STABILITY OF RANK TWO ULRICH BUNDLES ON PROJECTIVE K3 SURFACES

GIANFRANCO CASNATI and FEDERICA GALLUZZI*

Abstract

Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a K3 surface of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. In this paper we deal with Ulrich bundles on F of rank 2. We deal with their stability and we construct K3 surfaces endowed with families of non-special Ulrich bundles of rank 2 for each $a \ge 2$.

1. Introduction and notation

Throughout the whole paper, \mathbb{P}^N will denote the projective space of dimension *N* over the complex field \mathbb{C} .

Each smooth surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is endowed with a polarization $\mathcal{O}_F(h) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$. A natural problem in the study of the geometry of F is to deal with the vector bundles that it supports.

Clearly we can restrict our attention to *indecomposable* bundles, i.e. bundles which do not split as a direct sum of bundles of lower rank. From the cohomological viewpoint, the simplest vector bundles are the *arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay* (*aCM* for short) ones, i.e. bundles \mathscr{E} such that $h^1(F, \mathscr{E}(th)) = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that such a property is trivially invariant up to shifting degrees. Thus we can focus on *initialized* bundles, i.e. bundles \mathscr{E} such that $h^0(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)) = 0$ and $h^0(F, \mathscr{E}) \neq 0$.

Horrocks' theorem (see [21] and the references therein) guarantees that \mathcal{O}_F is the unique initialized, indecomposable, aCM bundle when $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is a plane. Recall that a closed subscheme $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ is called aCM if it is projectively normal and \mathcal{O}_F is aCM. A very general result of D. Eisenbud and J. Herzog (see [12]) implies that, besides planes, only few other surfaces support at most a finite number of aCM bundles, namely smooth quadrics, smooth rational scrolls of degree up to 4, the Veronese surface.

M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne proved in [3] and [4] that a smooth cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 is endowed with families of arbitrary dimension of non-

^{*} The authors are members of GNSAGA group of INdAM and are supported by the framework of PRIN 2010/11 "Geometria delle varietà algebriche", cofinanced by MIUR.

Received 8 June 2016.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-101999

isomorphic, indecomposable initialized aCM bundles. In order to achieve their results, the authors constructed families of initialized aCM bundles with an extra property. Indeed they are *Ulrich bundles*, i.e. bundles \mathscr{E} on *F* whose minimal free resolution as sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 is linear. To complete the picture in the case of a cubic surface, we recall that D. Faenzi completely described aCM bundles of ranks 1 and 2 in [14].

Some results are known also for quartic surfaces $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$. K. Watanabe classified in [25] aCM line bundles on *F*, identifying Ulrich line bundles. E. Coskun, R. Kulkarni, Y. Mustopa proved in [10] that such an *F* always supports a family of dimension 14 of Ulrich bundles of rank 2 with first Chern class $\mathcal{O}_F(3h)$. As a consequence of the existence of these bundles one can also infer that *F* is linear pfaffian, i.e. the quartic polynomial defining *F* is the pfaffian of a 8 × 8 skew-symmetric matrix with linear entries.

Another almost immediate application of this existence result is that such a surface also supports families of arbitrary dimension of non-isomorphic, indecomposable Ulrich bundles (see the note [5]). In [8] other interesting families of initialized aCM bundles of rank 2 are constructed: their existence implies that F is quadratic pfaffian, i.e. the quartic polynomial defining F is also the pfaffian of a 4 × 4 skew-symmetric matrix with quadratic entries. Finally, the complete description of initialized aCM bundles of rank 2 on each linear determinantal smooth quartic surface, i.e. a surface defined by a quartic polynomial which is the determinant of a 4 × 4 matrix with linear entries, is exploited in [7]. As far as we know there are no other general results for smooth quartic surfaces.

When the codimension increases the picture becomes quickly vague. E.g. even for del Pezzo surfaces only scattered results are known: for this class of surfaces J. Pons-Llopis and F. Tonini studied aCM line bundles in [22], while E. Coskun, R. S. Kulkarni, Y. Mustopa gave in [11], among other results, restrictions on the first Chern class of Ulrich bundles.

Notice that quartic surfaces are a particular case of *K*3 surfaces, i.e. smooth regular surfaces *F* such that $\omega_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F$. These surfaces can be embedded in \mathbb{P}^{a+1} as non-degenerate aCM surfaces of degree 2*a* for some $a \ge 2$ (see [23] for the details). M. Aprodu, G. Farkas, A. Ortega generalized in [1] the results of [10] to this family of *K*3 surfaces *F*, under an extra technical condition. They construct therein families of rank 2 Ulrich bundles with first Chern class $\mathcal{O}_F(3h)$: following Proposition 6.2 of [13], they call such bundles special.

As we already pointed out, the role of Ulrich bundles is particularly important, hence we ask for further information about them. For example, it would be interesting to answer the following questions.

(A) Are there restrictions on the Chern classes of Ulrich bundles on a *K*3 surface?

- (B) Are there other rank 2 indecomposable Ulrich bundles on a *K*3 surface besides the special ones described in [10] and [1]?
- (C) What are the (semi)stability properties of a rank 2 indecomposable Ulrich bundle?

In this paper we give partial answers to the questions listed above. E.g., at the end of Section 4 we prove the following easy proposition answering the first question (see Proposition 4.2).

PARTIAL ANSWER TO QUESTION A. Let \mathscr{E} be an Ulrich bundle of rank r on a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. Then $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$ is an even integer satisfying

$$4(a-1)r^2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \le \frac{9}{2}ar^2,$$

where $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \neq \frac{9}{2}ar^2 - 2$ if r is even. Moreover, $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = \frac{9}{2}ar^2$ if and only if $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 3rh/2$.

If \mathscr{E} is simple, then $(4a-2)r^2 - 2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$.

We also show that both the above upper and lower bounds are trivially sharp. Notice that simple bundles of rank r are trivially indecomposable: the converse is also true for Ulrich bundles when r = 2 (see Lemma 2.3). Using such an equivalence we are also able to answer the second question in Section 5 proving that all the intermediate values which are admissible for simple bundles are actually attained (see Theorem 5.3) on suitable K3 surfaces. The bundles that we construct are non-special in the sense of [1], i.e. their first Chern class is not $\mathcal{O}_F(3h)$.

PARTIAL ANSWER TO QUESTION B. Let $a \ge 2$. For each choice of an integer u in the range

$$4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4, \qquad u \ne 5a + 3,$$

there exists a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a and $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 3$ supporting an indecomposable Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = u$.

Notice that when rk(Pic(F)) = 1 only the bundles with u = 5a + 4 can actually exist on F and they are exactly the aforementioned special bundles constructed in [10] and [1] (see [9]: see also [6]).

Our construction cannot be extended to obtain bundles on a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* with rk(Pic(F)) = 2: in particular we are unfortunately unable to prove or disprove the existence of these bundles on surfaces satisfying rk(Pic(F)) = 2. Moreover, as pointed out in Section 2 of [13], the Chow forms of the surfaces we use are always linear determinantal. Thus the problem of the

existence of non-special rank 2 Ulrich bundles on *K*3 surfaces whose Chow form is not determinantal remains wide open.

Finally, in Section 6, we answer the third question raised above. Indeed we prove therein the following result (see Theorem 6.2).

PARTIAL ANSWER TO QUESTION C. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a K3 surface of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. If \mathscr{E} is an indecomposable Ulrich bundle of rank 2 on F which is strictly semistable and general in its moduli space, then it fits into an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(B) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are Ulrich line bundles on F such that AB = 4a - 1. In particular $c_1(\mathcal{E})^2 = 16a - 10$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 4a - 1$.

It follows that the general Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with fixed Chern classes $c_1(\mathscr{E})$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E})$ on a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* is always stable when $c_2(\mathscr{E}) \neq 4a - 1$. Also in this case our answer is partial: indeed we are not able to deal with the stability properties of each general Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} such that $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 4a - 1$.

In Section 2 we recall the results that we need in the paper on Ulrich bundles. In Section 3 we summarize several facts about K3 surfaces. Section 4 is devoted to list and inspect some properties of Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces. In Section 5 we focus on rank 2 bundles. Finally, in Section 6 we deal with the stability properties of rank 2 Ulrich bundles.

The authors would like to thank A. Knutsen for some helpful suggestions. Particular thanks go to the referee, whose many comments and interesting suggestions considerably improved the paper.

2. General results on Ulrich bundles

In this section we summarize some general results on Ulrich bundles on a smooth, irreducible, closed subscheme $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. In what follows we will always set $\mathcal{O}_X(h) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth irreducible closed subscheme and let \mathcal{F} be a vector bundle on X. We say that:

- \mathscr{F} is initialized if $h^0(X, \mathscr{F}(-h)) = 0 \neq h^0(X, \mathscr{F})$.
- \mathscr{F} is aCM if $h^i(X, \mathscr{F}(th)) = 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $i = 1, ..., \dim(X) 1$.
- \mathscr{F} is Ulrich if $h^i(X, \mathscr{F}(-ih)) = h^j(X, \mathscr{F}(-(j+1)h)) = 0$ for each i > 0 and $j < \dim(X)$.

Ulrich bundles collect many interesting properties (see Section 2 of [13]). E.g. they are automatically initialized, aCM and globally generated. Every direct summand of an Ulrich bundle is Ulrich as well. Finally, as already pointed out in the introduction, \mathcal{F} is Ulrich if and only if it has a linear minimal free resolution over \mathbb{P}^N . Ulrich bundles also behave well with respect to the notions of (semi)stability and μ -(semi)stability. Recall that for each bundle \mathcal{F} on *X*, the slope $\mu(\mathcal{F})$ and the reduced Hilbert polynomial $p_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$ (with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(h)$) are defined as follows:

$$\mu(\mathscr{F}) = c_1(\mathscr{F})h^{\dim(X)-1}/\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{F}), \qquad p_{\mathscr{F}}(t) = \chi(\mathscr{F}(th))/\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{F}).$$

The bundle \mathscr{F} is μ -semistable (resp. μ -stable) if for all subsheaves \mathscr{G} with $0 < \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{G}) < \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{E})$ we have $\mu(\mathscr{G}) \le \mu(\mathscr{E})$ (resp. $\mu(\mathscr{G}) < \mu(\mathscr{E})$).

The bundle \mathscr{E} is called semistable (resp. stable) if for all \mathscr{G} as above $p_{\mathscr{G}}(t) \leq p_{\mathscr{E}}(t)$ (resp. $p_{\mathscr{G}}(t) < p_{\mathscr{E}}(t)$) for $t \gg 0$. We recall that in order to check the semistability and stability of a bundle one can restrict the attention only to subsheaves such that the quotient is torsion-free.

The following chain of implications holds for \mathcal{F} :

 \mathscr{F} is μ -stable $\Rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is stable $\Rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is semistable $\Rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ is μ -semistable.

For the following result see Theorem 2.9 of [4].

THEOREM 2.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth, irreducible closed subscheme. If \mathscr{C} is an Ulrich bundle on X the following assertions hold.

- (a) \mathscr{E} is semistable and μ -semistable.
- (b) \mathscr{E} is stable if and only if it is μ -stable.
- (c) *If*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{L} \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathscr{M} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

is an exact sequence of coherent sheaves with \mathcal{M} torsion free and $\mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(\mathcal{E})$, then both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} are Ulrich bundles.

We conclude this section with the following helpful result.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth, irreducible closed subscheme with $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. If \mathscr{E} is an Ulrich bundle of rank 2 on X, then \mathscr{E} is simple if and only if it is indecomposable.

PROOF. If \mathscr{E} is simple, then it is trivially indecomposable. Conversely, assume that \mathscr{E} is indecomposable. If it is μ -stable, then it is simple (see [15], Corollary 1.2.8).

Assume that \mathscr{E} is strictly semistable. In particular \mathscr{E} fits into Sequence (2) with \mathscr{M} torsion-free and $\mu(\mathscr{L}) = \mu(\mathscr{E})$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that \mathscr{L}

and \mathcal{M} are Ulrich line bundles, hence μ stable, and $\mu(\mathscr{L}) = \mu(\mathscr{M})$. If $\mathscr{L} \cong \mathscr{M}$, then $h^1(X, \mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{-1}) = h^1(X, \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$, hence \mathscr{E} would be decomposable, a contradiction. We deduce that \mathscr{E} is simple thanks to Lemma 4.2 of [4].

3. General results on K3 surfaces

We recall some facts on a *K*3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ with hyperplane line bundle $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$. They are collected from several places (e.g. see [23] and [2]).

We know that $\omega_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F$ and q(F) = 0. In particular $p_a(F) = p_g(F) = 1$. The first important fact is that the Serre duality for each locally free sheaf \mathscr{F} on *F* becomes

$$h^i(F,\mathscr{F}) = h^{2-i}(F,\mathscr{F}^{\vee}), \qquad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Moreover the Riemann-Roch theorem on F is

$$h^{0}(F,\mathscr{F}) + h^{2}(F,\mathscr{F}) = h^{1}(F,\mathscr{F}) + 2\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{F}) + \frac{c_{1}(\mathscr{F})^{2}}{2} - c_{2}(\mathscr{F}).$$
(3)

In particular, if $\mathscr{F} \cong \mathscr{O}_F(D)$ for a divisor D with $D^2 \ge -2$, then either D or -D is necessarily effective.

If D is an effective non-zero divisor on F, then

$$h^2(F, \mathcal{O}_F(D)) = h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(-D)) = 0.$$

Moreover

$$h^{1}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(D)) = h^{1}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(-D)) = h^{0}(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}) - 1$$

(see [23], Lemma 2.2). It follows that

$$h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(D)) = 2 + \frac{D^2}{2}, \quad \deg(D) = Dh, \quad p_a(D) = 1 + \frac{D^2}{2},$$

for each integral divisor D on F (see [23], Paragraph 2.4). In particular, the integral fixed divisors D satisfy $D^2 = -2$ and $D \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

We summarize the other helpful results we will need in the following statement.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let F be a K3 surface. For each effective divisor D on F such that |D| has no fixed components the following assertions hold.

- (a) $D^2 \ge 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(D)$ is globally generated.
- (b) If $D^2 > 0$, then the general element of |D| is irreducible and smooth: in this case $h^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(D)) = 0$.

(c) If $D^2 = 0$, then there is an irreducible divisor \overline{D} with $p_a(\overline{D}) = 1$ such that $\mathcal{O}_F(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(e\overline{D})$ where $e - 1 := h^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(D))$: in this case the general element of |D| is smooth.

PROOF. See [23], Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 3.2.

Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a *K*3 surface with hyperplane line bundle $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ such that $h^2 = 2a$, where $a \ge 2$. It would be interesting to classify all the aCM line bundles on *F*. If $h^2 = 4$ a complete classification can be found in [25]. A similar classification for double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 can be found in [24].

The problem of identifying aCM line bundles on K3 surfaces is by no way trivial, as one can check by looking at the quoted papers.

4. Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces

In this section we will prove some general preliminary results about Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree $h^2 = 2a$, where $a \ge 2$, giving a partial answer to the first question raised in the introduction.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a K3 surface of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. The following assertions are equivalent for a vector bundle \mathscr{E} of rank r on F:

- (a) *E* is Ulrich;
- (b) $\mathscr{E}^{\vee}(3h)$ is Ulrich;
- (c) \mathscr{E} is aCM and

$$c_1(\mathscr{E})h = 3ar, \qquad c_2(\mathscr{E}) = \frac{c_1(\mathscr{E})^2}{2} - 2(a-1)r;$$
 (4)

(d) $h^0(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)) = h^0(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(2h)) = 0$ and equalities (4) hold.

PROOF. The first and second assertions are equivalent due to [11], Proposition 2.11. The equivalence of the third and first assertions is [11], Proposition 2.10.

We prove that assertion (c) implies assertion (d). In this case we have $h^1(F, \mathscr{E}(-th)) = 0$ for t = 1, 2, hence formula (3) and equalities (4) imply

$$h^{0}(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)) \leq \chi(\mathscr{E}(-h)) = 0,$$

$$h^{0}(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(2h)) = h^{2}(F, \mathscr{E}(-2h)) \leq \chi(\mathscr{E}(-2h)) = 0$$

Finally, we prove that assertion (d) implies assertion (c). Indeed, if $h^0(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)) = h^0(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(2h)) = 0$, then $h^2(F, \mathscr{E}(-2h)) = h^0(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(2h)) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(F, \mathscr{E}(-2h)) &\leq h^0(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)), \\ h^2(F, \mathscr{E}(-h)) &= h^0(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(h)) \leq h^0(F, \mathscr{E}^{\vee}(2h)) = h^2(F, \mathscr{E}(-2h)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $h^1(F, \mathscr{E}(-th)) = -\chi(\mathscr{E}(-th))$, for t = 1, 2. formula (3) and equalities (4) yield $h^1(F, \mathscr{E}(-th)) = 0$. We deduce that \mathscr{E} is Ulrich.

Notice that if r = 1, then $\mathcal{O}_F(D)$ is Ulrich if and only if $D^2 = 4(a - 1)$, Dh = 3a and $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(D - h)) = h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(2h - D)) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let \mathscr{C} be an Ulrich bundle of rank r on a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. Then $c_1(\mathscr{C})^2$ is an even integer satisfying

$$4(a-1)r^2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \le \frac{9}{2}ar^2,$$

where $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \neq \frac{9}{2}ar^2 - 2$ if r is even. Moreover, $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = \frac{9}{2}ar^2$ if and only if $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 3rh/2$.

If \mathscr{E} is simple, then $(4a-2)r^2 - 2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$.

PROOF. Thanks to Theorem 2.2, \mathscr{E} is μ -semistable, thus the Bogomolov inequality (see [15], Theorem 3.4.1) holds for \mathscr{E} . Taking into account equalities (4) we obtain $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 - 4(a-1)r^2 \ge 0$, i.e. $4(a-1)r^2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$.

Let det(\mathscr{C}) $\cong \mathscr{O}_F(C)$. Trivially we have Ch = 3ar and $C^2 = c_1^2$. The Hodge index theorem applied to h and C yields $C^2h^2 \leq (Ch)^2$, i.e. $c_1(\mathscr{C})^2 \leq \frac{9}{2}ar^2$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $c_1(\mathscr{C}) = 3rh/2$, because Num(F) \cong Pic(F) on a K3 surface. Finally recall that $c_1(\mathscr{C})^2$ is necessarily even.

Assume now that \mathscr{E} is also simple. In this case the coarse moduli space $\mathscr{Spl}_F(r; c_1(\mathscr{E}), c_2(\mathscr{E}))$ of rank r simple, vector bundles on F with Chern classes $c_1(\mathscr{E})$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E})$ has a non-empty component containing \mathscr{E} . As pointed out in Theorem 0.1 of [19], thanks to equalities (4), such a component has dimension $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 - (4a-2)r^2 + 2 \ge 0$, i.e. $(4a-2)r^2 - 2 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$.

Let \mathscr{E} be an Ulrich bundle of even rank r = 2s with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = \frac{9}{2}ar^2 - 2$. On the one hand, if $\mathscr{O}_F(D) \cong \det(\mathscr{E})^{-1}(3sh)$, then $D^2 = -2$, thus either D, or -D should be effective. On the other hand Dh = 0, thus neither D, nor -D can be effective due to the ampleness of $\mathscr{O}_F(h)$, a contradiction.

Notice that one can deduce the inequality $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \neq \frac{9}{2}ar^2 - 2$, also by applying directly Theorem 1.1(iii) of [17].

REMARK 4.3. The bounds above are sharp in many cases. Indeed let F support an Ulrich line bundle D, so that $D^2 = 4(a - 1)$ and Dh = 3a (see equalities (4)). Thus $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{O}_F(D)^{\oplus s}$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank r := s with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 4(a - 1)r^2$.

Similarly, every general K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ supports an indecomposable Ulrich bundle \mathscr{F} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 3h$ (see [1], Theorem 0.4). It is easy to check that $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{F}^{\oplus s}$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank r := 2s with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = \frac{9}{2}ar^2$.

Obviously, such bundles are not simple, unless s = 1 and \mathcal{F} is indecomposable.

On the one hand, we will see in the next section that the above bound is optimal when \mathscr{E} is an Ulrich bundle of rank r = 2. On the other hand when \mathscr{E} is an Ulrich bundle of odd rank r, the upper bound $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \leq 9r^2$ of Proposition 4.2 is never sharp, because D^2 is even for each divisor D on F. Actually, for r = 1, we can certainly say that such a bound is very far from optimality. Thus the following question arises naturally.

QUESTION 4.4. When r is odd, is there any bound which is sharper than the one given in Proposition 4.2?

5. Ulrich bundles of rank 2 on K3 surfaces

The second question raised in the introduction is to prove whether indecomposable Ulrich bundles satisfying the above bounds actually exist. We will give below a partial answer to this question, by constructing explicitly K3 surfaces endowed with suitable indecomposable (or, equivalently, simple by Lemma 2.3) Ulrich bundles of rank 2.

Let \mathscr{E} be an Ulrich bundle on *F* of rank 2. We have

$$c_1(\mathscr{E})h = 6a, \qquad c_2(\mathscr{E}) = \frac{c_1^2(\mathscr{E})}{2} - 4(a-1)$$

(see equalities (4)), hence $\mu(\mathscr{E}) = 3a$. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2$ is an even integer satisfying

$$16(a-1) \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \le 18a, \qquad c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \ne 18a-2.$$

We already know that both the cases $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 16(a-1)$ and 18*a* occur (see Remark 4.3).

Assume the existence of an Ulrich bundle \mathscr{C} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{C})^2 = 16a - 14$ (resp. 16a - 12). Due to Proposition 4.2, the bundle \mathscr{C} is not simple, hence decomposable (see Lemma 2.3). If $\mathscr{C} \cong \mathcal{O}_F(A) \oplus \mathcal{O}_F(B)$, then $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are both Ulrich. Equalities (4) yield $A^2 = B^2 = 4(a - 1)$: the equality $c_1(\mathscr{C})^2 = 16a - 14$ (resp. 16a - 12) finally forces AB = 4a - 3 (resp. AB = 4a - 2).

If AB = 4a - 3, then $(A - B)^2 = -2$, hence either A - B, or B - A must be effective. Thus such a case cannot occur because (A - B)h = 0, due to the ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$.

We will now show that also all the other remaining cases occur, in the sense that there is a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* with Picard number rk(Pic(F)) = 3 supporting Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})$ such that

 $16a - 12 \le c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 \le 18a$. The above discussion shows that if $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 16a - 12$, then $\mathscr{E} \cong \mathscr{O}_F(A) \oplus \mathscr{O}_F(B)$ with AB = 4a - 2.

The next proposition is the first step in this direction.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let $a \ge 2$. For each choice of an integer u in the range

 $4a - 2 \le u \le 5a + 2,$

there exists a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a such that $\operatorname{Pic}(F)$ is freely generated by h, A, B, with AB = u, where $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are Ulrich line bundles.

PROOF. We fix the lattice $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}h \oplus \mathbb{Z}A \oplus \mathbb{Z}B$ having

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} 2a & 3a & 3a \\ 3a & 4(a-1) & u \\ 3a & u & 4(a-1) \end{pmatrix}$$

as its intersection matrix. Such a lattice is even. Moreover, it is an easy exercise to check that it has signature (1, 2) in the range $4a - 3 \le u \le 5a + 3$. Theorem 2.9(i) in [18] implies the existence of a projective K3 surface F having Pic(F) $\cong \Lambda$ (see also [20]).

Recall that for each divisor Γ with $\Gamma^2 = -2$ on F we have the Picard-Lefschetz reflection π_{Γ} of Pic(F) defined by $D \mapsto D + (D\Gamma)\Gamma$. If D' is another divisor on F then $\pi_{\Gamma}(D)\pi_{\Gamma}(D') = DD'$, because $\Gamma^2 = -2$.

As pointed out in Proposition VIII.3.9 of [2], the cone of big and nef divisors is a fundamental domain for the group generated by the above reflections. In particular we can find divisors Γ_i with $\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = -2\delta_{i,j}$, $i = 1, ..., \gamma$, such that

$$h' := h + \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (h\Gamma_i)\Gamma_i$$

is nef. Let

$$A' := A + \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (A\Gamma_i)\Gamma_i, \qquad B' := B + \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (B\Gamma_i)\Gamma_i$$

Then h', A', B' generate Pic(F) and they still have M as intersection matrix. Omitting the prime in the superscript we can thus assume that h is nef.

We will now show that h is actually very ample. Since $h^2 = 2a$ it will follow that the surface F can be embedded as a surface of degree 2a.

Since $h^2 = 2a \ge 4$, thanks to [23] (see also Theorem 1.1 of [16] with k = 1, or [17], Lemma 2.4), we have to check that there are no effective divisors E on F satisfying anyone of the following conditions:

- $E^2 = 0$ and Eh = 1, 2;
- $E^2 = 2$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(h) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(2E)$;
- $E^2 = -2$ and Eh = 0.

Notice that, in any case, if $E \in |xA + yB + zh|$, then Eh = 3ax + 3ay + 2az is a multiple of $a \ge 2$.

Thus the first case can occur only if a = 2 and, in this case, Eh = 2 necessarily, i.e. 2z = 1 - 3x - 3y. Simple computations show that

$$E^{2} = -5x^{2} - (18 - 2u)xy - 5y^{2} + 1.$$

Consider the ellipse $5x^2 + (18 - 2u)xy + 5y^2 = 1$, where $4a - 2 = 6 \le u \le 12 = 5a + 2$. The *x*-coordinate intersection point of the ellipse with the line y = 1 is a root of the polynomial $5x^2 + (18 - 2u)x + 4$.

The discriminant of this polynomial is $\Delta(u) := u^2 - 18u + 61$ which is an increasing function for $u \ge 9$ and symmetric around u = 9. Thus

$$u^2 - 18u + 61 \le \Delta(12) = -11$$

in the whole range $6 \le u \le 12$.

We conclude that the line y = 1 has no points in common with the ellipse. Since the ellipse is symmetric with respect to the origin, it immediately follows that it is strictly contained in the square with vertices $(\pm 1, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 1)$: in particular there are no points with integral coordinates on the ellipse, because it does not pass through the origin.

The second case $E^2 = 2$ cannot occur because *h* is an element of a basis of Pic(*F*) (see the comments after Lemma 2.4 of [17]).

Thus we look at the third case $E^2 = -2$. In this case equality Eh = 0 implies 2z = -3x - 3y. Simple computations show that

$$E^{2} = -\frac{a+8}{2}x^{2} - (9a-2u)xy - \frac{a+8}{2}y^{2}.$$

Consider the ellipse $(a + 8)x^2 + 2(9a - 2u)xy + (a + 8)y^2 = 4$, where $a \ge 2$ and $4a - 2 \le u \le 5a + 2$. Intersecting with the line y = 1 we obtain $(a + 8)x^2 + 2(9a - 2u)x + a + 4 = 0$. The discriminant

$$\Delta_a(u) := 4u^2 - 36au + 80a^2 - 12a - 32$$

is an increasing function for $u \ge 9a/2$ and it is symmetric around u = 9a/2. Thus

$$4u^2 - 36au + 80a^2 - 12a - 32 \le \Delta_a(5a + 2) = -4(a + 4) < 0$$

in the range $4a - 2 \le u \le 5a + 2$.

We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ is very ample, hence it embeds F as a surface of degree 2*a*. We will now prove that $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are Ulrich line bundles with respect to such an embedding. We restrict our attention to $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ because the argument for $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ is similar.

Since $A^2 = 4(a-1) \ge 4$, it follows from equality (3) that either $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ or $\mathcal{O}_F(-A)$ is effective. Since $Ah = 3a \ge 6$ and h is very ample it follows that $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ is effective.

Assume that $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(A - h)) \neq 0$ and let $D \in |A - h|$. The divisor D is a curve of degree deg(D) = (A - h)h = a such that $D^2 = (A - h)^2 = -4$, thus there is a proper integral subscheme $E \subseteq D$ with $E^2 = -2$. Again let $E \in |xA + yB + zh|$. The degree of E is deg(E) = (xA + yB + zh)h =3ax + 3ay + 2az, hence it is a positive multiple of a. It follows from the chain of inequalities $a \leq \text{deg}(E) \leq \text{deg}(D) = a$ that deg(E) = deg(D), whence E = D, a contradiction. We conclude that $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(A - h)) = 0$.

Notice that 3h - A enjoys the same intersections properties with *h* as *A*. Thus arguing as above we show that $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(2h - A)) = 0$. We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ is Ulrich, by applying Lemma 4.1.

REMARK 5.2. It is not possible to extend the above proofs to the cases u = 4a-3 and u = 5a+3. Indeed, in these cases, (A-B)h = (3h-A-B)h = 0. Moreover $(A - B)^2 = -2$ in the first case, and $(3h - A - B)^2 = -2$ in the second, thus $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ is not very ample. In these cases $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ maps *F* birationally onto a singular surface.

We conclude the section with the following consequence of the above proposition. It shows that the bounds of Proposition 4.2 are actually sharp.

THEOREM 5.3. Let $a \ge 2$. For each choice of an integer u in the range

 $4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4, \qquad u \ne 5a + 3,$

there exists a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a and $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 3$ supporting an indecomposable Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = u$.

PROOF. Let *u* be an integer in the range $4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 2$ and $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ a *K*3 surface of degree 2a containing divisors *A* and *B* with AB = u as in Proposition 5.1. Since $u \ne 4(a - 1) = A^2 = B^2$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_F(A) \ncong$ $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$, thus the equalities (A - B)h = (B - A)h = 0 and the ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ imply

$$h^{0}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(A - B)) = 0, \quad h^{2}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(A - B)) = h^{0}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(B - A)) = 0.$$

Equality (3) for $\mathcal{O}_F(A - B)$ implies

$$h^{1}(F, \mathcal{O}_{F}(A - B)) = -2 - \frac{(A - B)^{2}}{2} = AB - 4a + 2 \ge 1$$

We deduce the existence of the non-split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(A) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(B) \longrightarrow 0,$$

thus \mathscr{E} is a rank 2 Ulrich bundle with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = (A+B)^2 = 8a-8+2AB$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = AB$. Since the above sequence corresponds to a non-zero element of $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(A-B))$, it follows from Proposition 5.3 of [22] that \mathscr{E} is simple, hence indecomposable.

REMARK 5.4. The existence of special Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 (i.e. such that $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = 3h$) on each K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* follows from [1], Theorem 0.4, when $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 1$. For each bundle of this type $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = u$ where u = 5a + 4.

Similarly, Theorem 4.6 of [17] implies the existence of *K*3 surfaces $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* whose Picard group is freely generated by *h* and by a smooth irreducible curve *A* with Ah = 3a and $A^2 = 4(a - 1)$. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ is Ulrich (one can imitate the proof of the analogous statement in Proposition 5.1). As in the proof of Theorem 5.3 we still obtain special Ulrich bundles by extension of $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ by $\mathcal{O}_F(3h - A)$.

REMARK 5.5. Notice that the K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{a+1}$ of degree 2*a* defined in Proposition 5.1 supports, besides the Ulrich line bundles $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ with AB = u, at least another Ulrich line bundle, namely $\mathcal{O}_F(3h - A)$: we have B(3h - A) = 9a - u.

In particular for $4a - 1 \le u \le 9a/2$ there is a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* supporting indecomposable Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 18a, 8a - 8 + 2u, 26a - 8 - 2u$.

REMARK 5.6. Each Ulrich bundle is semistable (see Theorem 2.2). Trivially the bundle \mathscr{E} constructed in Theorem 5.3 is strictly semistable, i.e. is semistable and not stable, because it contains an Ulrich line bundle $\mathscr{O}_F(A)$ with $\mu(\mathscr{O}_F(A)) = 3a = \mu(\mathscr{E})$.

We showed that for each integer u in the range $4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4$, $u \ne 5a + 3$ there exists a K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{a+1}$ of degree 2a with $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 3$ supporting Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ (see Theorem 5.3). It is obvious that such bundles cannot exist if $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 1$. We thus ask the following question.

QUESTION 5.7. If u is a fixed integer in the range

$$4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4, \qquad u \ne 5a + 3,$$

is there a *K*3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* with $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{Pic}(F)) = 2$ supporting an Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$?

As we already pointed out in Remark 5.4, the above question has an immediate positive answer when u = 5a + 4.

Moreover, for each integer u in the range $4a - 1 \le u \le 9a/2$ there exist K3 surfaces $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{a+1}$ of degree 2a supporting Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 18a, 8a - 8 + 2u, 26a - 8 - 2u$ (see Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.5). It is quite natural to raise the following questions.

QUESTION 5.8. Does there exist a single K3 surface $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2a supporting Ulrich bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ for each u in the range

$$4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4, \qquad u \ne 5a + 3?$$

QUESTION 5.9. If the answer to the previous question is positive, which is the minimal admissible value of rk(Pic(F))?

6. Stability of general Ulrich bundles of rank 2

If \mathscr{C} is a semistable bundle of rank 2 with reduced Hilbert polynomial p(t)(with respect to $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$), then the coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_F^{ss}(p)$ parameterizing *S*-equivalence classes of semistable rank 2 bundles on *F* with reduced Hilbert polynomial p(t) is non-empty (see Section 1.5 of [15] for the notion of *S*-equivalence). We will denote by $\mathcal{M}_F^s(p)$ the open locus inside $\mathcal{M}_F^{ss}(p)$ of stable bundles.

The scheme $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{ss}(p)$ is the disjoint union of open and closed subsets $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{ss}(2; c_1, c_2)$ whose points represent *S*-equivalence classes of semistable rank 2 bundles with fixed Chern classes c_1 and c_2 . Similarly $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{s}(p)$ is the disjoint union of open and closed subsets $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{s}(2; c_1, c_2)$.

The Grauert semicontinuity theorem for complex spaces (see [2]) guarantees that the property of being aCM in a family of vector bundles is an open condition. Thus, on the one hand, we have open subschemes $\mathcal{M}_F^{s,aCM}(2; c_1, c_2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F^{ss}(2; c_1, c_2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_F^{s,aCM}(2; c_1, c_2) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F^s(2; c_1, c_2)$ parameterizing respectively *S*-equivalence classes of semistable and stable aCM bundles of rank 2 on *F* with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 (see Section 2 of [4]).

On the other hand, the locus of aCM bundles $\mathscr{G}pl_F^{aCM}(r; c_1, c_2)$ inside $\mathscr{G}pl_F(r; c_1, c_2)$ of simple vector bundles of rank r on F with Chern classes c_1 and c_2 is open too. Let $\mathscr{G}pl_F^{ns,aCM}(2; c_1, c_2) \subseteq \mathscr{G}pl_F^{aCM}(2; c_1, c_2)$ be the

locus of simple aCM bundles which are not stable, then $\mathcal{Spl}_{F}^{aCM}(2; c_{1}, c_{2}) \setminus \mathcal{Spl}_{F}^{ns,aCM}(2; c_{1}, c_{2})$ is an open subset isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{s,aCM}(2; c_{1}, c_{2})$.

We are interested in dealing with the moduli spaces of aCM bundles of rank 2 on F: in particular we are interested in those ones constructed in the previous section.

PROPOSITION 6.1. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a K3 surface of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. If $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are Ulrich line bundles on F such that

$$4a - 1 \le AB \le 5a + 4, \qquad AB \ne 5a + 3,$$

then the following assertions hold.

- (a) The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{s,aCM}(2; A + B, AB)$ is non-empty.
- (b) If $AB \ge 4a$, for each irreducible component \mathcal{M} of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{ss,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$, the locus $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{F}^{s,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ is smooth and non-empty of dimension 2AB 8a + 2.
- (c) The points in $\mathcal{M}_{F}^{ss,aCM}(2; A + B, AB) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{F}^{s,aCM}(2; A + B, AB)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the pairs { $\mathcal{O}_{F}(\overline{A}), \mathcal{O}_{F}(\overline{B})$ } where $\mathcal{O}_{F}(\overline{A})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F}(\overline{B})$ are Ulrich line bundles such that $\mathcal{O}_{F}(\overline{A} + \overline{B}) \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{F}(A + B)$.

PROOF. Notice that Lemma 4.1 implies that the points of the moduli space $\mathscr{Spl}_{F}^{aCM}(2; A + B, AB)$ actually parameterize rank 2 simple Ulrich bundles with Chern classes A + B and AB.

We have that $\mathcal{O}_F(A) \neq \mathcal{O}_F(B)$, because $AB > 4(a-1) = A^2$. The locus $\mathscr{Spl}_F^{ns,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ is non-empty, due to Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.6, hence $\mathscr{Spl}_F^{aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ is smooth, non-empty and its dimension is $4c_2 - c_1^2 - 6 = 2AB - 8a + 2$ (see [19], Theorem 0.1).

If \mathscr{E} represents a strictly semistable Ulrich bundle in $\mathscr{G}pl_F^{aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$, then \mathscr{E} must contain a line bundle \mathscr{L} such that \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{L} is torsion free and $\mu(\mathscr{L}) = \mu(\mathscr{E})$. Theorem 2.2 implies that \mathscr{E} must fit into a sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

where \overline{A} and \overline{B} are Ulrich line bundles such that $\overline{A} + \overline{B} = A + B$ and $\overline{A} \ \overline{B} = AB$. Thus we obtain a non-zero element of $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A} - \overline{B})) \cong H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(2\overline{A} - A - B))$. As a first consequence of the above discussion we are able to prove assertions (a) and (b).

We start with assertion (a). We see that $\mathscr{G}pl_F^{ns,aCM}(2; A + B, AB)$ is dominated by the union of the projective spaces associated to the vector spaces $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(2\overline{A} - A - B))$ as \overline{A} varies in the subset of Ulrich line bundles inside Pic(F). Such a set is trivially countable, thus $\mathscr{G}pl_F^{ns,aCM}(2; A + B, AB)$ is a countable union of irreducible subschemes of dimension at most

$$h^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A} - \overline{B})) - 1 = AB - 4a + 1.$$

In particular, when $AB \ge 4a$, such a union cannot fill any irreducible component $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \mathscr{S}pl_F^{aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ (which is smooth of dimension $4c_2 - c_1^2 - 6 = 2AB - 8a + 2$), thus there exist stable, aCM bundles \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with Chern classes $c_1(\mathscr{E}) = A + B$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = AB$. In particular assertion (a) is proved.

We prove assertion (b). Since

$$\mathscr{M}_{F}^{s,aCM}(2; A+B, AB) \subseteq \mathscr{S}pl_{F}^{aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$$

as an open subset, it follows that $\mathscr{S} \setminus \mathscr{S}pl_F^{ns,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ is an irreducible component of $\mathscr{M}_F^{s,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$. We deduce that the *S*-equivalent class of each $\mathscr{E} \in \mathscr{S} \cap \mathscr{S}pl_F^{ns,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$ is in the closure of a non-empty component of $\mathscr{M}_F^{s,aCM}(2; A+B, AB)$. Thus assertion (b) is proved.

As a second consequence of the above discussion we prove assertion (c). Indeed, if \mathscr{C} fits into Sequence (5), its Jordan-Hölder filtration is $0 \subseteq \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{A}) \subseteq \mathscr{C}$, thus the associated graded ring is

$$\operatorname{gr}(\mathscr{E}) := \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{A}) \oplus \mathscr{E}/\mathscr{O}_F(\overline{A}) \cong \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{A}) \oplus \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{B}).$$

Let \mathscr{E}' be in the same S-equivalence class of \mathscr{E} . In particular \mathscr{E}' is strictly semistable, hence it fits into a sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{A}') \longrightarrow \mathscr{E}' \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_F(\overline{B}') \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}')$, $\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}')$ are Ulrich line bundles which are non-isomorphic. Thus

$$\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}') \oplus \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}') \cong \operatorname{gr}(\mathscr{E}') \cong \operatorname{gr}(\mathscr{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}).$$

We have a non-zero morphism $\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}) \to \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}') \oplus \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}')$, thus either $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}' - \overline{A})) \neq 0$, or $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}' - \overline{A})) \neq 0$. In the first case, the equality $(\overline{A}' - \overline{A})h = 0$ and the ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_F(h)$ imply $\mathcal{O}_X(\overline{A} - \overline{A}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, hence the above non-zero map induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}')$, thus

$$\mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}') \cong \mathcal{O}_F(A + B - \overline{A}') \cong \mathcal{O}_F(A + B - \overline{A}) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}),$$

i.e. $\{ \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}), \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}) \} = \{ \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{A}'), \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}') \}.$

An analogous argument holds if $h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(\overline{B}' - \overline{A})) \neq 0$. Thus assertion (c) is proved too.

The above proposition yields the following theorem (see also Proposition 3.22 of [10]).

THEOREM 6.2. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a K3 surface of degree 2a, where $a \ge 2$. If \mathscr{E} is an indecomposable Ulrich bundle of rank 2 on F which is strictly semistable and whose S-equivalence class is a general point in its moduli space, then \mathscr{E} fits into Sequence (1) where $\mathcal{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(B)$ are Ulrich line bundles on F such that AB = 4a - 1. In particular $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 16a - 10$ and $c_2(\mathscr{E}) = 4a - 1$.

PROOF. If \mathscr{E} is strictly semistable, then it contains a line bundle $\mathscr{O}_F(A)$ with $\mu(\mathscr{O}_F(A)) = \mu(\mathscr{E}) = 3a$. Trivially $\mu(\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{O}_F(A)) = 3a$: due to Theorem 2.2 it follows that $\mathscr{O}_F(A)$ and $\mathscr{E}/\mathscr{O}_F(A)$ are Ulrich line bundles. Then \mathscr{E} fits into Sequence (1).

If \mathscr{E} is also general in its moduli space, then Proposition 6.1 forces AB = 4a - 1.

The construction of Section 5 yields the existence of semistable Ulrich bundles of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 8a - 8 + 2u$ for each integer *u* in the range $4a - 1 \le u \le 5a + 4, u \ne 5a + 3$.

On the one hand, Theorem 6.2 implies that when $u \ge 4a$, the general such bundle is actually stable. On the other hand, when u = 4a - 1, Proposition 6.1 implies that the moduli space is a finite set of points and we know that at least one of these points corresponds to a strictly semistable bundle.

QUESTION 6.3. Are there K3 surfaces $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ of degree 2*a* supporting a stable Ulrich bundle \mathscr{E} of rank 2 with $c_1(\mathscr{E})^2 = 16a - 10$?

REFERENCES

- Aprodu, M., Farkas, G., and Ortega, A., *Minimal resolutions, Chow forms and Ulrich bundles* on K3 surfaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 730 (2017), 225–249.
- Barth, W. P., Hulek, K., Peters, C. A. M., and Van de Ven, A., *Compact complex surfaces*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- Casanellas, M., and Hartshorne, R., ACM bundles on cubic surfaces, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 13 (2011), no. 3, 709–731.
- Casanellas, M., Hartshorne, R., Geiss, F., and Schreyer, F.-O., *Stable Ulrich bundles*, Internat. J. Math. 23 (2012), no. 8, 1250083, 50 pp.
- Casnati, G., Examples of smooth surfaces in P³ which are Ulrich-wild, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), no. 2, 667–677.
- 6. Casnati, G., On rank two aCM bundles, Comm. Algebra 45 (2017), no. 10, 4139–4157.
- Casnati, G., Rank two aCM bundles on general determinantal quartic surfaces in P³, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. 63 (2017), no. 1, 51–73.
- Casnati, G., and Notari, R., Examples of rank two aCM bundles on smooth quartic surfaces in P³, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 66 (2017), no. 1, 19–41.

- Coskun, E., Ulrich bundles on quartic surfaces with Picard number 1, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 351 (2013), no. 5-6, 221–224.
- Coskun, E., Kulkarni, R. S., and Mustopa, Y., *Pfaffian quartic surfaces and representations* of Clifford algebras, Doc. Math. 17 (2012), 1003–1028.
- 11. Coskun, E., Kulkarni, R. S., and Mustopa, Y., *The geometry of Ulrich bundles on del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Algebra 375 (2013), 280–301.
- Eisenbud, D., and Herzog, J., *The classification of homogeneous Cohen-Macaulay rings of finite representation type*, Math. Ann. 280 (1988), no. 2, 347–352.
- 13. Eisenbud, D., Schreyer, F.-O., and Weyman, J., *Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzygies*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 3, 537–579.
- Faenzi, D., Rank 2 arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay bundles on a nonsingular cubic surface, J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 1, 143–186.
- Huybrechts, D., and Lehn, M., *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, second ed., Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- Knutsen, A. L., On kth-order embeddings of K3 surfaces and Enriques surfaces, Manuscripta Math. 104 (2001), no. 2, 211–237.
- 17. Knutsen, A. L., Smooth curves on projective K3 surfaces, Math. Scand. 90 (2002), no. 2, 215–231.
- Morrison, D. R., On K3 surfaces with large Picard number, Invent. Math. 75 (1984), no. 1, 105–121.
- 19. Mukai, S., *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), no. 1, 101–116.
- Nikulin, V. V., Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43 (1979), no. 1, 111–177, English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 14 (1980), 103–167.
- Okonek, C., Schneider, M., and Spindler, H., Vector bundles on complex projective spaces, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
- Pons-Llopis, J., and Tonini, F., ACM bundles on del Pezzo surfaces, Matematiche (Catania) 64 (2009), no. 2, 177–211.
- 23. Saint-Donat, B., Projective models of K-3 surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 602-639.
- 24. Watanabe, K., ACM bundles on K3 surfaces of genus 2, preprint arXiv:1407.1703 [math.AG], 2014.
- Watanabe, K., *The classification of ACM line bundles on quartic hypersurfaces in* P³, Geom. Dedicata 175 (2015), 347–354.

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE POLITECNICO DI TORINO C.SO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24 10129 TORINO ITALY *E-mail:* gianfranco.casnati@polito.it DIPARTIMENTO MATEMATICA UNIVERSITÀ A DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10 10123 TORINO ITALY *E-mail:* federica.galluzzi@unito.it