## PERFECT CODES IN ANTIPODAL DISTANCE-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

## OLOF HEDEN

Let C be a perfect code in an antipodal distance-transitive graph. In this paper it is shown that if  $u \in C$  then any vertex at maximum distance from u also belongs to C. This is a generalisation of a theorem for binary codes of Roos [1].

1.

A graph is a pair (V(G), E(G)) where V(G) is a finite and nonempty set of elements called vertices and E(G) is a set of unordered pairs of distinct elements of V(G) called edges.

 $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a path from  $v_0$  to  $v_n$  if  $v_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$  are vertices and  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  are distinct edges. A graph is called *connected* if given any pair of vertices v, w, there is a path from v to w. In this paper we only consider connected graphs.

The number of edges in a path is the length of the path. Let d(u,v), the distance between the vertices u and v, denote the length of the shortest path from u to v. The function d(u,v) defines a metric on the set of vertices.

An automorphism  $\varphi$  of a graph is a permutation of V(G) such that for any given pair of vertices u and v it is true that  $d(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = d(u, v)$ .

A graph is called distance-transitive if for any given two pairs of vertices u, v and w, z satisfying d(u, v) = d(w, z) there is an automorphism  $\varphi$  for which  $\varphi(u) = w$  and  $\varphi(v) = z$ . All graphs in this paper are distance-transitive.

Let  $u \in V(G)$  and

$$\Gamma_i(u) = \{v \in V(G) \mid d(u,v) = i\}.$$

Let d be the maximum possible distance between any two vertices. d is called the *diameter* of G. A graph is called *antipodal* if for all vertices  $v, w \in \Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u)$  either v = w or d(v, w) = d.

Received June 20, 1974.

Example. Let  $Z_n$  be the integers modulo n. Let  $Z_n^r$  be the set of r-tuples of elements of  $Z_n$ . Define the distance between r-tuples  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r)$  and  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_r)$  to be

$$d(\bar{s},\bar{t}) = |\{i \mid s_i \neq t_i\}|.$$

 $Z_n^r$  is a distance-transitive graph where the r-tuples are vertices and  $d(\bar{s},\bar{t})$  is the distance-function on the vertices.  $Z_2^r$  is an antipodal distance-transitive graph.

A subset C of V(G) is called a *perfect e-error correcting code* if for every vertex v it is true that

$$|\{u \in V(G) \mid d(v,u) \leq e\} \cap C| = 1$$
.

Let u be a vertex. Define

$$\gamma_i = |\Gamma_i(u) \cap C| \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Call the d+1-tuple  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$  the weight-enumerator of C. The weight enumerator is not independent of the choice of u. But we shall see in section 2 that it only depends on d(u,C), the minimum possible distance between u and any vertex of C. d(u,C) is called the *minimum weight* of C.

Let u and v be two vertices such that d(u,v)=j. The numbers

$$\begin{aligned} k_i &= |\varGamma_i(u)| & i = 0, 1, \dots, d \\ a_j &= |\varGamma_1(v) \cap \varGamma_j(u)| \\ b_j &= |\varGamma_1(v) \cap \varGamma_{j+1}(u)| & (\text{defined for } j \leq d-1) \\ c_i &= |\varGamma_1(v) \cap \varGamma_{i-1}(u)| & (\text{defined for } j \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

are independent of the choices of u and v. They satisfy the following relations

(1) 
$$a_j + b_j + c_j = k_1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d,$$
$$k_i b_i = k_{i+1} c_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1,$$

(2) 
$$k_1 = b_0 > b_1 \ge \ldots \ge b_{d-1} \ge 1, \quad 1 = c_1 \le c_2 \le \ldots \le c_d$$

For a proof of this see [4]. Let

$$\varGamma(G) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 & 0 & & 0 \\ b_0 & a_1 & c_2 & & & \\ 0 & b_1 & a_2 & & & \\ & & b_2 & \dots & & \\ & & & \ddots c_{d-1} & 0 \\ & & & & a_{d-1} & c_d \\ 0 & & & b_{d-1} & a_d \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Gamma(G)$  is called the *intersection matrix* of G. If  $[1, v_1(\lambda), \ldots, v_d(\lambda)]^t$  is an right eigenvector of  $\Gamma(G)$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then it must satisfy the relations

(4) 
$$b_{d-1}v_{d-1}(\lambda) + (a_d - \lambda)v_d(\lambda) = 0.$$

The functions  $v_i(\lambda)$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,d$ , are polynomials in  $\lambda$  of degree i.

Biggs has shown [2] and [3] that the d+1 eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(G)$  are distinct and that they are zeros of the polynomial

$$(\lambda - k_1)(1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_d(\lambda)).$$

2.

In [3] Biggs shows that if a perfect e-error correcting code exists in the distance-transitive graph G then the polynomial  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_e(\lambda)$  divides the polynomial  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_d(\lambda)$ . It is natural to ask which polynomial  $f(\lambda)$  satisfy

$$(1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_e(\lambda))f(\lambda) = 1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_d(\lambda).$$

We shall prove a lemma saying that if  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$  is the weight-enumerator of the code then  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_d(\lambda)$  divides

$$(1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_e(\lambda))(\gamma_0+\gamma_1v_1(\lambda)/k_1+\ldots+\gamma_dv_d(\lambda)/k_d).$$

Consequently at least d-e eigenvalues of the intersection matrix must be zeros of the polynomial  $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 v_1(\lambda)/k_1 + \ldots + \gamma_d v_d(\lambda)/k_d$ . The solution of a system of n such linear equations will only depend on  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{d-n}$  as we shall see in lemma 2. Knowing this it will be easy to prove the theorem of Biggs and to prove that the weight-enumerator of the code only depends on the minimum weight for the code.

LEMMA 1. If C is a perfect code that corrects e errors and  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$  is the weight enumerator of C then the polynomial  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_d(\lambda)$  divides the polynomial

$$\big(1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_e(\lambda)\big)\big(\gamma_0+\gamma_1v_1(\lambda)/k_1+\ldots+\gamma_dv_d(\lambda)/k_d\big)\;.$$

PROOF. Let  $\mu$  be an eigenvalue of the intersection matrix, and u a vertex of G. To every vertex v of G associate the following number

$$v_{d(u,v)}(\mu)/k_{d(u,v)} = f(\mu,v)$$
.

Using induction over i and the relations (1), (3) and (4) it is straightforward to prove that

$$v_i(\mu)f(\mu,v) = \sum_{w,d(v,w)=i} f(\mu,w)$$
 for  $i = 0,1,...,d$ .

Consequently if C is a perfect e-error correcting code

$$\left(\sum_{v\in C} f(\mu,v)\right) \left(1+v_1(\mu)+\ldots+v_e(\mu)\right) \,=\, \sum_{v\in V(G)} f(\mu,v) \;,$$

that is,

$$(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 v_1(\mu)/k_1 + \dots + \gamma_d v_d(\mu)/k_d)(1 + v_1(\mu) + \dots + v_e(\mu))$$
  
= 1 + v\_1(\mu) + \dots + v\_d(\mu).

Since the zeros of  $1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_d(\lambda)$  are eigenvalues of the intersection-matrix, it is necessary that the zeros of  $1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_d(\lambda)$  are zeros of

$$(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 v_1(\lambda)/k_1 + \ldots + \gamma_0 v_d(\lambda)/k_d)(1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_e(\lambda)).$$

Consequently the lemma 1 is true.

LEMMA 2. If  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j$  are distinct eigenvalues of the intersection matrix of G then

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{d-j+1}(\lambda_1)}{k_{d-j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_1)}{k_d} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{v_{d-j+1}(\lambda_j)}{k_{d-j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_j)}{k_d} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

PROOF. Suppose  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma(G)$  and  $v_d(\mu) = 0$ . Then we get by recursion using (3) and (4) that  $v_0(\mu) = 0$ . This is impossible since  $v_0(\mu) = 1$ . We conclude that  $v_d(\mu) \neq 0$ . So by dividing by the nonzero number  $v_d(\mu)$  we get an eigenvector

$$(1/v_d(\mu), \dots, v_{d-1}(\mu)/v_d(\mu), v_d(\mu)/v_d(\mu))^t$$
  
=  $(v'_0(\mu), \dots, v'_{d-1}(\mu), 1)^t$ 

of  $\Gamma(G)$  belonging to the eigenvalue  $\mu$ . Now  $v'_{i}(\mu)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$  must satisfy the relations

$$b_{d-1}v'_{d-1}(\mu) = \mu - a_d ,$$
 
$$c_{i+1}v'_{i+1}(\mu) + (a_i - \mu)v'_{i}(\mu) + b_{i-1}v'_{i-1}(\mu) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1 .$$

Using recursion we see that  $v'_{i}(\mu)$  is a polynomial in  $\mu$  of degree d-i.

So by elementary determinant calculus

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{d-j+1}(\lambda_1)}{k_{d-j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_1)}{k_d} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{v_{d-j+1}(\lambda_j)}{k_{d-j+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_j)}{k_d} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j v_d(\lambda_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^j k_{d-i+1}} \det \begin{bmatrix} v'_{d-j+1}(\lambda_1) & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ v'_{d-j+1}(\lambda_j) & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= r \det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{j-1} & \cdots & \lambda_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_j^{j-1} & \cdots & \lambda_j & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } r \neq 0 .$$

Since the  $\lambda_i$ 's, i = 1, 2, ..., j are distinct the last determinant is nonzero and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 1 (Biggs). If there exists a perfect e-error correcting code C in the distance-transitive graph G then the polynomial  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_e(\lambda)$  divides the polynomial  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \ldots + v_d(\lambda)$ .

PROOF. For every perfect code C with minimum weight less than e there exists an automorphism  $\varphi$  of G such that  $\varphi(C)=C'$  is a perfect code with minimum weight equal to e. Suppose that the polynomial  $1+v_1(\lambda)+\ldots+v_e(\lambda)$  has less than e zeros among the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma(G)$ . If  $\gamma_0=\ldots=\gamma_{e-1}=0$  there exists a perfect code with such a weight-enumerator, as we saw above. Then by lemma 2 the solutions of the linear system of equations

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 v_1(\lambda_i)/k_1 + \ldots + \gamma_d v_d(\lambda_i)/k_d = 0, \quad \lambda_i \text{ eigenvalue of } \Gamma(G) \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \ldots, d - e + 1$$

should be  $\gamma_j = 0$ ,  $j = e, e + 1, \dots, d$ . This is impossible.

THEOREM 2. The weight-enumerator of a perfect code in a distance-transitive graph only depends on the minimum-weight of the code.

PROOF. Let  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$  be the weight enumerator of the perfect e-error correcting code C. From lemma 1 we know that there exist d-e eigenvalues  $\lambda_s$ ,  $s=1,2,\ldots,d-e$  of  $\Gamma(G)$  such that

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 v_1(\lambda_s)/k_1 + \ldots + \gamma_d v_d(\lambda_s)/k_d = 0.$$

Suppose that the minimum weight of C is equal to i, that is,  $\gamma_0 = \ldots = \gamma_{i-1} = \gamma_{i+1} = \ldots = \gamma_e = 0$ ,  $\gamma_i = 1$ . We then get that

(\*) 
$$\gamma_{e+1}v_{e+1}(\lambda_s)/k_{e+1}+\ldots+\gamma_dv_d(\lambda_s)/k_d = v_i(\lambda_s)/k_i \quad s=1,2,\ldots,d-s$$
 Math. Scand. 35 — 3

Since

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{e+1}(\lambda_1)}{k_{e+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_1)}{k_d} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{v_{e+1}(\lambda_{d-e})}{k_{e+1}} & \cdots & \frac{v_d(\lambda_{d-e})}{k_d} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

we get that the solutions of the system of linear equations (\*) are unique.

3.

The following relations are easy but useful consequences of the definition of antipodal distance-transitive graph of diameter d.

- (5) If d(u,v) < d then  $\Gamma_d(u) \cap \Gamma_d(v) = \emptyset$ .
- (6) If d(u,v) = d and d(v,w) = i < d/2 then d(u,w) = d i.
- (7) If d(u,v) = d = 2n+1 then  $\Gamma_n(v) \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}(u)$ .
- (8) If d(u,v) = d = 2n then  $\Gamma_{n-1}(v) \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}(u)$ .
- (9) If d=2n+1 then  $\Gamma_{n+1}(u)=\bigcup_{v\in\Gamma_n(u)}\Gamma_n(v)$ .
- (10) If d=2n then  $\Gamma_{n+1}(u)=\bigcup_{v\in\Gamma_n(u)}\Gamma_{n-1}(v)$ .

We need two lemmas for the proof of theorem 3.

Lemma 3. If G is an antipodal distance-transitive graph with diameter d then  $1 \le k_1 \le k_2 \le \ldots \le k_j > k_{j+1} > \ldots > k_d$  for some

$$j \, \geqq \, \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 & if \ d=2n+1 \\ n & if \ d=2n \ . \end{matrix} \right.$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $k_j > k_{j+1}$ . Then from relation (1) we get that  $c_{j+1} > b_j$ . So by using relation (2) we see that  $c_{s+1} > b_s$  if s > j and consequently  $k_s > k_{s+1}$  if s > j. By (7) and (8) is  $k_n \le k_{n+1}$  when d = 2n + 1 and  $k_{n-1} \le k_{n+1}$  when d = 2n. It follows that  $j \ge n + 1$  if d = 2n + 1 and  $j \ge n$  if d = 2n.

LEMMA 4. If G is an antipodal distance-transitive graph with diameter d then

$$k_d = \begin{cases} b_n/c_{n+1} & \text{if } d = 2n+1 \\ b_n/c_n & \text{if } d = 2n \end{cases}$$

PROOF. First assume that d=2n+1. Let  $z\in \Gamma_n(u)$ , that is, d(u,z)=n. By (9) we have

$$|\Gamma_{n+1}(u) \cap \Gamma_1(z)| = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_d(u)} |\Gamma_n(v) \cap \Gamma_1(z)|$$
,

that is,  $b_n = k_d c_{n+1}$ , since d(v,z) = n+1. When d = 2n = d(u,v) choose z such that d(u,z) = d(v,z), and use (10) similarly.

THEOREM 3. If C is a perfect code in an antipodal distance-transitive graph with diameter d then for any vertex u it is that either  $\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u) \subseteq C$  or  $(\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u)) \cap C = \emptyset$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $u \in C$  and that there exists a vertex  $v \in \Gamma_d(u) \setminus C$ . Since C is perfect and corrects e errors there must be a vertex v' for which  $d(v,v')=i \leq e$ .

Let  $w \in \Gamma_i(u)$  and d(w, v') = d. It is easy to see that such a vertex must exist. Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism that satisfy  $\varphi(w) = u$  and  $\varphi(u) = w$ . If  $(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$  is the weight enumerator of  $\varphi(C)$  then  $\gamma_i = 1$  and  $\gamma_d \ge 1$ .

But we get from lemma 3 that  $|\Gamma_i(u)| \ge k_1$  (in the nontrivial cases  $e \le d/2$ ) and from lemma 4, since  $b_n < k_1$ , that  $k_d < k_1$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{v \in \Gamma_d(u)} \Gamma_i(v)$ . Then we find, since C is an e-error correcting code,

$$|C \cap V| \leq |\Gamma_d(u)| = k_d < k_1 \leq |\Gamma_i(u)|,$$

that is,  $|C \cap V| < |\Gamma_i(u)|$ . Observe that  $\Gamma_d(w) \subseteq V$  when  $w \in \Gamma_i(u)$ ,  $i \le e \le d/2$ . Hence

$$|C \cap \bigcup_{w \in \Gamma_i(u)} \Gamma_d(w)| \le |C \cap V| < |\Gamma_i(u)|.$$

Since  $\Gamma_d(w_1) \cap \Gamma_d(w_2) = \emptyset$ , when  $w_1 \neq w_2 \in \Gamma_i(u)$ , we get

$$\sum_{w \in \Gamma_i(u)} |C \cap \Gamma_d(w)| < |\Gamma_i(u)|,$$

and  $C \cap \Gamma_d(w') = \emptyset$  for some  $w' \in \Gamma_i(u)$ .

Let  $\varphi'$  be an automorphism that satisfy  $\varphi'(w') = u$  and  $\varphi'(u) = w'$ . If  $(\gamma_0', \gamma_1', \ldots, \gamma_d')$  is the weight enumerator of  $\varphi'(C)$  then  $\gamma_i' = 1$  and  $\gamma_d' = 0$ .

The perfect codes  $\varphi(C)$  and  $\varphi'(C)$  have the same minimum weight, but their weight enumerators are not equal. Using theorem 2 we see that this is impossible. Consequently  $\Gamma_d(u) \setminus C = \emptyset$  if  $u \in C$  and the theorem is proved.

In the antipodal distance-transitive graph  $2.0_4$  (see [5]) it is easy to find a perfect code.  $2.0_4$  can not be represented as  $Z_2^r$  for any r. So theorem 3 is in fact a generalisation of the theorem of Roos.

In [4] Smith gives an example of an antipodal distance-transitive graph G with intersection-matrix

$$arGamma(G) = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 0 \ 3 & 0 & 1 & & & & \ 2 & 0 & 1 & & & & \ & 2 & 0 & 2 & & & \ & & 2 & 0 & 2 & & \ & & & 2 & 0 & 2 & \ & & & & 1 & 0 & 3 \ 0 & & & & 1 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $v_0(\lambda), v_1(\lambda), \dots, v_d(\lambda)$  are defined as in section 1 and  $v_0(\lambda) = 1$  it is easy to see that  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + v_2(\lambda)$  divides  $1 + v_1(\lambda) + \dots + v_d(\lambda)$  where d = 8. This observation was made by Lindström [6].

If there exists a perfect 2-error correcting code C in G then |C|=9. But, using theorem 3 we see that if  $u \in C$  then  $\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_8(u) \subseteq C$ . The distance between any vertex of G and  $\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_8(u)$  is less or equal to 4 and there can impossibly be any more code vertices of G. Consequently no perfect 2-error correcting code exists in G.

In [4] Smith defines the derived graph G' of the antipodal distance-transitive graph G. The vertices of G' are the sets  $\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u)$ ,  $u \in V(G)$ , and there is an edge between the vertices  $\Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u)$  and  $\Gamma_0(u') \cup \Gamma_d(u')$  of G' iff there are vertices  $v \in \Gamma_0(u) \cup \Gamma_d(u)$  and  $v' \in \Gamma_0(u') \cup \Gamma_d(u')$  such that d(v,v')=1. Smith then shows that if d>2 for the antipodal distance-transitive graph G, then the derived graph G' is distance-transitive with diameter  $[\frac{1}{2}d]$ .

We show the following corollary of theorem 3.

COROLLARY. If there exists a perfect e-error correcting code in the antipodal distance-transitive graph G then there exists a perfect e-error correcting code in the derived graph G'.

PROOF. Let C be a perfect e-error correcting code of G. Let C' be the vertices of the derived graph G' that satisfy

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{0}}(u) \cup \Gamma_{d}(u) \in C'$$
 iff  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{0}}(u) \cup \Gamma_{d}(u) \subseteq C$ .

If

$$c_1' = \Gamma_0(c_1) \cup \Gamma_d(c_1) \in C', \quad c_2' = \Gamma_0(c_2) \cup \Gamma_d(c_2) \in C'$$

and  $d(c_1',c_2') < 2e+1$  then it is easy to see that there exist vertices  $c_1'' \in \Gamma_0(c_1) \cup \Gamma_d(c_1), \ c_2'' \in \Gamma_0(c_2) \cup \Gamma_d(c_2)$  such that  $d(c_1'',c_2'') < 2e+1$ . Since C is perfect this is impossible. Using theorem 3 we find that  $|C'| = |C|/k_0 + k_d$ . Now since  $|V(G')| = |V(G)|/k_0 + k_d$  and

$$|\{v \in V(G) \mid d(u,v) \leq e\}| = |\{v \in V(G') \mid d(u',v) \leq e\}|$$

for  $u \in V(G)$  and  $u' \in V(G')$ , C' must be a perfect code.

It is well-known that there exists a perfect 3-error correcting code in the antipodal distance-transitive graph  $Z_2^{23} = G$ . Consequently there must exist a perfect 3-error correcting code in the derived graph G'. Perhaps this is a code that Biggs [3, p. 296] question for.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. B. Lindström whose kind advices have been a very fine help in writing this paper.

## REFERENCES

- J. E. Roos, An algebraic study of group and nongroup error-correcting codes, Information and Control 8 (1965), 195-214.
- N. Biggs, Finite Groups of Automorphisms, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 6, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1971.
- 3. N. Biggs, Perfect codes in graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 15 (1973), 289-296.
- D. H. Smith, Primitive and imprimitive graphs, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 22 (1971), 551-557.
- 5. D. H. Smith, Distance-transitive graphs, unpublished.
- 6. B. Lindström, private communication.

UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM SWEDEN