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#### Abstract

We establish several new results on the Nagell-Ljunggren equation $\left(x^{n}-1\right) /(x-1)=y^{q}$. Among others, we prove that, for every solution $(x, y, n, q)$ to this equation, $n$ has at most four prime divisors, counted with their multiplicities.


## 1. Introduction

The first results on the Diophantine equation
(1) $\frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1}=y^{q}, \quad$ in integers $\quad x>1, y>1, n>2, q \geq 2$,
go back to 1920 and Nagell's papers [12], [13]. Some twenty years later, Ljunggren [8] clarified some points in Nagell's arguments and completed the proof of the following statement.

Theorem NL. Apart from the solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3^{5}-1}{3-1}=11^{2}, \quad \frac{7^{4}-1}{7-1}=20^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{18^{3}-1}{18-1}=7^{3} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1) has no other solution ( $x, y, n, q$ ) if either one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) $q=2$,
(ii) 3 divides $n$,
(iii) 4 divides $n$,
(iv) $q=3$ and $n \not \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$.

Equation (1) asks for pure powers written with only the digit 1 in base $x$. It has only finitely many solutions when $x$ is fixed, as proved by Shorey and

[^0]Tijdeman [18]. We refer the reader to [5], [17] for surveys of known results on (1), now called the Nagell-Ljunggren equation. Presumably, the only solutions to (1) are given by $(S)$, however, we are still unable to prove that (1) has only finitely many solutions.

Very recently, the second author [10], [11] established sharp upper bounds for the solutions of the Diophantine equation
(2) $\frac{x^{p}-1}{x-1}=p^{e} \cdot y^{q}, \quad$ in integers $x>1, y>1, e \in\{0,1\}$,
where $p$ and $q$ are (not necessarily distinct) odd prime numbers. The main purpose of the present work is to show how these results together with older ones [2], [3], [6], obtained by the first author with collaborators, apply to Equation (1). Among other statements, we establish that, for any solution $(x, y, n, q)$ to (1), the exponent $n$ has at most four prime factors counted with multiplicities.

## 2. Statement of the results

For any integer $n \geq 2$, we denote by $\omega(n)$ the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, and by $\Omega(n)$ the total number of prime divisors of $n$, counted with multiplicities. Observe that we have $1 \leq \omega(n) \leq \Omega(n)$.

Theorem 1. Let $(x, y, n, q)$ be a solution of Equation (1) not in $(S)$. Then, the least prime divisor of $n$ is at least equal to 29 and $\Omega(n) \leq 4$. Furthermore, $n$ is prime if $q=3$. Moreover, if $q$ divides $n$, then $n=q$.

It is an open problem to prove that (1) has only finitely many solutions ( $x, y, n, q$ ) with $n=q$. The fact that (1) has no further solution with $n$ even follows from Catalan's Conjecture [9].

Our Theorem 1 considerably improves part (i) of Theorem 2 of Shorey [16], who established that (1) has only finitely many solutions ( $x, y, n, q$ ) with $\omega(n)>q-2 .{ }^{(*)}$ According to Shorey [17], page 477, 'An easier question than the conjecture that (1) has only finitely many solutions is to replace $\omega(n)>$ $q-2$ by $\omega(n) \geq 2$ in the above result'. Theorem 1 is a step in this direction: presumably, (1) has only one solution with $n$ composite, namely $\left(7^{4}-1\right) /(7-$ 1) $=20^{2}$.

Besides the new upper bounds obtained in [10], [11], the main ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1 is a factorisation recalled in Lemma 1 below. It easily follows from Lemma 1 and from Theorem NL that, in order to prove

[^1]that (1) has no solution outside $(S)$, it is sufficient to solve (2) for any odd prime numbers $p$ and $q$. We are able to considerably improve this assertion.

Theorem 2. For proving that Equation (1) has no solution outside ( $S$ ), it is sufficient to establish that, for any odd prime numbers $p$ and $q$ with $p \geq 5$, the Diophantine equation

$$
\frac{x^{p}-1}{x-1}=y^{q}
$$

has no solution in positive integers $x, y$.
Theorem 2 asserts that for proving that Equation (1) has no fourth solution $(x, y, n, q)$, it is sufficient to establish that it has no fourth solution $(x, y, p, q)$ with $p$ prime. We do not have to deal anymore with Equation (2) with $e=1$.

## 3. Auxiliary results

Let $\varphi$ denote the Euler totient function. For any positive integer $n$, let $G(n)$ denote the square-free part of $n$ and set $Q_{n}:=\varphi(G(n))$.

We begin by quoting a result of Shorey [15].
Lemma 1. Let $(x, y, n, q)$ be a solution of (1) with $n$ odd. If the divisor $D$ of $n$ satisfies $(D, n / D)=\left(D, Q_{n / D}\right)=1$, then there exist integers $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ with $y_{1} y_{2}=y$ and

$$
\frac{\left(x^{D}\right)^{n / D}-1}{x^{D}-1}=y_{1}^{q} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{x^{D}-1}{x-1}=y_{2}^{q}
$$

By successive applications of Lemma 1, we get the first part of the next statement (see [15]). A detailed proof of the second part is given in Ribenboim's book [14].

Lemma 2. If Equation (1) has a solution ( $x, y, n, q$ ) where $n=2^{a} p_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots$ $p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}}$, with $a \in\{0,1\}, u_{i}>0$, and $p_{i}$ distinct odd primes, then for each $i=1, \ldots, \ell$, there exists an integer $y_{i}$ such that

$$
\frac{x^{p_{i}^{u_{i}}}-1}{x-1}=y_{i}^{q}
$$

Furthermore, there exist integers $w_{i} \geq 2$ and $z_{i} \geq 2$ such that

$$
\frac{w_{i}^{p_{i}}-1}{w_{i}-1}=z_{i}^{q} \quad \text { or } \quad p_{i} \cdot z_{i}^{q}
$$

the second possibility occurring only if $q$ divides $u_{i}$.

Next Lemmas gather various results useful for our proofs.
Lemma 3. If Equation (1) has a solution ( $x, y, n, q$ ) outside ( $S$ ), then $x \geq 10^{6}, x \geq 2 q+1$ and the least odd prime divisor of $n$ is at least 29 .

Proof. The lower bounds on $x$ are established in [3] and in [6]. The last result of the Lemma follows from Théorème 2 from [2] and [10].

Lemma 4. Let $(x, y, p, q)$ be an integer quadruple satisfying (2) with $p$ and $q$ odd prime numbers. Then, we have $q<(p-1)^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x<q^{10 p^{2}}, & \text { if } q \leq p \\
x<2 q^{10 p^{2}(p-1)}, & \text { if } q \geq p+2
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, if $p=q$, then $x \leq(2 p)^{p}$.
Proof. The first statement is contained in Theorem 1 from [11], and the remaining part of the lemma follows from Theorem 2 from [11].

## 4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. The first assertion of the theorem is contained in Lemma 3.

Let $(x, y, n, q)$ be a solution of (1) with $n$ even. Write $n=2^{a} m$ with $m$ odd. In view of Lemma 1, we may assume that $a=1$, and thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1} \cdot\left(x^{m}+1\right)=y^{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the greatest common divisor of $x^{m}-1$ and $x^{m}+1$ is at most 2 , and is 2 only if $x$ is odd. But in this case $\left(x^{m}-1\right) /(x-1)$ is odd, and the two factors in the left-hand side of (3) are coprime. Consequently, $x^{m}+1$ is a $q$-th power in any case. By the proof of Catalan's Conjecture [9], this never happens.

Let $(x, y, n, q)$ be a solution of (1). Write $n=p_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}}$ with positive integers $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell}$ and prime numbers $p_{1}>\cdots>p_{\ell}$. Assume that $\ell \geq 2$ and set $D=p_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots p_{\ell-1}^{u_{\ell-1}}$. By Lemma 2, the equation

$$
\frac{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}}}-1}{X-1}=y^{q}
$$

has the solution $X=x^{D}$. If $u_{\ell}=1$, then we infer from Lemmas 3 and 4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 q+1)^{D} \leq x^{D}<q^{10 p_{\ell}^{3}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{\ell} \geq 29$, it follows that $10 p_{\ell}^{3}<p_{\ell}^{4}<p_{\ell-1}^{4}$, and we get $u_{1}+\cdots+u_{\ell-1} \leq$ 3. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}+\cdots+u_{\ell} \leq 4 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{\ell}>1$, then

$$
\frac{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}}}-1}{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}-1}}-1} \times \frac{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}-1}}-1}{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}-2}}-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{X^{p_{\ell}}-1}{X-1}=y^{q}
$$

and we see that

$$
\frac{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}}}-1}{X^{p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}-1}}-1}=z^{q} \quad \text { or } \quad p_{\ell} \cdot z^{q}
$$

the latter possibility occurring only if $p_{\ell} \operatorname{divides} u_{\ell}$. Consequently, the equation

$$
\frac{X^{p_{\ell}}-1}{X-1}=p_{\ell}^{e} \cdot Y^{q}
$$

has a solution given by $e=0$ or 1 and $X=x^{D p_{\ell}^{u_{\ell}-1}}$. Arguing as above, we also get (5) in this case, that is $\Omega(n) \leq 4$, as claimed.

Assume now that $q=3$. As mentionned after the statement of Theorem 1, we already know that $\omega(n)=1$. Thus, $n$ must be a prime power, say $n=p^{a}$, with $1 \leq a \leq 4$ and $p \geq 5$, by Theorem NL and by what has just been proved. Since, again by Theorem NL, Equation (1) has no solution with $n \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 3)$, we get that $a=1$ or $a=3$. Assume that there are positive integers $x, y$ and a prime number $p \geq 5$ with

$$
\frac{x^{p^{3}}-1}{x-1}=y^{3}
$$

Then $X=x^{p^{2}}$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\frac{X^{p}-1}{X-1}=p^{e} \cdot y^{3}, \quad e \in\{0,1\}
$$

and from Lemmas 3 and 4 we gather that

$$
10^{6 p^{2}}<x^{p^{2}}<3^{10 p^{2}}
$$

a contradiction. Consequently, $a=1$ and $n$ must be a prime number.
Now, we consider the last assertion of the theorem. Let $(x, y, n, q)$ be a solution to (1) with $q$ divides $n$. Then, as proved by Shorey [17], $n$ is a $q$-th power. Consequently, $n$ is either equal to $q, q^{2}, q^{3}$ or $q^{4}$. In view of

Theorem NL, we may assume that $q \geq 5$, and Lemma 2 implies that if $n \neq q$, then $X=x^{q}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{X^{q}-1}{X-1}=y^{q}
$$

The combination of Lemmas 3 and 4 then yields that

$$
(2 q+1)^{q} \leq x^{q} \leq(2 q)^{q}
$$

a contradiction. Alternatively, we can apply a result of Le [7], asserting that Equation (1) has no solution with $x$ being a $q$-th power. Consequently, we have proved that if $n$ is a power of $q$, then $n=q$.

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Lemma 2, we encounter the equation

$$
\frac{x^{p}-1}{x-1}=p y^{q}
$$

only if Equation (1) has a solution $(x, y, n, q)$ with $n=p^{u}$ and $q$ divides $u$. By Theorem 1, this can only happen when $q=u=3$. Thus, to establish Theorem 2, it only remains to prove that the Diophantine equation

$$
\frac{x^{p^{3}}-1}{x-1}=y^{3}
$$

has no solution, which has already been done in the proof of Theorem 1.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{(*)}$ Actually, it is explained in [17], page 476, and in [5], Théorème 15, that inserting results from [7] and [1] in the same proof yields that (1) has no solution $(x, y, n, q)$ with $\omega(n)>q-2$

