $\varphi_{\lambda}$ ,

$$\omega \varphi_{\lambda} \, = \, \sum_{S \in \mathcal{W}} \frac{c(S\lambda)}{c(S\lambda - i\sigma)} \varphi_{\lambda - iS^{-1}\sigma} - \sum_{S \in \mathcal{W}} \frac{c(S\lambda)}{c(S\lambda - i\sigma)} \, \varphi_{\lambda} \; .$$

PROOF. In the rank one case suitable identifications give explicite expressions for  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  and  $c(\lambda)$  (see [2], [5])

$$\begin{split} &\varphi_{\lambda}(h) \,=\, F\big((\varrho+i\lambda)/2, (\varrho-i\lambda)/2, n/2, -\sinh^2 h\big), \quad \lambda \in \mathsf{C}, \ h \in \mathsf{R} \ , \\ &c(\lambda) \,=\, 2^{\varrho-i\lambda} \Gamma(n/2) \Gamma(i\lambda)/\Gamma((n-\varrho+i\lambda)/2) \Gamma((\varrho+i\lambda)/2), \quad \lambda \in \mathsf{C} \ . \end{split}$$

Choosing  $\sigma = 2$  the recurrence formula reduces to a well-known property (see [1, p. 103, (32) and (37)]) of the hypergeometric function F(a, b, c, z), namely the relation:

$$\begin{split} zF(a,b,c,z) &= \frac{(b-c)a}{(b-a)(b-a-1)}F(a+1,b-1,c,z) + \\ &+ \frac{(a-c)b}{(b-a)(b-a+1)}F(a-1,b+1,c,z) - \frac{c(1-a-b)+2ab}{(b-a-1)(b-a+1)}F(a,b,c,z) \; . \end{split}$$

In the case of complex G we note that the elementary spherical functions on G/K and the characters of K are formally related by (see [5, p. 304])

$$\big(c(\lambda)\big)^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda}(\exp h) \ = \ \chi_{(i\lambda-o)/2}(2h) \ = \ \big(D(h)\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ \textstyle \sum_{T \in \mathcal{W}} \det T \ e^{iT\lambda(h)}, \quad h \in \mathfrak{a} \ .$$

For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  we get since  $\sum_{S \in W} e^{TS^{-1}\sigma} = \sum_{S \in W} e^{S\sigma} = \omega + |W|$  is independent of T

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{S \in W} (c(\lambda - iS^{-1}\sigma))^{-1} \varphi_{\lambda - iS^{-1}\sigma} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{S, T \in W} \det T e^{iT\lambda + TS^{-1}\sigma}$$
$$= D^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{T \in W} \det T e^{iT\lambda} \cdot \sum_{S \in W} e^{TS^{-1}\sigma} = (c(\lambda))^{-1} \varphi_{\lambda}(\omega + |W|) .$$

By use of the relation  $c(S\lambda) = \det S \, c(\lambda)$  valid for complex G (see [5, p. 304]) the desired formula then follows. (Note that this also implies that  $|W| = \sum_{S \in W} c(S\lambda)/c(S\lambda - i\sigma)$ .)

Let us introduce the difference operator

$$\Delta^{(\mu)} = \Delta_{S_1}^{\mu_1} \dots \Delta_{S_p}^{\mu_p}, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p),$$

where

$$\Delta_{S_j} F(\lambda) \, = \, F(\lambda - i S_j^{-1} \sigma) - F(\lambda) \; , \label{eq:deltaS_j}$$

 $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  being a fixed denumeration of the elements of W, and also the translation operator

$$au^{(\mu)} = au_{S_1}^{\ \mu_1} \dots au_{S_p}^{\ \mu_p}, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p) ,$$

where

$$\tau_{S_i}F(\lambda) = F(\lambda - iS_i^{-1}\sigma)$$
.

Denoting by  $\Delta^K$  any difference operator of order K we have the following Leibniz' rule for taking differences of a product.

$$\varDelta^K F_1 F_2 = \sum_{J \leq K} c_{KJ} \varDelta^{K-J} \tau^J F_1 \varDelta^J F_2$$
 .

From Lemma 2.1 we now deduce

COROLLARY 2.2. If G is complex or of real rank one, then for L > 0

(2.3) 
$$\omega^{L}\varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{0<|\nu|\leq 2L, |\mu|=L} C_{\nu\mu}^{L}(\lambda) \Delta^{(\nu)} \tau^{(-\mu)} \varphi_{\lambda},$$

where  $C_{ru}^{L}(\lambda)$  has the following properties

(2.4) 
$$C_{vu}^{L}(\lambda)(c(\lambda))^{-1}$$
 is nonsingular on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ 

$$(2.5) |C_{\nu\mu}^{L}(\lambda)(c(\lambda))^{-1}| \leq C(1+|\lambda|)^{\frac{1}{2}m+|\nu|-2L} \quad on \ \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

PROOF. To handle the complex case first consider formula (2.2). By assumption there is a  $S \in W$  such that  $S\sigma = -\sigma$ . This implies that (2.2) remains valid if we replace  $\sigma$  by  $-\sigma$ . Adding these two formulas together we get

$$\omega(c(\lambda))^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S \in W} \Delta_S^2 \tau_S^{-1}[(c(\lambda))^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda}].$$

Iteration yields

$$\omega^{L}(c(\lambda))^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{|\mu|=L} c_{\mu} \Delta^{(2\mu)} \tau^{(-\mu)} [(c(\lambda))^{-1}\varphi_{\lambda}].$$

After expansion of the differences to the right according to Leibniz' rule this gives (2.3) with

$$C_{\dots}L(c(\lambda))^{-1} = c_{\dots}\Delta^{(2\mu-\nu)}\tau^{(\nu-\mu)}(c(\lambda))^{-1}$$
.

Since  $(c(\lambda))^{-1}$  is a polynomial of degree  $\frac{1}{2}m$  in the complex case, (2.4) and (2.5) are obvious.

Assume now that rank G/K = 1. The identifications made allow us to write the recurrence formula as

$$\omega \varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=-1}^{1} d_{k}^{1}(\lambda) \varphi_{\lambda-2ik} .$$

Or in terms of differences

$$\omega \varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{k}^{1}(\lambda) \, \Delta^{k} \, \tau^{-1} \, \varphi_{\lambda}$$

writing  $\Delta$  and  $\tau$  for  $\Delta_{\mathrm{Id}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathrm{Id}}$  respectively. Here

$$d_1{}^1\!(\lambda) \,=\, (n-\varrho+i\lambda)(\varrho+i\lambda)/(1+i\lambda)i\lambda \;,$$

$$d_{-1}^{1}(\lambda) = d_{1}^{1}(-\lambda)$$
,

$$\begin{array}{ll} d_0^{\, 1}(\lambda) \, = \, -d_1^{\, 1}(\lambda) - d_1^{\, 1}(-\lambda) \; , \\ c_2^{\, 1}(\lambda) \, = \, d_1^{\, 1}(\lambda) \; , \\ c_1^{\, 1}(\lambda) \, = \, d_1^{\, 1}(\lambda) - d_1^{\, 1}(-\lambda) \; . \end{array}$$

After L iterations we get

$$\omega^{L}\varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=-L}^{L} d_{k}^{L}(\lambda)\varphi_{\lambda-2ik}$$

and

$$\omega^L \varphi_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{2L} c_k^L(\lambda) \, \Delta^k \, \tau^{-L} \, \varphi_{\lambda}$$

with inductively determined coefficients. By induction over L it may now be proved that  $(c(\lambda))^{-1}d_k{}^L(\lambda)$  is nonsingular on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ .  $c_k{}^L(\lambda)$  being linear combinations of  $d_{-L}{}^L(\lambda) \dots d_L{}^L(\lambda)$  then clearly satisfy (2.4). Finally the last expression for  $\omega^L \varphi_\lambda$  above is used to prove the induction hypothesis:

$$|c_k^L(\lambda)| \leq C |\operatorname{Re} \lambda|^{k-2L}$$

for large values of  $\text{Re}\,\lambda$ . This proves (2.5) in this case.

### 3. Estimates of the multiplier norm.

In this section we proceed as follows: The estimation will be carried out in three steps of which the first one is the inequality

$$||F||_{m_p} \leq ||\check{F}||_{L_1},$$

which is an obvious consequence of the definition of  $m_p$  (1.1). Next step, Lemma 3.1 below involves estimates of the  $L_1$  norm in terms of the norms in the interpolation spaces

$$B_p^{s,q} = (L_p, W_p^L)_{s/2L, q}, \quad 2L > s > 0,$$

where  $W_p^L$  is the space corresponding to the norm

$$||f||_{W_n^L} = ||\omega^L f||_{L_n}.$$

For a review of the real interpolation method (K-method) see [7]. In the last step Parseval's formula and the recurrence formula for  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  are used to obtain estimates for the  $m_p$  norm in terms of differences of F (Lemma 3.2).

LEMMA 3.1. For a sufficiently large integer K we have

$$B_2^{n/2,1} \cap W_2^K \subset L_1$$
.

PROOF. By assumption  $a^*$  is spanned by the linear forms  $S\sigma$ ,  $S \in W$ . Therefore  $\sum_{S \in W} (S\sigma)^2$  is a positive definite quadratic form on a and

$$\omega(\exp h) = 2 \sum_{S \in W} \sinh^2(s\sigma(h)/2) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum (S\sigma(h))^2 \ge C|h|^2$$
.

If h belongs to the unit ball of a we also have

$$|D(h)| = \prod |\sinh \alpha(h)|^{m_{\alpha}} \le C \prod |\alpha(h)|^{m_{\alpha}} \le C|h|^{m}.$$

We define a partition  $\bigcup_{k\geq 0}I_k$  of the unit ball in  $\mathfrak a$  by setting

$$I_k = \{h \in \mathfrak{a} ; 2^{-k-1} < |h| \le 2^{-k} \}.$$

From the estimates of  $\omega$  and D above it follows by Schwarz' inequality that

$$\int_{I_k} |f(\exp h)| D(h) dh \leq (\int_{I_k} |f\omega^M|^2 D dh)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{I_k} \omega^{-2M} D dh)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C ||f||_{W_0M} 2^{-k(n/2-2M)}.$$

Consider now any decomposition  $f = f_0 + f_1$ . On applying this to  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  with M = 0 and L respectively we get

$$\int_{I_k} |f| D dh \leq C 2^{-kn/2} (||f_0||_{L_2} + 2^{2kL} ||f_1||_{W_2L}) ,$$

or after taking inf over all such decompositions

$$\int_{I_k} |f| D dh \leq C 2^{-kn/2} K(2^{2kL}) ,$$

where  $K(t) = K(t, f, L_2, W_2^L)$  is the K-functional of [7]. Summing up over all  $k \ge 0$  we obtain if 4L > n

$$\begin{split} & \int_{|h| \le 1} |f| D dh \le C \sum_{k \ge 0} 2^{-kn/2} K(2^{2kL}) \\ & \le C \int_1^\infty t^{-n/2} K(t^{2L}) t^{-1} dt \le C ||f||_{(L_2, W_2^L)_{n/4L, 1}} \, . \end{split}$$

It remains to prove that

$$\int_{|h|\geq 1}|f|Ddh\leq C||f||_{W_2^K}.$$

This follows again from Schwarz' inequality and the fact that  $\int \omega^{-2K} D dh$  is convergent if K is sufficiently large.

Let  $a_{\varrho}^*$  be the convex hull of the points  $S_{\varrho}$ ,  $S \in W$  and denote the interior of the tube  $a^* + i \varepsilon a_{\varrho}^*$  by  $F^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say that a function  $F(\lambda)$  which is invariant under the Weyl group, i.e.  $F(S\lambda) = F(\lambda)$  for all  $S \in W$ , belongs to  $Z(F^{\varepsilon})$  or is rapidly decreasing in the tube  $F^{\varepsilon}$  if

$$\sup_{\lambda \in F^{\mathfrak{s}}} |PF(\lambda)| < \infty$$

for all holomorphic differential operators P with polynomial coefficients. It follows from the work of Trombi and Varadarajan [9], where a complete characterization of the inverse Fourier transform of  $Z(F^e)$  is obtained, that if  $F(\lambda) \in Z(F^e)$  then for all  $\lambda \in F^e$ 

$$F(\lambda) = \int \check{F}(g) \varphi_{-\lambda}(g) dg.$$

This together with Corollary 2.2 and Parseval's formula

$$||\check{F}||_{L_2} = ||F||_{\hat{L}_2} = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^{\bullet}} |F(\lambda)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda$$

give us the last step in the estimation of the multiplier norm.

LEMMA 3.2. Keep L fixed and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{M} S_j \sigma \in \varepsilon \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^*$  for all possible choices of  $S_1, \ldots, S_M \in W$  and  $M \leq L$ . Then

$$\|\check{F}\|_{W_2L} \leq \sum_{|\nu| \leq 2L, |\mu| = L} \|C_{\nu\mu}^L(-\lambda)\Delta^{(\nu)}\tau^{(-\mu)}F(\lambda)\|_{\hat{L}_2}$$

if  $F(\lambda) \in Z(F^{\varepsilon})$ .

## 4. Multiplier theorems.

Consider the complex z-plane, z=x+iy. The interior of a parabola  $y^2=a(x+b)$  with positive constants a and b will be called a parabolic neighbourhood of the positive real axis or shorter a p.n. Fix an  $\varepsilon>1$  and a function  $\psi(z)$  holomorphic in some p.n. and let  $-\mu(\lambda)=-\langle \lambda,\lambda\rangle-\langle \varrho,\varrho\rangle$  be the eigenvalue corresponding to  $\varphi_\lambda$  of the Casimir operator of G. Clearly  $\mu$  maps  $F^\varepsilon$  into some p.n. so we see that a sufficient condition on  $\psi$  to make  $\psi_N(\lambda)=\psi(\mu(\lambda)/N^2)$  to a holomorphic function in  $F^\varepsilon$  for large N say  $N>N_\varepsilon$  is that  $\psi$  is holomorphic in a p.n.

First we prove:

THEOREM 4.1. The two conditions

- i)  $\psi(z)$  is holomorphic in a p.n.
- ii)  $\sup_{\mathbf{p.n.}} |z|^k |D^j \psi(z)| < \infty$  for all  $k, j = 0, 1, \dots$

implies that there exist a number  $N_0$  such that  $\|\psi_N\|_{m_p} \leq C$  uniformly in  $N \geq N_0$ .

PROOF. In view of the convexity property

$$||F||_{(A_0, A_1)\theta, q} \le C \cdot ||F||_{A_0}^{1-\theta} ||F||_{A_1}^{\theta},$$

Lemma 3.1 and (3.1) it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{split} ||\check{\psi}_N||_{L_2} & \leq C \cdot N^{n/2} \\ ||\check{\psi}_N||_{W_0L} & \leq C N^{n/2-2L}, \quad n/2 < 2L \leq n/2+2 \; , \end{split}$$

and

$$\|\check{\psi}_N\|_{W_2^K} \leq C$$

for some fixed but arbitrarily large integer K.

To get the  $L_2$  estimate we use Parseval's formula and split the integral into two parts

$$\|\check{\psi}_N\|_{L_2}{}^2 = \int_{|\lambda| \leq N} |\psi_N(\lambda)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda + \int_{|\lambda| \geq N} |\psi_N(\lambda)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda .$$

Since N is large we have by assumption

$$|\psi_N(\lambda)| \, \leqq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &C & \text{if } |\lambda| \leqq N \\ &C \cdot (|\lambda|/N)^{-q} & \text{if } |\lambda| \geqq N, \, q \text{ arbitrarily large ,} \end{aligned} \right.$$

and hence, taking also (2.1) into account,

$$\begin{split} \|\check{\psi}_N\|_{L_2}^{-2} & \leq C \int_{|\lambda| \leq N} (1+|\lambda|)^m d\lambda + \\ & + C N^{2q} \int_{|\lambda| \geq N} (1+|\lambda|)^m |\lambda|^{-2q} d\lambda \leq C N^n \;. \end{split}$$

We now treat the  $W_2^L$  norm. Fix an  $\varepsilon$  such that Lemma 3.2 holds true for our specific integer L. Conditions i) and ii) on  $\psi$  imply that  $\psi_N \in Z(F^{\varepsilon})$  if  $N > N_{\varepsilon}$  so we have

$$\|\check{\psi}_N\|_{W_2^L} \leq C \sum_{|\nu| \leq 2L, |\mu| = L} \|C_{\nu\mu}^L(-\lambda)\Delta^{(\nu)}\tau^{(-\mu)}\psi_N(\lambda)\|_{\hat{L}_2}.$$

If we can prove that

$$(4.1) |\Delta^{(p)}\tau^{(-\mu)}\psi_N| \leq \begin{cases} C \cdot N^{-|\nu|} ((1+|\lambda|)/N)^{2-|\nu|} & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq N \\ C \cdot N^{-|\nu|} ((1+|\lambda|)/N)^{-q-|\nu|} & \text{if } |\lambda| \geq N \end{cases},$$

we obtain by virtue of (2.5) in the same way as above

$$\begin{split} ||C_{\nu\mu}{}^L(-\lambda)\varDelta^{(\nu)}\tau^{(-\mu)}\psi_N||_{\hat{L}_2}{}^2 & \leq CN^{-4}\int_{|\lambda|\leq N}(1+|\lambda|)^{4+m-4L}d\lambda + \\ & + CN^{2q}\int_{|\lambda|\geq N}(1+|\lambda|)^{-2q+m-4L}d\lambda \\ & \leq CN^{-4} + CN^{m+l-4L} \leq C\cdot N^{n-4L} \,. \end{split}$$

Thus except for the verification of (4.1) the desired estimate of the  $W_2^L$  norm of  $\check{\psi}_N$  is proved. Moreover the  $W_2^K$  estimate is derived in exactly the same way.

It remains to prove (4.1). A difference  $\Delta^{(r)}$  may be estimated by the corresponding derivative  $D^{(r)} = D_{S_1}^{r_1} \dots D_{S_p}^{r_p}$  where  $D_{S_j}$  is differention in the  $S_i$  direction i.e.

$$D_{S_i}F(\lambda) = (d/dt)F(\lambda - itS_j\sigma)|_{t=0}.$$

In fact we have

$$|\Delta^{(\mathbf{r})}F(\lambda)| \leq \sup_{|\eta| \leq |\eta|} |D^{(\mathbf{r})}F(\lambda+i\eta)|.$$

To express  $D^{(r)}\psi_N$  in terms of derivatives of  $\psi$  we use the formula for differentiation of composite functions.

$$D^M f(F(\lambda)) = \sum C_{Mj} (DF(\lambda))^{j_1} \dots (D^M F(\lambda))^{j_M} f^{(k)}(F(\lambda))$$
.

Here  $D^M$  denotes any derivative of order M and  $f^{(k)}$  the kth derivative of f. The sum is extended over the integers  $k=1,2,\ldots,M$  and all multi-indices j such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{M} j_n = k$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{M} j_n \cdot n = M$ . Since obviously

$$|D^M \mu(\lambda + i\eta)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^{2-M}$$

we get when applying all this to  $\psi_N$ 

$$\begin{split} |\varDelta^{(r)}\tau^{(-\mu)}\psi_N(\lambda)| & \leq C \sup_{|\eta| \leq (|r|+|\mu|)|\sigma|} \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} |\psi^k(\mu(\lambda+i\eta)/N^2)| N^{-2k}(1+|\lambda|)^{2k-|r|} \; . \end{split}$$

The first part of (4.1) now follows from the fact that all derivatives of  $\psi$  are bounded. By assumption we can choose an arbitrarily large constant q such that for  $|\lambda| \ge N$ 

$$|\psi^{(k)}(\mu(\lambda+i\eta)/N^2)| \le C|\mu(\lambda+i\eta)/N^2|^{-k-q/2} \le C((1+|\lambda|)/N)^{-2k-q}$$
 .

This completes the proof of (4.1) as well as the whole theorem.

Next we prove a more refined result in the same sense.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $\psi$  be holomorphic in a p.n. and suppose that

$$\sup_{n,n} (1+|z|)^{\beta-J(\alpha-1)} \cdot |D^J \psi(z)| < \infty$$

for all J and some  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying  $\beta > n\alpha/2$  and  $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $||\psi_N||_{m_1} \le C$  for  $N \ge N_0$ .

PROOF. Choose an integer  $M > \beta + L$  and put

$$G(z) = (1/(M-1)!)z^{M}e^{-z}, \quad z > 0,$$
  
 $G_{t}(z) = G(z/t), \quad t > N,$ 

and

$$H_N(z) = 1 - \int_N^\infty G_t(z) t^{-1} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (1/k!) (z/N)^k \cdot e^{-z/N}$$
.

The function G give rise to a partition of  $\psi_N$ 

$$\psi_N = H_N \psi_N + \int_N^\infty G_t \psi_N t^{-1} dt$$
,

where  $||H_N\psi_N||_{m_1} \leq C$  since  $H_N\psi_N$  fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. To handle  $||G_l\psi_N||_{m_1}$  we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 trying to prove

$$||(G_t \psi_N)^*||_{W_0 L} \leq C N^{2\beta - 4L\alpha} t^{-2\beta + n/2 + 2L(2\alpha - 1)},$$

from which we get

$$\|(G_t \psi_N)^{\check{}}\|_{B_2^{n/2},\,1} \, \leq \, C \|(G_t \psi_N)^{\check{}}\|_{L_2^{\,1-n/4L}} \|(G_t \psi_N)^{\check{}}\|_{W_2^{\,1}} L^{n/4L} \, \leq \, C(t/N)^{n\alpha-2\beta} \; .$$

This implies that

$$\| \int_N^\infty G_t \psi_N t^{-1} dt \|_{B_2^{n/2}, 1} \le \int_N^\infty \| G_t \psi_N \|_{B_2^{n/2}, 1} t^{-1} dt \le C$$

and, since it will also be seen during the proof that

$$\|\int_N^\infty G_t \psi_N t^{-1} dt\|_{W_2^K} \leq C,$$

our theorem will follow from Lemma 3.1 and (3.1).

Thus it remains to prove (4.2) and (4.3). Again we fix an  $\varepsilon$ , choose N so large that  $G_i \psi_N \in Z(F^{\varepsilon})$  and consider  $|C_{\nu\mu}{}^L \Delta^{(\nu)} \tau^{(-\mu)} G_i \psi_N|$ . Put  $|\nu| = I$  and write  $C_I^L$  instead of  $C_{\nu\mu}{}^L$ . By Leibniz' rule we have

$$\Delta^{I} \tau^{-L} G_{i} \psi_{N} = \sum_{J \leq I} C_{IJ} \Delta^{I-J} \tau^{J-L} G_{i} \Delta^{J} \tau^{-L} \psi_{N} .$$

The estimates of the differences to the right are obtained as before but under other conditions on the derivatives of  $\psi$  and G. We also assume that  $\psi(0) = 0$ . This time we get

$$\begin{split} |\varDelta^{I-J}\tau^{J-L}G_t| & \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-2M}(1+|\lambda|)^{2M-I+J} & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq t \\ Ct^q(1+|\lambda|)^{-q-I+J} & \text{if } |\lambda| \geq t \end{cases}, \\ |\varDelta^J\tau^{-L}\psi_N| & \leq \begin{cases} CN^{-2}(1+|\lambda|)^{2-J} & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq N \\ CN^{2\beta-2J\alpha}(1+|\lambda|)^{-2\beta+J(2\alpha-1)}) & \text{if } |\lambda| \geq N \end{cases}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore we know from (2.5) that

$$|(c(\lambda))^{-1}C_I^L(\lambda)| \leq C(1+|\lambda|)^{m/2+I-2L}$$
.

Hence

$$\begin{split} ||C_I{}^L\varDelta^{I-J}\tau^{-L}G_t\varDelta^J\tau^{-L}\psi_N||_{\hat{L}_2}^{\ 2} & \leq Ct^{-4M}N^{-4} \int_{|\lambda| \leq N} (1+|\lambda|)^{m+4+4M-4L} d\lambda + \\ & + Ct^{-4M}N^{4\beta-4J\alpha} \int_{N \leq |\lambda| \leq t} (1+|\lambda|)^{m+4M-4L-4\beta+4J\alpha} d\lambda + \\ & + Ct^{2q}N^{4\beta-4J\alpha} \int_{|\lambda| \geq t} (1+|\lambda|)^{m-4L-2q-4\beta+4J\alpha} d\lambda \\ & \leq Ct^{-4M}N^{-4} + Ct^{-4M}N^{n+4M-4L} + Ct^{n-4L-4\beta+4J\alpha}N^{4\beta-4J\alpha} \ . \end{split}$$

Since  $t \ge N$ ,  $n < 4L \le n+4$  and  $M > \beta + L$  these three terms are less than  $Ct^{n-4L-4\beta+8L\alpha}N^{4\beta-8L\alpha}$  which is the desired estimate in (4.3). To obtain (4.2) and the  $W_2^K$  estimate we have only to replace L and I by 0 respectively L by K.

REMARK 4.3. All properties of the spherical Fourier transform used in this paper and hence also the multiplier theorems also holds for the Fourier-Jacobi transform (see [2], [3]) obtained from the rank one case by formally letting the integers  $m_{\alpha}$  assume arbitrary positive real values.

REMARK 4.4. Under the same conditions on  $\psi$  as in Theorem 4.2 but with  $\beta > n\alpha |1/p - \frac{1}{2}|$  holds  $||\psi_N||_{m_p} \leq C$ . This is obtained by interpolation between the  $m_1$  result in Theorem 4.2 and the trivial  $m_2$  result if  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and by duality if  $p \geq 2$ . In particular follows now the case of the multiplier  $(1 + \mu/N^2)^{-\beta} \cos(\mu/N^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  mentioned in the introduction.

REMARK 4.5. It has come to my attention that the subject of this paper is also treated by E. M. Stein and J. L. Clerc in a paper appearing in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. A. Erdelyi, Higher transcendental functions, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- M. Flensted-Jensen, Paley-Wiener type theorems for a differential operator connected with symmetric spaces, Ark. Mat. 10 (1972), 143-162.
- M. Flensted-Jensen and T. H. Koornwinder, The convolution structure for Jacobi function expansions, Ark. Mat. 11 (1973), 245-262.
- S. G. Gindikin and F. I. Karpelevič, Plancherel measure for symmetric Riemannian spaces of nonpositive curvature, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 145 (1962), 252-255.
- Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, I and II, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 241-310 and 553-613.
- S. Helgason, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- J. Peetre, Applications de la théorie des espaces d'interpolation dans l'Analyse Harmonique, Ricerche Mat. 15 (1966), 3-36.
- 8. E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 63, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1970.
- P. C. Trombi and V. S. Varadarajan, Spherical transforms on semisimple Lie groups, Ann. of Math. 94 (1971), 246-303.
- L. Vretare, On L<sub>p</sub> Fourier multipliers on a compact Lie group, Math. Scand. 35 (1974), 49-55.
- G. Warner, Harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, I and II (Grundlehren Math. Wissensch. 188 and 189), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. 1972.
- 12. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF LUND

AND

LUND INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SWEDEN