## A NOTE ON INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF LINEAR SPACES

## OTTE HUSTAD

1.

It is well known [4, p. 92] that a closed subspace of an (LF)-space (that is an inductive limit of a sequence of Fréchet-spaces) need not be an (LF)-space. Hence, if  $E = \lim_{\rightarrow} E_{\alpha}$  is an inductive limit (in the sense of Bourbaki [2, Chap. II, p. 63]) and F is a linear subspace of E, it might happen that the induced topology in F is different from the topology of the inductive limit  $F = \lim_{\alpha} E_{\alpha} \cap F$ , where each  $E_{\alpha} \cap F$  has the topology induced from  $E_{\alpha}$ . We prove, however, in the present note the following. Let  $-\alpha$  denote closure in  $E_{\alpha}$ , equip every  $\overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$  with the topology induced from  $E_{\alpha}$ , and let  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F}^{\alpha}$  denote the inductive limit on the union  $E_0$  of the spaces  $\overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$ . Assume that E is the union of the spaces  $E_{\alpha}$ . Then the topology of  $\lim_{\rightarrow} E_{\alpha} \cap F$  coincides with the topology induced from  $\lim_{\alpha} \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$ . Specializing a corollary of this proposition, we obtain a result, due to Bourbaki [3, p. 55], concerning a construction of Radon measures on a locally compact space. As another application we show that the completion of a strict inductive limit of metric spaces is an (LF)-space.

PROPOSITION 1. The topology  $\mathscr{T}_F$  of  $F = \lim_{\to} E_{\alpha} \cap F$  coincides with the topology induced from the topology  $\mathscr{T}_0$  of  $E_0 = \lim_{\to} \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$ . Furthermore,  $\lim_{\to} E_{\alpha} \cap F$  is a dense subspace of  $\lim_{\to} \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$ .

PROOF. It is easy to verify that the topology induced from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{F}_F$ . In order to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_F$  is coarser than the topology induced from  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , we first prove that if a linear functional f on F is continuous in the first mentioned topology, then f is also continuous in the latter. The restriction  $f_\alpha$  of f to  $E_\alpha \cap F$  is continuous, and  $f_\alpha$  can therefore be extended in a unique way to a continuous linear functional  $\bar{f}_\alpha$  on  $\overline{E_\alpha \cap F}^\alpha$ . Suppose that  $E_\alpha \subset E_\beta$  and let  $x \in \overline{E_\alpha \cap F}^\alpha$ . Hence there exists a net  $\{x_\gamma\} \subset E_\alpha \cap F$ , such that  $x_\gamma \to x$  in  $E_\alpha$ . Since the topology induced from  $E_\beta$  is coarser than the topology of  $E_\alpha$ , we also have that  $x_\gamma \to x$  in  $E_\beta$ . Consequently,

$$\bar{f}_{\beta}(x) \, = \, \lim f_{\beta}(x_{\gamma}) \, = \, \lim f_{\alpha}(x_{\gamma}) \, = \bar{f}_{\alpha}(x) \; . \label{eq:fbeta}$$

Received July 7, 1962.

Now there exists, whenever  $E_{\alpha}$  and  $E_{\beta}$  are given, an  $E_{\gamma}$  such that  $E_{\alpha} \cup E_{\beta} \subset E_{\gamma}$ . We can therefore infer that the linear functional  $\bar{f}$  is defined uniquely on  $E_{0}$  by the equation

$$\bar{f}(x) = \bar{f}_{\alpha}(x), \qquad x \in \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F}^{\alpha}.$$

Furthermore, since the restriction of  $\bar{f}$  to  $\overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$  is  $\bar{f}_{\alpha}$ , the functional  $\bar{f}$  is  $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ -continuous. Now the restriction of  $\bar{f}$  to F is f. Hence f is continuous in the topology induced from  $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ . A consequence of this result is that if K is a  $\mathscr{T}_{F}$ -closed, convex subset of F then K is also closed in the topology induced from  $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ . Let U be a zero-neighbourhood in  $\mathscr{T}_{F}$ . We may and shall assume that U is symmetric, convex and  $\mathscr{T}_{F}$ -closed. By definition  $U \cap E_{\alpha} \cap F$  is a zero-neighbourhood in  $E_{\alpha} \cap F$ . Hence it follows, by a simple result in general topology [1, p. 39], that  $\overline{U \cap E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$  is a neighbourhood in  $\overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F^{\alpha}}$ . Letting bar denote  $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ -closure, we have

$$\overline{U \cap E_{\alpha} \cap F}^{\alpha} \subset \overline{U \cap E_{\alpha} \cap F} \subset \overline{U} .$$

Therefore the convex hull of the union of the sets  $\overline{U \cap E_x \cap F}^x$  is contained in  $\overline{U}$ . This shows that  $\overline{U}$  is a  $\mathscr{F}_0$ -neighbourhood. Since U is closed in the topology induced from  $\mathscr{F}_0$ , we infer that  $U = \overline{U} \cap F$  is a neighbourhood in the induced topology. The second assertion in the proposition follows at once from the fact that  $\overline{E_x \cap F}^x \subset \overline{E_x \cap F} \subset \overline{F}$ .

Corollary 1. Suppose that the following condition is fulfilled.

(B) For every 
$$\alpha$$
 there exists a  $\beta$  such that  $E_{\alpha} \subseteq \overline{E_{\beta} \cap F^{\beta}}$ .

Then the topology of  $F = \lim_{\to} E_{\alpha} \cap F$  is the topology induced from  $E = \lim_{\to} E_{\alpha}$ , and F is a dense subspace of E. Furthermore, a linear functional f on F admits a continuous extension to  $E = \lim_{\to} E_{\alpha}$  if and only if the restriction of f to  $E_{\alpha} \cap F$  is continuous for each  $\alpha$ .

PROOF. It follows from the hypothesis and an elementary result for inductive limits [2, Chap. II, p. 62] that  $E_0 = E$ , and that  $\lim_{\to} E_{\alpha} = \lim_{\to} \overline{E_{\alpha} \cap F}^{\alpha}$ . This proves the first assertion, and the last one is an immediate consequence of the first one.

Condition (B) has been used by Bourbaki in his theory of integration [3, Chap. III, § 2 Definition 3]. The following gives another example.

Proposition 2. If  $E = \lim_{\to} E_n$  is an (LF)-space, and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_n \cap F}^n = E ,$$

then condition (B) is satisfied.

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of [5, Théorème 1, p. 268].

2.

We assume in this section that E is a strict inductive limit of the sequence  $\{E_n\}$ , and that each  $E_n$  is a closed subspace of  $E_{n+1}$ . We claim no novelty for the following lemma, but being unable to give a ready reference, we give the proof.

Lemma 1. Suppose that F is a subspace of  $E = \lim_{\to} E_n$  such that F is a metric topological vector space in a topology finer than the induced topology. Then  $F \subseteq E_n$  for some n.

PROOF. If the assertion is false, there exists a sequence  $\{x_i\} \subset F$  and an increasing sequence  $\{n_i\}$  such that  $x_i \in E_{n_i} \setminus E_{n_{i-1}}$ . Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a decreasing fundamental system for the neighbourhoods of 0 in F. Then we can find for each  $x_i$  an  $\alpha_i > 0$  such that  $\alpha_i x_i \in U_i$ . Hence  $\alpha_i x_i \to 0$  in the metric topology, and therefore in the topology of E. This implies that  $\{\alpha_i x_i\}$  is a bounded subset of E, and consequently [2, Chap. III, p. 8]  $\{\alpha_i x_i\} \subset E_n$  for some n. This contradiction gives us the proof.

From now on every  $E_n$  is supposed to be metric. Let E''  $(E''_n)$  be the bidual of E  $(E_n)$ . We equip both E'' and  $E''_n$  with their "natural" topologies and hence we may and shall consider  $E''_n$  as a topological subspace of E'' [4, p. 84]. Furthermore, since  $E''_n$  is a Fréchet-space [4, p. 62],  $E''_n$  is a closed subspace of  $E''_{n+1}$  and E'' is the union of  $E''_n$ . We also notice that since E and  $E''_n$  are topological subspaces of E'', they both induce the same topology on  $E \cap E''_n$ .

Proposition 3.  $\lim_{\to} E_n = \lim_{\to} E_n'' \cap E$ .

PROOF. It is immediate that the topology of  $\lim_{\to} E''_n \cap E$  is coarser than that of  $\lim_{\to} E_n$ . On the other hand, since  $E''_n \cap E$  is a metric subspace of E, it follows from Lemma 1 that  $E''_n \cap E \subset E_k$  for some k, and hence the topology of  $\lim_{\to} E_n$  is coarser than the topology of  $\lim_{\to} E''_n \cap E$ .

COROLLARY 1.  $\lim_{\to} E_n$  is a dense topological subspace of the (LF)-space  $\lim_{\to} \overline{E_n'' \cap E}^n$ . (Here  $^{-n}$  denotes closure in  $E_n''$ .)

PROOF. This follows directly from the propositions 1 and 3.

COROLLARY 2. Every  $E_n$  is complete if and only if for each n there exists a k such that

$$(1) \overline{E_n'' \cap E}^n \subset E_k.$$

PROOF. If (1) is true, then  $E = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \overline{E_n'' \cap E}^n$ . Hence E is complete [5, p. 257], and therefore the closed subspace  $E_n$  is complete. Conversely, if every  $E_k$  is complete, then  $E = \lim_{\longrightarrow} E_n$  is complete. Hence

$$\overline{E_n'' \cap E}^n \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{E_k'' \cap E}^k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k ,$$

and the conclusion follows from Lemma 1.

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. Bourbaki, Topologie générale, Chap. I et II, 3e éd. (Act. Sci. Ind. 1142), Paris, 1961.
- N. Bourbaki, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, Chap. 1-2 et 3-4. (Act. Sci. Ind. 1189 et 1229) Paris, 1953 et 1955.
- 3. N. Bourbaki, Intégration, Chap. 1-4 (Act. Sci. Ind. 1175), Paris, 1952.
- 4. A. Grothendieck, Sur les espaces (F) et (DF), Summa Brasil Math. 3 (1954), 57-123.
- A. Grothendieck, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, 1958.

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NORWAY