## A QUASI-SPECTRAL OPERATOR

## E. R. DEAL

In [1] we defined a quasi-spectral operator and stated sufficient conditions to insure that an operator be quasi-spectral. The operator Tf(x) = xf(x) on C[0,1] was used as a guiding example, but it is clear that for any continuous monotone function g on [0,1], such that g(0)=0, g(1)=1, Tf(x)=g(x)f(x) satisfies the sufficient conditions of [1] if we pick  $\langle f,\Phi(\lambda)\rangle=f(g^{-1}(\lambda))$ . This choice is necessary in order to make  $\overline{(\lambda I-T)X}$  the nullspace of  $\Phi(\lambda)$ . Therefore Tf(x)=g(x)f(x) on C[0,1] is a quasi-spectral operator.

The restrictions of monotonicity and that g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1 seem to be rather strong, so it is natural to attempt to remove them. The restriction that g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1 can easily be removed by a change of scale. To study monotonicity, let us consider an example. Let g(x) be a continuous function on [0,1] with a single relative maximum. In order to fix our ideas, let us say that for some z, 0 < z < 1, g(z) = 1, g(0) = 0, g(1) = 0, g

$$\delta(a,b) = g^{-1}(a,b) = \{x \mid a < g(x) < b\}.$$
  
$$\delta(a,b) = (a',b') \cup (a'',b'').$$

Define, for  $a \neq 0$ 

Then

$$\begin{split} E(a,b)f(x) &= 0 & x \leq a' \\ &= f(x) - f(a') & a' \leq x \leq b' \\ &= f(b') - f(a') & b' \leq x \leq a'' \\ &= f(x) - f(a'') + f(b') - f(a') & a'' \leq x \leq b'' \\ &= f(b'') - f(a'') + f(b') - f(a') & b'' \leq x \ . \end{split}$$

For a=0,

$$E(0,b)f(x) = f(x) \qquad x \leq b'$$

$$= f(b') \qquad b' \leq x \leq a''$$

$$= f(x) - f(a'') + f(b') \qquad a'' \leq x.$$

Received July 25, 1964.

This paper was sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation.

30 E. R. DEAL

Since g is monotone on the intervals [0,z] and [z,1], it determines two invertable functions  $g_1(x)$  on [0,z] and  $g_2(x)$  on [z,1]. Given an x in the range of g, let  $x' = g_1^{-1}(x)$  and  $x'' = g_2^{-1}(x)$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n = 1$  be a partition of [0,1]. Let  $\delta_i = (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n E(\delta_i) = I$ .

**PROOF.** Let f and x be arbitrary, and pick k so that  $x_{k-1} \leq g(x) < x_k$ . Suppose first that x < z. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\delta_i) f(x) = f(x_1') + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} [f(x_i') - f(x'_{i-1})] + f(x) - f(x'_{k-1}) + 0$$

$$= f(x).$$

Next suppose x > z. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\delta_{i})f(x) &= f(x_{1}^{\prime}) + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} [f(x_{i}^{\prime}) - f(x_{i-1}^{\prime})] + f(x) - f(x_{k}^{\prime\prime}) + \\ &+ f(x_{k}^{\prime}) - f(x_{k-1}^{\prime}) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} [f(x_{i-1}^{\prime\prime}) - f(x_{i}^{\prime\prime}) + f(x_{i}^{\prime}) - f(x_{i-1}^{\prime})] \\ &= f(x) + f(x_{n}^{\prime}) - f(x_{n}^{\prime\prime}) \\ &= f(x) + f(z) - f(z) \\ &= f(x) \; . \end{split}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\delta_i) f(x) = f(x)$  for all x in [0,1] and for all f in C[0,1],  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(\delta_i) = I$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $\delta_i$  be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i E(\delta_i) = T - N$$

where  $\lambda_i \in \delta_i$ , and where

$$Nf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dg(t) \qquad x \le z ,$$

$$= \int_0^z f(t) dg(t) - \int_z^x f(t) dg(t) \qquad z \le x .$$

PROOF. Let f and x be arbitrary, and pick k so that  $x_{k-1} \le g(x) < x_k$ . Suppose first that x < z. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} E(\delta_{i}) f(x) \\ &= \lambda_{1} f(x_{1}') + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \lambda_{i} [f(x_{i}') - f(x_{i-1}')] + \lambda_{k} [f(x) - f(x_{k-1}')] \\ &= g(\lambda_{1}') f(x_{1}') + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} g(\lambda_{i}') [f(x_{i}') - f(x_{i+1}')] + g(\lambda_{k}') [f(x) - f(x_{k-1}')] \,. \end{split}$$

Rearrangement of this sum by partial summation gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} f(x_i')[g(\lambda_i') - g(\lambda_{i-1}')] + g(\lambda_k')f(x) .$$

Passage to the limit gives

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\sigma(T)} \lambda E(d\lambda) \, f(x) &= \lim_{\|A\| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(\delta_i) f(x) \\ &= -\int\limits_0^x f(t) \; dg(t) + g(x) f(x) \, = \, T f(x) - N f(x) \; . \end{split}$$

Next suppose x > z. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(\delta_i) f(x) &= \lambda_1 f(x_1{'}) + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \lambda_i [f(x_i{'}) - f(x_{i-1}{'})] + \\ &+ \lambda_k [f(x) - f(x_k{''}) + f(x_k{'}) - f(x_{k-1}{'})] + \\ &+ \sum_{i=k+1}^n \lambda_i [f(x_{i-1}{'}) - f(x_i{''}) + f(x_i{'}) - f(x_{i-1}{'})] \;. \end{split}$$

Rearrangement of this sum by partial summation gives

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} & f(x_i')[\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}] + \lambda_n f(x_n') + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} f(x_i'')[\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i] - \lambda_n f(x_n'') + \lambda_k f(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i')[g(\lambda_i') - g(\lambda_{i+1}')] + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} f(x_i'')[g(\lambda_{i+1}'') - g(\lambda_i'')] + g(\lambda_k'')f(x) \;. \end{split}$$

Passage to the limit gives

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\sigma(T)} \lambda E(d\lambda) \, f(x) &= \lim_{\|A\| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(\delta_i) f(x) \\ &= g(x) f(x) - \int\limits_0^z f(t) \; dg(t) + \int\limits_z^x f(t) \; dg(t) \; . \end{split}$$

Thus in either case

32 E. R. DEAL

$$\int_{g(T)} \lambda E(d\lambda) f(x) = Tf(x) - Nf(x) ,$$

and since f and x are arbitrary,

$$\int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda E(d\lambda) = T - N.$$

Thus T is a quasi-spectral operator, where Tf(x) = g(x)f(x) for  $g \in C[0,1]$  such that g(0) = 0, g(1) = 0, and such that g has a single relative maximum at z, g(z) = 1. Again by a change of scale we can remove the restriction on the values of g(0), g(z), and g(1). Also, it is clear that only notational difficulties would be introduced by allowing g to have a finite number of extreme points. Thus we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** Let Tf(x) = g(x)f(x) where g is continuous and has a finite number of extreme points. Then T is a quasi-spectral operator on C[0,1].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. E. R. DEAL, Quasi-spectral theory, Math. Scand. 13 (1963), 188-198.

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO, U.S.A.